

## More on Fourier integrals

note by T. H. Koornwinder, [thk@science.uva.nl](mailto:thk@science.uva.nl)

last modified: April 19, 2006

This note gives a minor extension to Chap. 5 of the book *Fourier analysis, an introduction* by E. M. Stein and R. Shakarchi.

### Dense subspaces of $L^p(\mathbb{R})$

By a *simple function* on  $\mathbb{R}$  we mean a finite linear combination of characteristic functions  $\chi_E$  of measurable subsets  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In particular, a simple function on  $\mathbb{R}$  is integrable iff it is a finite linear combination of characteristic functions  $\chi_E$  with  $\lambda(E) < \infty$ .

The Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is *regular*, i.e., for every measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(E) &= \inf\{\lambda(V) : E \subset V \text{ and } V \text{ open}\}, \\ \lambda(E) &= \sup\{\lambda(K) : K \subset E \text{ and } K \text{ compact}\}.\end{aligned}$$

This follows from Theorem 2.18 in Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*.

**Proposition** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . The following spaces are dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ :

1. The space of integrable simple functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
2. The linear span of the characteristic functions of bounded intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
3. The space of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  of *compact support*, i.e., which vanish outside some bounded interval.

**Proof** We will prove these results for  $p = 1$ . The proof for other  $p$  is similar.

*Proof of 1.* Every  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  can be written as  $f = f_1 - f_2 + if_3 - if_4$  with  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$  nonnegative  $L^1$  functions. So it is sufficient to prove that every nonnegative  $L^1$  function  $f$  can be approximated in  $L^1$  norm by integrable simple functions. There is an increasing sequence of nonnegative simple functions  $t_n(x)$  which tend pointwise to  $f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\int t_n$  tends to  $\int f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\|f - t_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof of 2.* By 1. it is sufficient to prove that, if  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is measurable with  $\lambda(E) < \infty$  then  $\chi_E$  can be approximated in  $L^1$  norm by finite linear combinations of characteristic functions of bounded intervals. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By regularity of  $\lambda$  there is an open set  $V \supset E$  such that  $\lambda(V) < \lambda(E) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon < \infty$ . Since  $V$  is a countable disjoint union of open intervals, there is a finite union  $W \subset V$  of bounded open intervals such that  $\lambda(W) > \lambda(V) - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ . Hence  $\|\chi_E - \chi_W\| < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof of 3.* Every characteristic function of a bounded interval can be approximated in  $L^1$  norm by continuous functions of compact support. Now use 2.  $\square$

We can use part 2. of this Proposition in order to prove the *Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma* for the Fourier transform:

If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\widehat{f}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .

Just observe that the statement is true for  $f = \chi_{[a,b]}$ .

## Exercises

**Exercise 1.** (For this exercise use results from both Fourier series and Fourier integrals.) Below define  $x^{-1} \sin x$  for  $x = 0$  by continuity.

a) Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that for each  $x \in (-\pi, \pi)$  we have

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\pi(t-n))}{\pi(t-n)} e^{inx} = e^{ixt}$$

with pointwise convergence. What is the evaluation of the sum on the left-hand side for other real values of  $x$ ?

b) Show that, for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\pi(t-n))}{\pi(t-n)} \frac{\sin(\pi(t-m))}{\pi(t-m)} dt = \delta_{n,m},$$

where the integral converges absolutely.

c) Does there exist  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $f \neq 0$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{\sin(\pi(t-n))}{\pi(t-n)} dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} ?$$

d) Let  $f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ . Define  $\widehat{f}$  as a function on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$\widehat{f}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ixt} dx \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (1)$$

(For  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  this defines the Fourier coefficients of  $f$ ; for general  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  this defines the Fourier transform of a function on  $\mathbb{R}$  which vanishes outside  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .) Show that

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) \frac{\sin(\pi(t-n))}{\pi(t-n)} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad (2)$$

with absolutely convergent sum.

(This shows in particular the following. Let  $g$  be an  $L^2$  function on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is the Fourier transform  $g = \widehat{f}$  of an  $L^2$  function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  vanishing outside  $[-\pi, \pi]$  (see (1)). So  $g$  is also continuous. Then  $g$  is completely determined by its restriction to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , with reconstruction formula given by (2).)

### Hints to Problem 7 in Chapter 5 of Stein & Shakarchi

As for (b), show that, if  $f$  is continuous and of moderate decrease and if for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-y^2} y^k dy = 0 \quad (3)$$

then we have for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-y^2} e^{2xy} dy = 0,$$

and hence, by Ch.5, Exercise 8,  $f = 0$ . Now try to go from the assumption in (b) of Problem 7 to equation (3) above.

As for (c), a result from (a) is:

$$h_k(x) = (-1)^k e^{x^2/2} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^k e^{-x^2}.$$

Show, by once differentiating this formula, that

$$h_{k+1}(x) = \left( x - \frac{d}{dx} \right) h_k(x). \quad (4)$$

Use (4) in (c) in order to prove the result there by induction with respect to  $k$ .

Now show, by applying the operator  $x + \frac{d}{dx}$  to both sides of (4) and by using induction with respect to  $k$ , that

$$\left( x + \frac{d}{dx} \right) h_{k+1}(x) = 2(k+1)h_k(x). \quad (5)$$

Now it has to be proved in (d) that

$$(Lh_k)(x) := \left( x^2 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) h_k(x) = (2k+1)h_k(x).$$

Show this by expressing the operator  $L$  in terms of  $x - \frac{d}{dx}$  and  $x + \frac{d}{dx}$  and by using (4) and (5).