

**Comment on the paper “Asymptotic behavior of matrix coefficients of admissible representations” by W. Casselman & D. Miličić**

Note by Tom H. Koornwinder, T.H.Koornwinder@uva.nl, January 4, 2010

Casselmann & Miličić [3, Example 3.7] compute the  $\tau$ -radial component  $\Pi_r(C)$  of the Casimir element  $C$  for  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . After an identification of the subgroup  $A$  with  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$  this becomes the differential operator

$$\Pi_r(C) = \left( z \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 - \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} z \frac{d}{dz} - (n^2 + m^2) \frac{z^2}{(1-z^2)^2} - nm \frac{z(1+z^2)}{(1-z^2)^2}.$$

I want to observe here that this can be transformed into a hypergeometric differential operator (see also Bargmann [2, §9,10] and see a check of the computation in my accompanying Mathematica notebook):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Pi_r(C) \left( ((z+z^{-1}-2)/4)^{(m+n)/4} ((z+z^{-1}+2)/4)^{(m-n)/4} f((2-z-z^{-1})/4) \right)}{((z+z^{-1}-2)/4)^{(m+n)/4} ((z+z^{-1}+2)/4)^{(m-n)/4}} \\ &= -w(1-w)f''(w) - \left( \frac{1}{2}(m+n) + 1 - (m+2)w \right) f'(w) + \frac{1}{4}m(m+2)f(w) \Big|_{w=(2-z-z^{-1})/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a possible solution of the differential equation

$$\Pi_r(C)h(z) = -\frac{1}{4}(\lambda^2 + 1)h(z),$$

expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions (see [4, Ch. 2], [1, Ch. 2]), is

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= ((z+z^{-1}-2)/4)^{(m+n)/4} ((z+z^{-1}+2)/4)^{(m-n)/4} \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(m+1+i\lambda), \frac{1}{2}(m+1-i\lambda) \\ \frac{1}{2}(m+n)+1 \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{4}(2-z-z^{-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of Jacobi functions (see [5], [7], [6, (2.28)]) this becomes

$$h(e^{2t}) = (\sinh t)^{(m+n)/2} (\cosh t)^{(m+n)/2} \phi_\lambda^{((m+n)/2, (m-n)/2)}(-\sinh^2 t).$$

In the Dissertation [8] by Vincent van der Noort the differential equation satisfied by  $g(w) := h(e^{2w})$  and with  $\lambda = i\zeta$  should be his formula (2.29). However, in the transformation from (2.27) to (2.29) by replacing  $w$  by  $e^{2w}$  an error was made. Formula (2.29) in [8] becomes correct if all powers of  $e^w$  are replaced by powers of  $e^{2w}$ .

## References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

- [2] V. Bargmann, *Irreducible unitary representations of the Lorentz group*, Annals of Math. (2) 48 (1947), 568–640.
- [3] W. Casselman & D. Milićić, *Asymptotic behavior of matrix coefficients of admissible representations*, Duke Math. J. 49 (1982), 869–930.
- [4] A. Erdélyi, *Higher transcendental functions, Vol. 1*, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
- [5] T. Koornwinder, *A new proof of a Paley-Wiener type theorem for the Jacobi transform*, Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), 145–159.
- [6] T. H. Koornwinder, *The representation theory of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , a non-infinitesimal approach*, Enseign. Math. (2) 38 (1982), 53–87.
- [7] T. H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact semisimple Lie groups*, in *Special functions: Group theoretical aspects and applications*, R. A. Askey, T. H. Koornwinder and W. Schempp (eds.), Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984, pp. 1–85.
- [8] V. van der Noort, *Analytic parameter dependence of Harish-Chandra modules for real reductive Lie groups*, Dissertation, University of Utrecht, 2009; <http://igitur-archive.library.uu.nl/dissertations/2009-1209-200122/UUindex.html>