

Meta Complexity

Lecture 1

Ronald de Haan
me@ronalddehaan.eu

University of Amsterdam

June 2, 2025

The project organization in a nutshell

- Introductory lectures and Q&A sessions
- Recorded video lectures from the Simons Institute
- You study a paper (possibly in pairs), and present it to the group
- You write a (short) final report

What will we cover in this lecture?

- What is meta complexity?
- Basic observations and results about MCSP
- A brief primer on Kolmogorov complexity

What is meta complexity?

- **Meta complexity** is an informal term referring to the computational complexity study of problems that have a 'complexity flavor'
- So in a sense, meta complexity studies the complexity of complexity problems (hence the phrase 'meta')
- This turns out to be fruitful for studying various notions related to computational complexity, learning, cryptography, etc.

The Minimum Circuit Size Problem (MCSP)

■ MCSP:

- *Input:* a Boolean function F over n variables given by its truth table (containing 2^n entries), and a positive integer $s \in \mathbb{N}$ (given in binary).
- *Question:* does there exist a Boolean circuit C of size s that expresses the function F ?

■ MCSP[s], for a function $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$:

- *Input:* a Boolean function F over n variables given by its truth table (containing 2^n entries).
- *Question:* does there exist a Boolean circuit C of size $s(2^n)$ that expresses the function F ?

- Intuitively, MCSP is a **black-box problem**:
 - We are given the input-output behavior of a function F
 - The task is to see if this function F has small circuits
- Compare this to **white-box problems** such as SAT, where we are given an explicit way to compute the Boolean function F about which we are answering a question—namely, by means of a formula or circuit

- MCSP is in NP
- Might seem odd at first:
 - Circuits to consider are exponentially large in the size of (the binary encoding of) s
- Main idea:
 - There is always a circuit for F of size $O(2^n)$
 - We are given the truth table of F as input, which is of size 2^n
 - So we can guess a circuit C of size at most $O(2^n)$ in polynomial time
 - And check if C expresses F by iterating over all rows α in the truth table, and checking if $C(\alpha) = F(\alpha)$

- One main open research question:

Is MCSP NP-complete?

- MCSP is not in P assuming one-way functions exist.
 - *More on this later..*

A connection between MCSP and circuit lower bounds

- The following two are equivalent:
 - Showing that $\text{DTIME}(2^{O(n)})$ does not have Boolean circuits of size $s(n)$
 - Efficiently (in polynomial time) constructing no-instances of $\text{MCSP}[s']$ —where $s' = s \circ \log$ —of size 2^n , given 2^n in unary.
- Main idea:
 - Suppose there is a problem L in $\text{DTIME}(2^{O(n)})$ that has no circuits of size $s(n)$.
Using this, we can compute in time $2^{O(n)} = \text{poly}(2^n)$ the truth table of problem L on inputs of size n .
This is a no-instance of $\text{MCSP}[s']$ of size 2^n .
 - Suppose you can efficiently construct no-instances of $\text{MCSP}[s']$ of size 2^n .
Using this, for each input size, we can construct (in exponential time) a truth table of a Boolean function that has no circuits of size $s(n)$.
This yields a problem in $\text{DTIME}(2^{O(n)})$ that has no circuits of size $s(n)$.

- One of the main roots of Kolmogorov complexity is the study of randomness
- Consider the strings 000000000000 and 011011110010, both of length 12.
 - Is one more 'random' than the other?
- How do we measure this? Perhaps considering a probability distribution over all strings of length 12 and considering the probability of the strings. The uniform distribution doesn't help to define randomness.
- Idea of Kolmogorov complexity: measure the amount to which strings can be compressed.

- Pick some universal Turing machine \mathbb{U} .
- The Kolmogorov complexity $C(x)$ of a string x is defined as:

$$C(x) = \min\{ |p| : \mathbb{U}(p) = x \}.$$

- In other words, the Kolmogorov complexity $C(x)$ of x is the size of the smallest program p that, when executed by \mathbb{U} , yields x as output.

- The definition of the Kolmogorov complexity C depends on the choice of the UTM \mathbb{U} . But:
- The **Invariance Theorem** states that for any two UTMs $\mathbb{U}_1, \mathbb{U}_2$ there is some constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending only on $\mathbb{U}_1, \mathbb{U}_2$) such that for all strings x it holds that $C_{\mathbb{U}_2}(x) \leq C_{\mathbb{U}_1}(x) + c$.
 - Main idea: give \mathbb{U}_2 a description of \mathbb{U}_1 and a program p for \mathbb{U}_1 , together with instructions to simulate \mathbb{U}_1 on p .
- In other words, up to some additive constant, the choice of which UTM to use does not matter.

- For each string $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ it holds that $C(x) \leq n + O(1)$.
 - Main idea: construct a program p that contains x explicitly and the instruction to print x .
 - The size of this program is n (to write down x) plus some additional constant (for the instructions to print out x).

Strings with high Kolmogorov complexity exist

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a string $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $C(x) \geq n$.
 - Main idea: counting.
 - There are 2^n strings $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$.
 - The number of programs p of length $< n$ is $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^i = 2^n - 1$.
 - By the pigeonhole principle, there must be at least one string $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with $C(x) \geq n$.

Strings with high Kolmogorov complexity exist (ct'd)

- For each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < n$, there exist $2^n - 2^{n-k} + 1$ strings $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $C(x) \geq n - k$.
 - Main idea: counting.
 - There are 2^n strings $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$.
 - The number of programs p of length $< n - k$ is $\sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} 2^i = 2^{n-k} - 1$.
 - By the pigeonhole principle, there must be at least $2^n - 2^{n-k} + 1$ strings $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with $C(x) \geq n - k$.

- *Random strings* are strings x with the property that $C(x) \geq |x|$.
- (So this is a different notion of “randomness” than in “randomized algorithms”—i.e., probabilistic algorithms.)
- Sometimes a different *randomness threshold* is used, e.g., $C(x) \geq |x|/2$.

Kolmogorov complexity is uncomputable

- The problem of computing the Kolmogorov complexity $C(x)$ of a string x is uncomputable.
 - Main idea: an incompressibility argument.
 - Suppose, to derive a contradiction, that C is computable.
 - Consider the following algorithm \mathbb{A}_M , whose description will be of length $P + \log M$:
 - Iterate over all strings $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, from shortest to longer.
 - For each string x , compute $C(x)$. If $C(x) \geq M$, return x .
 - (In other words, \mathbb{A}_M returns the first string x with $C(x) \geq M$.)
 - Now select M such that $M > P + \log M$.
 - Let x be the string that \mathbb{A}_M returns. So $C(x) \leq P + \log M < M$. This contradicts that $C(x) \geq M$.

- Resource-bounded variants of Kolmogorov complexity have been considered.
- Let $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

- Then:

$$C^t(x) = \min\{ |p| : \mathbb{U}(p) = x \text{ in time } t(|x|) \}.$$

- Observation: for each x and each t , it holds that $C(x) \leq C^t(x)$.

- Levin's Kt complexity is another variant that is based on time bounds.
- It is defined as follows:

$$Kt(x) = \min\{ |p| + \log t : \mathbb{U}(p) = x \text{ in at most } t \text{ steps} \}.$$

- Observation: for each x , it holds that $C(x) \leq Kt(x)$.

- KT complexity is another variant that is based on time bounds.
- It is defined as follows:¹

$$KT(x) = \min\{ |p| + t : \mathbb{U}(p) = x \text{ in at most } t \text{ steps} \}.$$

- Observation: for each x , it holds that $C(x) \leq Kt(x) \leq KT(x)$.

¹In fact, for technical reasons, it is defined as follows:

$$KT(x) = \min\{ |p| + t : \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq |x| + 1, \mathbb{U}(p, i, b) = 1 \text{ iff the } i\text{-th bit of } x \text{ is } b, \text{ in time } t \}.$$

- MK^tP : given a string x and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, decide whether there is a program p of size $\leq s$ such that $\mathbb{U}(p) = x$ in time $t(|x|)$.
 - in NP, for polynomial t
 - in EXP, for exponential t
- $MKtP$: given a string x and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, decide whether $Kt(x) \leq s$.
 - in EXP
- $MKTP$: given a string x and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, decide whether $KT(x) \leq s$.
 - in NP

- KT complexity and minimum circuit size (for binary strings describing truth tables of Boolean functions) are polynomially related, i.e.:

$$KT(x) \leq CSize(x)^{O(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad CSize(x) \leq KT(x)^{O(1)}.$$

- Solving MCSP and computing $KT(x)$ can both be seen as determining the “size” of the smallest circuit for (the function represented by) x using different notions of “size.”

- There is also a variant of Kolmogorov complexity called **prefix complexity**, that is based on prefix-free codes
 - (This has some theoretical advantages over the classical definition, in some settings)
- Typically, the letter K is used to denote (variants of) prefix complexity, and the letter C is used for the classical versions—but this differs from text to text.
- More generally, notations may differ slightly from one text to the other, so be aware. :-)

- What is meta complexity?
- Basic observations and results about MCSP
- A brief primer on Kolmogorov complexity