Computational Complexity

Homework Sheet 2

Hand in via Canvas before April 26, 2023, at 23:59 https://canvas.uva.nl/courses/36220/assignments/409541

Exercise 1 (3pt). Prove that $\mathsf{NTIME}(n) \neq \mathsf{P}$.

- NTIME(n) can be characterized as the set of all decision problems that can be verified in linear time with a linear-size certificate. That is, $A \in \mathsf{NTIME}(n)$ if and only if there is a linear-time Turing machine \mathbb{M} and a constant c such that for all $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ it holds that $x \in A$ if and only if there exists some $u \in \{0,1\}^{c \cdot |x|}$ such that $\mathbb{M}(x, u) = 1$. You are allowed to use this characterization of $\mathsf{NTIME}(n)$.
- *Hint:* Use the Nondeterministic Time Hierarchy Theorem.

Exercise 2 (4pt). In this exercise, we will construct a decision problem $A \subseteq \{0\}^*$ that is not auto-reducible, using diagonalization. (For a definition of auto-reducibility, see the previous homework sheet.)

- (a) Consider the function $b : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that b(0) = 1 and for each n > 0 it holds that $b(n) = 2^{b(n-1)}$. Show that there exists some i_0 such that for all $i \ge i_0$ it holds that $b(i) > b(i-1)^{i-1}$.
- (b) Let \mathbb{M} be a polynomial-time oracle Turing machine¹ that—when given input $x \in \{0\}^*$ —does not query x to the oracle. Show that there exists some i such that $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}_i$, and \mathbb{M}_i^O runs in time at most n^i for inputs of size $n \geq 2$, for all oracles O.

- *Hint*: Remember that we can choose our representation scheme $i \mapsto M_i$ in such a way that every Turing machine has infinitely many representations.

- (c) Suppose that \mathbb{M}_{i}^{O} —from (b)—is given the string $0^{b(i)}$ as input, for some b(i) > 1. What can you say about the size of the queries that \mathbb{M}_{i}^{O} makes to O?
- (d) Construct a set $A \subseteq \{0\}^*$ that is not auto-reducible. Construct A in stages A_i such that $A = \bigcup_{i \ge 1} A_i$. Recursively define $A_i \subseteq \{0\}^{b(i)}$ in such a way that A is not auto-reducible by construction. Make sure to prove that the set is not auto-reducible.
 - *Hint:* suppose you have constructed A_1, \ldots, A_{i-1} . Let $A_{\leq i-1} = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} A_j$. Consider the behavior of machine $\mathbb{M}_i^{A_{\leq i-1}}$ with oracle access to $A_{\leq i-1}$ when given input $0^{b(i)}$ —that does not query $0^{b(i)}$. Based on the output of $\mathbb{M}_i^{A_{\leq i-1}}$ on $0^{b(i)}$, choose whether $0^{b(i)}$ is in A_i or not.

Exercise 3 (3pt). Is there an oracle such that, relative to this oracle, ...? If so, then give such an oracle and prove that it works. If not, prove why not.

- (a) P = EXP
- (b) $\operatorname{coNP} \subseteq \mathsf{P}$ and $\mathsf{NP} \not\subseteq \mathsf{P}$
- (c) $\mathsf{DTIME}(n) = \mathsf{DTIME}(n^2)$
- (d) NP = coNP \neq EXP

For example, in (a) you have to either (i) show that there exists an oracle A such that $P^A = EXP^A$ or (ii) show that such an oracle does not exist. In (b), you have to either (i) show that there there exists an oracle A such that $coNP^A \subseteq P^A$ and $NP^A \not\subseteq P^A$ or (ii) show that such an oracle does not exist.

Remark 1. Answers will be graded on two criteria: they should (1) be correct and intelligent, and also (2) concise and to the point.

Remark 2. If you find a solution to one of the exercises in a paper or book, you can use this to inform your solution. Make sure that you write down the solution in your own words, conveying that you understand what is going on.

¹With polynomial-time oracle Turing machine, we mean an oracle Turing machine \mathbb{M} for which there exists a polynomial p such that for each oracle $O \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ and each input x, \mathbb{M} takes time at most p(|x|) when using O as the oracle. In other words, there is a fixed polynomial p such that \mathbb{M} runs in time p(n), regardless of the choice of oracle O.