

Computational Complexity

Homework Sheet 6

Hand in before March 20, 17:00

By email to J.Czajkowski@uva.nl

Exercise 1 (5pt). The problem MAX2SAT consists of all tuples $\langle \varphi, k \rangle$ where φ is a 2CNF formula and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a truth assignment $\alpha : \text{var}(\varphi) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that α satisfies at least k clauses of φ . (Note: here we define a 2CNF formula as a CNF formula where each clause contains **at most** 2 literals. Note also: φ might contain several copies of the same clause.)

For every $\rho < 1$, an algorithm A is called a ρ -approximation algorithm for MAX2SAT if for every 2CNF formula ψ with m clauses, $A(\psi)$ outputs a truth assignment satisfying at least $\rho \cdot \mu_\psi$ of ψ 's clauses, where μ_ψ is the maximum number of clauses of ψ satisfied by any truth assignment.

Consider the following polynomial-time reduction f from 3SAT to MAX2SAT:

Let $\varphi = c_1 \wedge \dots \wedge c_m$ be a 3CNF formula with clauses c_1, \dots, c_m and containing the propositional variables p_1, \dots, p_u . Then $f(\varphi) = \langle \psi, k \rangle$ is defined as follows.

- The formula ψ will contain the propositional variables p_1, \dots, p_u , as well as the new variables q_1, \dots, q_u .
- For each clause $c_j = l_{j,1} \vee l_{j,2} \vee l_{j,3}$ of φ , we add the following 10 clauses to ψ :

$$\begin{aligned} & (l_{j,1}), (l_{j,2}), (l_{j,3}), (q_j), \\ & (\neg l_{j,1} \vee \neg l_{j,2}), (\neg l_{j,1} \vee \neg l_{j,3}), (\neg l_{j,2} \vee \neg l_{j,3}), \\ & (l_{j,1} \vee \neg q_j), (l_{j,2} \vee \neg q_j), (l_{j,3} \vee \neg q_j). \end{aligned}$$

That is ψ consists of the conjunction of the $10m$ resulting clauses.

- We let $k = 7m$.

- (a) Show that this reduction is correct—i.e., that $\varphi \in 3\text{SAT}$ if and only if $\langle \psi, k \rangle \in \text{MAX2SAT}$.
- (b) Show that if there is a polynomial-time ρ -approximation algorithm for MAX2SAT for each $\rho < 1$, then $\text{P} = \text{NP}$.

– *Hint*: use the PCP Theorem and the function f described above.

- (c) Give a polynomial-time $\frac{1}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for MAX2SAT.

Exercise 2 (4pt). Consider the following polynomial-time reduction f from 3SAT to 3SAT. Let φ be a 3CNF formula with clauses c_1, \dots, c_m and containing the propositional variables p_1, \dots, p_n . Then $f(\varphi)$ is defined as the following 3CNF formula:

$$f(\varphi) = \varphi \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^m q_j \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^m \bigwedge_{j'=1}^m (q_j \vee q_{j'}) \right),$$

where each of the variables q_j is a fresh variable that does not occur in φ . (Note: here we define a 3CNF formula as a CNF formula where each clause contains **at most** 3 literals.)

Let F be the following set of 3CNF formulas:

$$F = \{ f(\varphi) \mid \varphi \text{ is a 3CNF formula} \},$$

and let FUNNY-3SAT be the following decision problem:

$$\text{FUNNY-3SAT} = F \cap 3\text{SAT}.$$

- (a) Show that FUNNY-3SAT is solvable in time $2^{O(\sqrt{|x|})}$, where $|x|$ denotes the input size.
- (b) Show that FUNNY-3SAT is not solvable in time $2^{o(\sqrt{|x|})}$, where $|x|$ denotes the input size, assuming that the ETH is true.

Exercise 3 (1pt). Give an example of a decision problem that is not solvable in polynomial time (assuming $P \neq NP$), yet that is solvable in time $2^{o(|x|)}$, where $|x|$ denotes the input size.