

Computational Complexity

Practice Exam

Definition 1. Let L be a language. We say that L is *length-decreasing self-reducible* if there is a polynomial-time oracle TM M such that for each $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$:

$$x \in L \quad \text{if and only if} \quad M^L(x) = 1,$$

and the computation of $M^L(x)$ only queries L on strings of length strictly less than $|x|$.

Question 1 (2pt). Let L be a language such that $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$. Prove that L is in P if and only if L is length-decreasing self-reducible.

Question 2 (1pt). Consider the following language K , that consists of all strings x encoding a propositional formula φ , containing the propositional variables p_1, \dots, p_m , such that there is a truth assignment $\alpha : \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ for which holds:

- there exist i, j such that $\alpha(p_i) \neq \alpha(p_j)$, and
- α satisfies φ .

Prove that K is NP-complete.

Definition 2. Let $L_1, L_2 \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ be languages. We define the *concatenation* $L_1 \circ L_2$ of L_1 and L_2 as follows:

$$L_1 \circ L_2 = \{ x_1 x_2 \mid x_1 \in L_1, x_2 \in L_2 \}.$$

That is, $L_1 \circ L_2$ contains all strings x that can be split into two strings x_1 and x_2 such that $x_1 \in L_1$ and $x_2 \in L_2$.

Question 3 (1 + 1 + 1pt). Prove that:

- (a) NP is closed under union, i.e., if $L_1, L_2 \in \text{NP}$ then $L_1 \cup L_2 \in \text{NP}$.
 - (b) NP is closed under intersection, i.e., if $L_1, L_2 \in \text{NP}$ then $L_1 \cap L_2 \in \text{NP}$.
 - (b) NP is closed under concatenation, i.e., if $L_1, L_2 \in \text{NP}$ then $L_1 \circ L_2 \in \text{NP}$.
-

Definition 3. A set $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is called *sparse* if it has polynomial density, i.e., if there exists a constant c such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$|S \cap \{0, 1\}^n| \leq n^c + c.$$

We use SPARSE to denote the class of all sparse sets.

Question 4 (2pt). Let $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ be a language. Prove that $L \in \text{P/poly}$ if and only if $L \in \text{P}^{\text{SPARSE}}$.

- *Hint:* Use a sparse set S to encode the advice, and vice versa. Remember to prove the implication clearly in both directions.

Definition 4. Define ZPP to be the class of languages L for which there is a constant c and a polynomial-time TM M which outputs either 0, 1 or ?, such that for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_r[M(x, r) = ?] &\leq 1/2, \\ \text{if } M(x, r) &= 1, \text{ then } x \in L, \text{ and} \\ \text{if } M(x, r) &= 0, \text{ then } x \notin L, \end{aligned}$$

where r is drawn from the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{|x|^c}$. To get ZPP^O , one replaces the above M by the oracle TM M^O .

Question 5 (2 + 2pt). Prove that:

- The above definition of ZPP is equivalent to the definition of ZPP given in the book. That is, a language L meets the definition above if and only if it meets the definition of ZPP given in the book (Definition 7.7).
- $\text{ZPP}^{\text{ZPP}} = \text{ZPP}$.