

Computational Complexity

Homework Sheet 6

Hand in before March 21, 23:59

Preferably by email to J.M.Czajkowski@cwi.nl

Exercise 1 (4pt). Consider the following polynomial-time reduction f from 3SAT to 3SAT. Let φ be a 3CNF formula with clauses c_1, \dots, c_m and containing the variables x_1, \dots, x_n . Then $f(\varphi)$ is defined as the following 3CNF formula:

$$f(\varphi) = \varphi \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^m y_j \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^m \bigwedge_{j'=1}^m (y_j \vee y_{j'}) \right),$$

where each of the variables y_j is a fresh variable that does not occur in φ . (Note: here we define a 3CNF formula as a CNF formula where each clause contains **at most 3** literals. Note also: φ can be encoded by a string of size $O(m \log n)$.)

Let F be the following set of 3CNF formulas:

$$F = \{ f(\varphi) \mid \varphi \text{ is a 3CNF formula} \},$$

and let FUNNY-3SAT be the following decision problem:

$$\text{FUNNY-3SAT} = F \cap \text{3SAT}.$$

- (a) Show that FUNNY-3SAT is solvable in time $2^{O(\sqrt{m})}$, where m denotes the number of clauses in the input formula.
- (b) Show that FUNNY-3SAT is not solvable in time $2^{o(\sqrt{m})}$, where m denotes the number of clauses in the input formula, assuming that the ETH is true.

Exercise 2 (1pt). Give an example of a decision problem that is not solvable in polynomial time (assuming $P \neq NP$), yet that is solvable in time $2^{o(|x|)}$, where $|x|$ denotes the input size.

Exercise 3 (2pt). Show that in interactive proof systems we gain nothing by allowing the prover to make use of randomness. That is, show that if we have a probabilistic prover P that convinces a verifier V to accept with probability p , where the probability is taken over the random coins of both P and V , then we have a deterministic prover P that convinces V to accept with probability $\geq p$, where the probability is now taken only over the random bits of V .

Exercise 4 (3pt). Show that $\text{IP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$.