A Novel Line Alignment Algorithm Using Geometric Algebra

(Based on Insights from 3D Point Registration)

Name: Haris Matsantonis

Supervisor: Prof. Joan Lasenby

28th August 2024







Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

Outline

2

- Point Cloud / 3D Line Registration
- Why Registration Matters?
- Point Cloud Registration Methods
- Point Cloud Registration Problems That Were Solved Using GA
 - Results using Clifford Multivector Toolbox (Sangwine & Hitzer)
- Extension to 3D Line Registration
 - Results using Clifford Multivector Toolbox (Sangwine & Hitzer)

 Sangwine, S. J., & Hitzer, E. (2017). Clifford Multivector Toolbox (for MATLAB). Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, 27(1), 539-558

Introduction

- Research Focus: Point Cloud Registration + Line-based 3D Registration
- Sources: RGB-D cameras
 - 1. Depth map
 - 2. Camera Calibration Point Cloud
 - 3. Superimposition



Point Cloud, Source: Geospatial World

+ LiDAR Sensors, Photogrammetry

1. Emits laser pulses

- 2. Measures return time
- 3. Creates 3D maps



LiDAR Technology, Photogrammetry. Source: PIX4D

Goal: Find a transformation which consists of a rotation + translation (rigid registration) to align two point clouds and two sets of 3D lines

Why do we care about 'Registration'?

- High precision sensors (LiDAR, Kinect, etc) → Point Clouds + Lines = primary data format
- Sensors can only capture scans within their limited view range
- Applications include (among others):
 - 1. 3D Reconstruction

4

- 2. Autonomous Vehicle Localization
- 3. 3D Terrain Mapping



3D Terrain Mapping. Source: Unmanned Systems Lab, TAMU



Visualization of a LiDAR point cloud. Source: Graham Murdock for Popular Science



Point Cloud Registration Methods

Aspect	Known Correspondences	Unknown Correspondences	
	Predefined	Not predefined	
Typical Method	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)	Iterative Closest Point (ICP)	
Key Approach	Direct (1 Iteration) computation using known pairs	Iteratively estimate and refine using nearest points	
Applications	Medical imaging, Precise engineering	Robotics, Autonomous navigation	

Points can miss critical linear features, leading to less accurate models. What about Lines?

Broadening Horizons in Line Registration



• Over-Reliance on Traditional Methods: Most (point + line) registration algorithms are built on Vector Algebra, leading to a narrow approach

• Lack of Exploration: Few comprehensive studies investigate alternative mathematical frameworks for (point + line) registration

 Innovate with Geometric Algebra: Develop a novel line registration framework using Geometric Algebra

• Benchmark Against Standard Methods: Assess effectiveness against Linear Algebra benchmarks (SVD point solution)



6

AIM -

Inspiration for Extending from Point to Line Registration

Geometric Algebra Solutions for 3D Registration Problems



7

Recap: 3D Point Registration using Characteristic Multivectors

Let $\{a_k\}, k = 1, 2, \dots m$, be a frame for the space, and $\{a^k\}$ be its reciprocal frame \rightarrow reciprocal basis set of vectors guarantees orthonormality conditions

• Define $\{b_k\} = f(a_k)$. Then the **r**th Characteristic Multivector is: $\theta_{(r)}f_{(r)} = \sum (a^{j_r} \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{j_1})(b_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{j_r})$

the sum over the repeated indices is restricted by $0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_r \leq m$

Then, we can recover the Rotor as (Lasenby et al. 2022):

 $\tilde{R} \propto 1 + [a^1b_1 + a^2b_2 + \cdots] + [(a^2 \wedge a^1)(b_1 \wedge b_2) + \cdots] + [(a^3 \wedge a^2 \wedge a^1)(b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge b_3) + \cdots] + \text{higher order terms}$

WORKS for any dimension and signature

Lasenby A., Lasenby J., Matsantonis C. (2022). Reconstructing a Rotor from Initial and Final Frames using Characteristic Multivectors: with applications in Orthogonal Transformations. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 1-18

Problem 1: 3D Point Registration with Known Correspondences



9

Point Clouds do no carry colour information – colours are added for better visualization purposes

Problem 1 Results: No Noise

RMSE and the Mean (µ) of MATLAB's built-in function and 3D Characteristic Multivector method for 200 random initial positions: Apple (+), Helix (+), Tree (+), Horse (+), Armadillo (+), Buddha Statue (+)



Problem 1 Results: Noise (SNR)

11

Rotational Convergence Error (plots share same x-axis) of MATLAB's built-in function, 3D Characteristic Multivector and self-coded SVD: Apple (--), Helix (--), Tree (--), Horse (--), Armadillo (--), Buddha Statue (--)



Problem 2a: 3D Registration Problem with Unknown Correspondences (3D Solution)

Standard ICP Algorithm [Besl & McKay 92]

- 1. Determine corresponding points
- 2. Compute the Rotation **R** via SVD
- 3. Compute the translation **t** based on **R**
- 4. Apply **R** and **t** to the points of the point cloud to be registered
- 5. Compute the error E(**R**,**t**)
- 6. If error decreased and error > threshold
 - Repeat these steps
 - Stop and output final alignment, otherwise

Key Note: The GA-ICP algorithm effectively follows the above calculation steps but **replaces step 2** with a **rotor-calculation** step, i.e. **3D Characteristic Multivector**



Problem 2a : Point Cloud Data



Point Clouds do no carry colour information – colours are added for better visualization purposes

Problem 2a Results: No Noise / Noise

14

Comparison with MATLAB's pointToPoint (built-in ICP function) and self-coded ICP-SVD



Problem 2b: 3D Point Registration Problem with Unknown Correspondences (4D Solution)

Standard ICP Algorithm [Besl & McKay 92]

- I. Determine corresponding points
- 2. Compute the Rotation **R** via SVD
- 3. Compute the translation **t** based on **R**
- 4. Apply **R** and **t** to the points of the point cloud to be registered
- 5. Compute the error E(**R**,**t**)
- 6. If error decreased and error > threshold
 - Repeat these steps or otherwise stop and output final alignment

Key Note: The 4D algorithm effectively follows the above calculation steps but **replaces steps 2 and 3** with a **4D rotor (motor)-calculation** step.

Calculations are done in 4D Spherical Space instead of 3D Euclidean Space

Problem 2b: The 1D-Up Approach in Conformal Geometric Algebra (CGA)

- Euclidean, Hyperbolic, Spherical and Inversive geometries are put on the same footing
- Null Vectors: Construct new null vectors representing key points in space

 $n = e + \bar{e}, \quad \bar{n} = e - \bar{e}$

• The rotors that keep \bar{e} invariant define a spherical space (4D)

Benefits:

- Reduced Complexity: Working on a space with Euclidean signature, simplifies operations and improves computational efficiency.
- Applications: Useful in geometric object matching, line fitting, and quantum mechanics.
- Lasenby, A. (2011). Rigid body dynamics in a constant curvature space and the '1D-up' approach to conformal geometric algebra. Guide to geometric algebra in practice, 371-389.



Problem 2b: Point Clouds



APPLE (Continuous)

DOLPHINS (Non - Continuous)

Point Clouds do no carry colour information – colours are added for better visualization purposes

18

Problem 2b - Hard Transformations



Model Data

1500

Measured Data

Problem 2b - Hard Transformations Results



19













Problem 2b: 1000 Random Positions



20

21

Problem 2b: Real Data (Room)





 Measured Point Cloud (236483 points)





Problem 2b: Real Data (Construction Road)

22



Results So Far

Problem	Linear Algebra	Geometric Algebra	Result
3D Registration with Known Correspondences	SVD method	Closed-form, non- iterative solution	Tie
3D Registration with Unknown Correspondences (<mark>3D Solution</mark>)	Standard ICP and its variants	GA-ICP maintained accuracy of standard ICP	Tie
3D Registration with Unknown Correspondences (4D Solution 1DUp)	Standard ICP and its variants	CM-ICP outperformed standard ICP and its variants	GA better
3D Line Registration with Known Correspondences	No well- established algorithms	(4D Solution 1DUp)	?

Problem 3: 3D Line Registration with Known Correspondences



24

Problem 3: How? Methodology - Initial Setup

For a point p(x, y, z) in 3D Euclidean space, its equivalent in 4D Spherical space with curvature λ is defined by the transformation:

$$P = \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + p^2}\right)p + \left(\frac{\lambda^2 - p^2}{\lambda^2 + p^2}\right)e_4, \quad P^2 = 1, \quad (e_4)^2 = 1$$

• Map the starting p_{start} and end points p_{end} of a line to 4D Spherical Space and wedge them together to construct a line L:

 $L = qe_{12} + re_{13} + se_{14} + te_{23} + ue_{24} + ve_{34}$, with

q, r, s, t, u, v being scalar not independent coefficients

• A line L can undergo transformation via a motor M:

 $L' = ML\tilde{M} = q'e_{12} + r'e_{13} + s'e_{14} + t'e_{23} + u'e_{24} + v'e_{34}$ q', r', s', t', u', v' being transformed scalar coefficients

Problem 3 Methodology: From Bivectors to Vectors

- To start suppose that we have a set of 6 lines $\{L_i\}, i = 1, ..., 6$ which are rotated and translated via a motor M to $\{L'_i\}, i = 1, ..., 6$ s.t: $L'_i = ML_i\tilde{M}$
- The bivectors representing both $\{L_i\}$ and $\{L'_i\}$ can be treated as vector components in a 6D Euclidean space with Euclidean basis:

 $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6\}$

The mapping of the original lines (bivectors) to vectors for the original and transformed lines is given by:

 $qe_{12} + re_{13} + se_{14} + te_{23} + ue_{24} + ve_{34} \longrightarrow qf_1 + rf_2 + sf_3 + tf_4 + uf_5 + vf_6$

 $q'e_{12} + r'e_{13} + s'e_{14} + t'e_{23} + u'e_{24} + v'e_{34} \longrightarrow q'f_1 + r'f_2 + s'f_3 + t'f_4 + u'f_5 + v'f_6$

Call these vector representations v_i and v_i' , and form the reciprocal vectors v^i and $v^{i'}$

Problem 3 Methodology: From Vectors Back to Bivectors

Map the reciprocal vectors v^i and $v^{i'}$ back into bivectors within the 4D Spherical space that reverses the initial transformation

Details will be given in the paper

- If v^i is represented as [q, r, s, t, u, v], L^i is constructed as: $qe_{12} + re_{13} + se_{14} + te_{23} + ue_{24} + ve_{34}$
- So now we have $\{L_i\}, \{L'_i\}, \{L^i\}, \{L^{i'}\}, i = 1, \dots, 6$
- Consider now reciprocal sets of line $\{L^i\}$ and $\{L^{i'}\}$ s.t:

$$L_i \cdot L^j = \delta_{ij}, \quad L'_i \cdot L^{j'} = \delta_{ij}$$

Then it is easy to say that reciprocals transform correspondingly as: $L^{i'} = M L^i \tilde{M}$

Methodology: Recovering the Motor

The motor M is made up of scalar, bivector and quadvector (pseudoscalar, $I = e_1e_2e_3e_4$) parts which we can write as:

 $M = \alpha + B + \beta I$

• It then follows that (details will be given in the paper as it is not trivial): $L_iML^i = 6\alpha + 6\beta I - 2B = -2M + 8(\alpha + \beta I)$

Hence:

$$L_i M L^i \tilde{M} = L_i L^{i'} = -2 + 8(\alpha + \beta I) \tilde{M}$$

We can derive a quantity as a key variable capturing the aggregate transformation effect:

$$X = \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} (L_i \cdot L^{i'}) + 2 \right)$$

Problem 3 Methodology: Recovering the Motor (cont.)

• We can multiply X by \widetilde{X} to form:

$$Y = X\tilde{X} = M(\alpha + \beta I)(\alpha + \beta \tilde{I})\tilde{M}$$
$$= M(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} + 2\alpha\beta I)\tilde{M}$$
$$= \underbrace{\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}}_{u} + \underbrace{2\alpha\beta}_{v}I$$

- Note that: $(\alpha + \beta)^2 = u + v \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta = \pm \sqrt{u + v}$
- Setting $w = \alpha + \beta$, we get $w = \pm \sqrt{u + v}$
- Then starting with the relations $u = \alpha^2 + \beta^2, v = 2\alpha\beta$ and rearranging: $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 u = 0$

Since $\frac{v}{2} = \alpha\beta$, and setting $w = \alpha + \beta$ gives a quadratic equation: $\beta^2 - w\beta + v/2 = 0$

Problem 3 Motor Solution

Solving the quadratic equation and considering both (+, -) values of w, we have 4 distinct solutions (a set of two solutions for each sign of w)

• These solutions lead to four unique pairs of (a, b)

After some algebra it can be shown that the motor is:

$$M = \frac{1}{u}(a_2 - b_2 I)X, \quad \text{or}$$
$$M = \frac{1}{u}(b_1 - a_1 I)X$$

Note that we started with 6 lines, but this can also be extended to any number of lines

Problem 3: Setup Details

- Lines and Points: Different numbers of lines and points used in experiments
- Transformation: Rotate (x: -10°, y: -20°, z: -30°) and Translate (x: 1, y: 2, z: 3) cm

Scenarios:

- No Noise: Baseline performance measurement
- With Gaussian Noise ($\sigma = 0.01$): Added noise to test robustness

Comparison made with SVD point solution for benchmarking

Problem 3: Results

- Rotation Error:
 - No Noise (Top Left): Both GA and SVD show very low errors $\sim 10^{-16}$ radians
 - With Noise (Bottom Left): GA shows higher initial error but improves with more data, SVD is consistent
- Translation Error:
 - No Noise (Top Right): Errors around $\sim 10^{-15}$ cm
 - With Noise (Bottom Right): Converges to around 10⁻³ cm with more data for both methods.



Problem 3: Line Structures in Focus and Practical Considerations



Thank you for listening!



Any questions or comments?

Lasenby, A., Lasenby, J., & Matsantonis, C. (2022). "Reconstructing a Rotor from Initial and Final Frames using Characteristic Multivectors: with applications in Orthogonal Transformations". Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences. 1-18

 Matsantonis, C. and Lasenby, J. (2023). "A Geometric Algebra Solution to the 3D Registration Problem". In: Iida, F., Maiolino, P., Abdulali, A., Wang, M. (eds) Towards
Autonomous Robotic Systems. TAROS 2023. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 14136. Springer, Cham

 Matsantonis, C. and Lasenby, J. (2024). "A Geometric Algebra Solution to the Absolute Orientation Problem". In: Araujo Da Silva, D.W.H., Hildenbrand, D., Hitzer, E. (eds)
Advanced Computational Applications of Geometric Algebra. ICACGA 2022. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol 445. Springer, Cham

 Matsantonis, C. and Lasenby, J. (2024). "Characteristic Multivector Fitting of Two 3D Point Sets". (submitted to IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence)

Matsantonis, C., Lasenby, J., & Lasenby, A. (2024). "A Novel Line Alignment Algorithm using Geometric Algebra". In preparation for Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences