

## EXTREMAL PSEUDOCOMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS ARE COMPACT METRIZABLE

W. W. COMFORT AND JAN VAN MILL

(Communicated by Alexander N. Dranishnikov)

ABSTRACT. Every pseudocompact Abelian group of uncountable weight has both a proper dense pseudocompact subgroup and a strictly finer pseudocompact group topology.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All topological groups here are assumed to satisfy the Hausdorff separation axiom. A pseudocompact group  $G$  is said to be *r-extremal* [resp. *s-extremal*] if  $G$  admits no strictly finer pseudocompact group topology [resp.  $G$  has no proper dense pseudocompact subgroup]. Early formulations of these notions appeared in [6], [7]. From the fact that a pseudocompact space of countable weight is compact and metrizable it follows readily (as in [7, 2.3]) that every pseudocompact group of countable weight is both *r-extremal* and *s-extremal*. It is natural to ask whether there are extremal pseudocompact groups of uncountable weight. This question has generated much attention during the last two decades. See [1], [12] and [10] for more information. An affirmative answer was given in [7] for zero-dimensional Abelian groups. In [2] it was shown that no pseudocompact Abelian group of cardinality greater than  $\mathfrak{c}$  is *s-extremal*. For partial answers in the class of connected groups, see for example [3], [12] and [1].

The aim of this paper is to answer the question for Abelian groups.

**Theorem 1.1.** *A pseudocompact Abelian group of uncountable weight is neither  $r$ -extremal nor  $s$ -extremal.*

We keep this presentation short by invoking several essential results established in the literature. We plan in [5] to present a polished, complete and self-contained proof of Theorem 1.1.

We announced our results at the annual meeting of the American Mathematical Society in January, 2006 [4].

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we fix notation and we cite the results we need from the literature.

---

Received by the editors November 20, 2005 and, in revised form, September 2, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22A05, 22B05.

*Key words and phrases.* Pseudocompact topological group, extremal topological group, proper dense pseudocompact subgroup, Abelian.

The symbol  $wX$  denotes the weight of a topological space  $X$ . A subspace of a space  $X$  is  $G_\delta$ -dense in  $X$  if it meets every nonempty  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . If  $X$  is a set and  $\kappa$  a cardinal number, then  $[X]^{\leq \kappa}$  denotes  $\{A \subseteq X : |A| \leq \kappa\}$ .

For Abelian groups we use additive notation. Let  $G$  be an Abelian group. If  $A \subseteq G$ , then  $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  denotes the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $A$ . A subset  $X$  of  $G$  is called *independent* if for every  $x \in X$  we have  $\langle\langle \{x\} \rangle\rangle \cap \langle\langle X \setminus \{x\} \rangle\rangle = \{0\}$ . If  $A$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then a subset  $X$  of  $G$  is said to be *independent over  $A$*  if it is independent and  $\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \cap A = \{0\}$ . The cardinality of a maximal independent set of elements of infinite order is called the *torsion-free rank* of  $G$ , here denoted  $r_0(G)$ . It is known that  $r_0(G)$  is an invariant of  $G$ , i.e., all such maximal independent subsets of  $G$  have the same cardinality. It is clear that if  $h: G \rightarrow H$  is a surjective homomorphism, then  $r_0(H) \leq r_0(G)$ . See [11, pp. 85-86] for additional details. The torsion subgroup of an Abelian group  $G$  is denoted by  $tG$ .

If  $G$  is a (not necessarily Abelian) totally bounded group, then  $\overline{G}$  denotes its (compact) Weil completion. It was shown in [8] that a topological group  $G$  is pseudocompact if and only if it is  $G_\delta$ -dense in  $\overline{G}$ . Hence a dense subgroup of a pseudocompact group  $G$  is pseudocompact if and only if it is  $G_\delta$ -dense in  $G$ .

Let  $G$  be a topological group. Then

$$\Lambda(G) = \{N \subseteq G : N \text{ is a closed, normal, } G_\delta\text{-subgroup of } G\}.$$

Now we collect some information needed later in our proof of the main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudocompact group such that  $wG > \omega$ , and let  $N \in \Lambda(G)$ . Then*

- (a) [9, 3.3]  $G/N$  is compact and metrizable,
- (b) [7, 6.2]  $N$  is pseudocompact, and
- (c) [3, 2.7]  $wN = wG$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([3, 2.13(b),(c)]). *Let  $G$  be a pseudocompact group and let  $G = \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n$ , where each  $A_n$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Then there exist  $N \in \Lambda(G)$  and  $n < \omega$  such that  $A_n \cap N$  is  $G_\delta$ -dense in  $N$ .*

**Theorem 2.3** ([1, 4.4], [12, 3.7.1]). *Let  $G$  be a pseudocompact Abelian group. If  $G$  contains a proper, dense pseudocompact subgroup  $H$  such that  $G/H$  can be mapped homomorphically onto some nondegenerate compact group, then  $G$  is not  $r$ -extremal.*

**Theorem 2.4** ([1, 5.7], [12, 6.4.2]). *Let  $G$  be a pseudocompact Abelian group of uncountable weight. If there exists  $N \in \Lambda(G)$  such that no connected  $M \in \Lambda(G)$  is contained in  $N$ , then  $G$  is neither  $r$ -extremal nor  $s$ -extremal.*

**Theorem 2.5** ([2, 4.5], [1, 5.10], [12, 7.3]). *Let  $G$  be a pseudocompact Abelian group of uncountable weight such that  $r_0(G) > \mathfrak{c}$ . Then  $G$  is neither  $r$ -extremal nor  $s$ -extremal.*

### 3. LEMMAS

In this section we collect some simple results to be used later. The technique used in the proof of Lemma 3.1 is well-known, and was used in many earlier results. See e.g., [3, 2, 12, 1]. For the benefit of the reader we provide the (simple) details. (Note added September 15, 2006. The referee has pointed out that a proof of Lemma 3.1 is also available in the preprint [10].)

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudocompact Abelian group, and let  $A$  be a  $G_\delta$ -dense subgroup of some  $N \in \Lambda(G)$  such that  $r_0(N/A) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $G_\delta$ -dense subgroup  $H$  such that  $r_0(G/H) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ .*

*Proof.* The conditions imply that there is a subset  $X$  of  $N \setminus A$  of elements of infinite order such that  $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$  and  $X$  is independent over  $A$ . Split  $X$  into two disjoint sets  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ , each of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

By Theorem 2.1(a), the number of cosets of  $N$  in  $G$  is at most  $\mathfrak{c}$ . (In fact, either  $|G/N| < \omega$  or  $|G/N| = \mathfrak{c}$ .) Let  $\{a_\alpha + N : \alpha < \lambda\}$  be a faithful enumeration of  $G/N$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $a_0 = 0$ . By recursion on  $\alpha < \lambda$  we will choose  $x_\alpha \in X_0 \cup \{0\}$  such that

$$\langle\langle X_1 \rangle\rangle \cap (\langle\langle \{a_\beta + x_\beta : \beta \leq \alpha\} \rangle\rangle + A) = \{0\}.$$

Let  $x_0 = 0$ . Let  $\alpha < \lambda$  and suppose that  $x_\beta$  has been defined for all  $\beta < \alpha$ . Put  $B_\alpha = \langle\langle \{a_\beta + x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \rangle\rangle$ . Then  $|B_\alpha| < \mathfrak{c}$  and  $\langle\langle X_1 \rangle\rangle \cap (B_\alpha + A) = \{0\}$ . Suppose that for every  $x \in X_0$  we have that

$$\langle\langle X_1 \rangle\rangle \cap (\langle\langle B_\alpha \cup \{a_\alpha + x\} \rangle\rangle + A) \neq \{0\}.$$

Then for every  $x \in X_0$  there exist  $b_x \in B_\alpha$ ,  $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p_x \in A$  and  $q_x \in \langle\langle X_1 \rangle\rangle \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$(\dagger) \quad q_x = b_x + n_x(a_\alpha + x) + p_x.$$

Note (since  $q_x \notin B_\alpha + A$ ) that no  $n_x$  is equal 0. Since  $|X_0| = \mathfrak{c}$ , there are distinct  $x, y \in X_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $b \in B_\alpha$  such that  $n = n_x = n_y$  and  $b = b_x = b_y$ . But then by subtracting the equation  $(\dagger)$  for  $x$  and  $y$ , we get

$$n(x - y) = q_x - q_y + p_y - p_x \in \langle\langle X_1 \rangle\rangle + A,$$

which contradicts the independence of  $X$  over  $A$ . This completes the transfinite recursion.

Now put  $B = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} B_\alpha$ . Then  $\langle\langle X_1 \rangle\rangle \cap (B + A) = \{0\}$ , hence  $r_0(G/(B + A)) \geq |X_1| = \mathfrak{c}$ . It is clear that  $B + A$  is  $G_\delta$ -dense in  $G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a family of subsets of  $2^\kappa$  with the following properties:*

- (1) *if  $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\leq \kappa}$ , then  $\bigcap \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$ , and*
- (2) *each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  has cardinality  $2^\kappa$ .*

*Then there is a countably infinite family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $2^\kappa$  such that*

- (i)  *$\mathcal{B}$  is pairwise disjoint, and*
- (ii) *if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $|A \cap B| = 2^\kappa$ .*

*Proof.* We give  $2^\kappa$  the standard Tychonov product topology. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the collection of all nonempty clopen subsets  $V$  of  $2^\kappa$  for which there is an element  $A(V) \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $|V \cap A(V)| < 2^\kappa$ . Clearly,  $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{A(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ ,  $Y = \bigcap \mathcal{D}$ , and  $\tilde{V} = \bigcup \mathcal{V}$ . Now  $|V \cap Y| \leq |V \cap A(V)| < 2^\kappa$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , so

$$|\tilde{V} \cap Y| < 2^\kappa$$

since  $2^\kappa$  has cofinality at least  $\kappa^+$ . Then  $|Y| = 2^\kappa$  by (1) and (2), hence  $|Y \setminus \tilde{V}| = 2^\kappa$ . There is consequently a countably infinite pairwise disjoint family  $\mathcal{B}$  of clopen subsets of  $2^\kappa$  such that  $B \cap (Y \setminus \tilde{V}) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . To see that  $\mathcal{B}$  is as

required, pick arbitrary  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $|B \cap A| < 2^\kappa$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{V}$  and hence  $B \subseteq \tilde{V}$ , which contradicts the fact that  $B \cap (Y \setminus \tilde{V}) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* The inclusion  $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^\kappa$  is necessarily proper (since  $2^\kappa$  is compact). Replacing any one element of  $\mathcal{B}$  by the complement in  $2^\kappa$  of the union of the remaining elements, we may hence assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a partition.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We now present the proof of our main result. By Theorem 2.5, it suffices to consider groups  $G$  of torsion-free rank at most  $\mathfrak{c}$ . Furthermore, by Theorem 2.4 we may assume that every  $N \in \Lambda(G)$  contains a connected  $M \in \Lambda(G)$ . Henceforth, let  $G$  be a pseudocompact Abelian group of uncountable weight satisfying those two conditions.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $H$  is a nontrivial connected subgroup of  $G$ , then  $r_0(H) = \mathfrak{c}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $r_0(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in H$  and let  $h$  be a continuous homomorphism from  $H$  to  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $h(x) \neq h(0)$ . Then  $h(H) = \mathbb{T}$  since  $H$  (and hence  $h(H)$ ) is connected. It follows that  $\mathfrak{c} \geq r_0(H) \geq r_0(\mathbb{T}) = \mathfrak{c}$ , as asserted.  $\square$

Since  $G \in \Lambda(G)$ , there is a connected  $C \in \Lambda(G)$ . Hence  $r_0(C) = \mathfrak{c}$  by Theorem 2.1(b),(c) and Lemma 4.1.

Let  $\overline{C}$  be the closure of  $C$  in  $\overline{G}$ . Then  $\overline{C}$  is a compact, connected group, hence is divisible [13, Theorem 24.25]. By [13, Theorem A.14] or [11, Theorem 23.1], there is a cardinal number  $\lambda$  such that  $\overline{C}$  is (algebraically) isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha < \lambda} \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \oplus t\overline{C},$$

where each  $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha$  is a copy of the group of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\overline{C}/t\overline{C} = \bigoplus_{\alpha < \lambda} \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$ . Let  $\pi: \overline{C} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha < \lambda} \mathbb{Q}_\alpha$  be the natural homomorphism. For  $x \in \overline{C}$  let  $S(x) = \{\alpha < \lambda : \pi(x)_\alpha \neq 0_\alpha\}$ , and for  $E \subseteq \overline{C}$  let  $S(E) = \bigcup_{x \in E} S(x)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $N \in \Lambda(C)$ , then  $|S(\pi(N))| = \mathfrak{c}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $N \in \Lambda(G)$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $N$  is connected. Moreover,  $N$  is nontrivial by Theorem 2.1(c). So  $r_0(N) = \mathfrak{c}$  by Lemma 4.1. That  $|S(\pi(N))| = \mathfrak{c}$  is then clear.  $\square$

Writing  $S = S(\pi(C))$ , we have  $|S| = \mathfrak{c}$ . Hence  $\lambda \geq \mathfrak{c}$ , and

$$C \subseteq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in S} \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \notin S} \{0_\alpha\} \oplus t\overline{C}.$$

For every  $\beta \in S$ , let  $\rho_\beta: \bigoplus_{\alpha \in S} \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\beta$  be the projection.

For every nonempty  $A \subseteq S$ , put

$$G(A) = C \cap \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \notin A} \{0_\alpha\} \oplus t\overline{C} \right),$$

and let

$$A = \{A \subseteq S : \text{there is } N \in \Lambda(C) \text{ such that } N \subseteq G(A)\}.$$

**Lemma 4.3.**  *$A$  is closed under countable intersections, and every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  has size  $\mathfrak{c}$ .*

*Proof.* That  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under countable intersections is clear, since if  $\mathcal{B}$  is any family of subsets of  $S$ , then

$$\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} G(B) = G\left(\bigcap \mathcal{B}\right)$$

and  $\Lambda(G)$  is closed under countable intersections.

Now take an arbitrary  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . We want to prove that  $|A| = \mathfrak{c}$ . Take  $N \in \Lambda(C)$  such that  $N \subseteq G(A)$ . Then  $\pi(N) \subseteq A$ , so  $\mathfrak{c} = |S| \geq |A| \geq |\pi(N)| = \mathfrak{c}$  by Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

By Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3, there consequently is a (faithfully indexed) partition  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n < \omega\}$  of  $S$  such that  $|B_n \cap A| = \mathfrak{c}$  for each  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . For every  $n < \omega$ , let

$$V_n = G\left(\bigcup_{i \leq n} B_i\right).$$

Then  $V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_n \subseteq \dots$ , and  $C = \bigcup_{n < \omega} V_n$ . By Theorem 2.1(b) and Lemma 2.2, there exist  $N \in \Lambda(C)$  and  $m < \omega$  such that  $H := V_m \cap N$  is  $G_\delta$ -dense in  $N$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $m = 0$ , i.e., that  $V_m = V_0 = G(B_0)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.**  $r_0(N/H) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ .

*Proof.* We will prove that there is a subset  $X$  of  $N$  of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  such that

- (1) each  $x \in X$  has infinite order,
- (2)  $X$  is independent,
- (3)  $\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \cap H = \{0\}$

(hence  $\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} \mathbb{Z}_\alpha$ , where each  $\mathbb{Z}_\alpha$  is a copy of the group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Choose  $x_0 \in N \setminus G(B_0)$  and define  $W_0 = B_0$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  and suppose that  $x_\beta$  and  $W_\beta$  have been defined for all  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then, set

$$W_\alpha = B_0 \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S(x_\beta),$$

and observe that

$$|B_1 \cap W_\alpha| = \left| B_1 \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S(x_\beta) \right| < \mathfrak{c}.$$

Hence  $W_\alpha \notin \mathcal{A}$ , since  $B_1$  meets every element of  $\mathcal{A}$  in a set of size  $\mathfrak{c}$ , which means that  $N \not\subseteq G(W_\alpha)$ ; let  $x_\alpha$  be any point in  $N \setminus G(W_\alpha)$ . This completes the transfinite construction.

We claim that  $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  satisfies (1), (2) and (3). To prove this, let  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  be arbitrary. By construction we have

$$x_\alpha \notin G\left(B_0 \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S(x_\beta)\right),$$

so  $S(x_\alpha) \not\subseteq B_0 \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S(x_\beta)$ ; let  $\gamma \in S(x_\alpha)$  witness that relation. Then  $\rho_\gamma(\pi(x_\alpha)) \neq 0$  and  $\rho_\gamma(\pi(x_\beta + h)) = 0$  for every  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $h \in H$ . Then clearly  $x_\alpha$  has infinite order, and

$$nx_\alpha \notin \langle\langle \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \rangle\rangle + H,$$

as required.  $\square$

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. From Lemmas 3.1 and 4.4 we conclude that  $G$  has a proper dense pseudocompact subgroup  $H$  such that  $r_0(G/H) \geq c$ . This proves that  $G$  is not  $s$ -extremal. To see that  $G$  is not  $r$ -extremal, note first that  $r_0(G/H) \geq c$ , so  $G/H$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{\alpha < c} \mathbb{Z}_\alpha$ . The latter can be mapped homomorphically onto  $\mathbb{T}$ , and since homomorphisms into a divisible group always extend by [13, Theorem A.7], we are done by Theorem 2.3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. W. Comfort and J. Galindo, *Extremal pseudocompact topological groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **197** (2005), 59–81. MR2123980 (2006a:22001)
- [2] W. W. Comfort, H. Gladdines, and J. van Mill, *Proper pseudocompact subgroups of pseudocompact abelian groups*, Annals of the New York Ac. Sci. **728** (1994), 237–247. MR1467777 (99g:22005)
- [3] W. W. Comfort and J. van Mill, *Concerning connected, pseudocompact Abelian groups*, Top. Appl. **33** (1989), 21–45. MR1020981 (90k:54002)
- [4] W. W. Comfort and J. van Mill, *Extremal pseudocompact Abelian groups are compact metric*, Abstracts Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2006), p. 78 (Abstract #1014-22-958).
- [5] W. W. Comfort and J. van Mill, *Extremal pseudocompact abelian groups: A brief exposé*, in preparation.
- [6] W. W. Comfort and L. C. Robertson, *Proper pseudocompact extensions of compact abelian group topologies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 173–178. MR663891 (83k:22011)
- [7] W. W. Comfort and Lewis C. Robertson, *Extremal phenomena in certain classes of totally bounded groups*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **272** (1988), 1–42. MR959432 (89i:22001)
- [8] W. W. Comfort and K. A. Ross, *Pseudocompactness and uniform continuity in topological groups*, Pac. J. Math. **16** (1966), 483–496. MR0207886 (34:7699)
- [9] W. W. Comfort and T. Soundararajan, *Pseudocompact group topologies and totally dense subgroups*, Pacific J. Math. **100** (1982), 61–84. MR661441 (83m:22008)
- [10] D. Dikranjan, G. Bruno, and C. Milan, *Weakly metrizable pseudocompact groups*, to appear in Appl. Gen. Top.
- [11] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups. Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York, 1970. MR0255673 (41:333)
- [12] J. Galindo, *Dense pseudocompact subgroups and finer pseudocompact group topologies*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **55** (2002), 627–640. MR1901051 (2003b:54041)
- [13] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1979. MR551496 (81k:43001)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY, MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT 06459

*E-mail address*: [wcomfort@wesleyan.edu](mailto:wcomfort@wesleyan.edu)

*URL*: <http://wcomfort.web.wesleyan.edu>

FACULTEIT EXACTE WETENSCHAPPEN, AFDELING WISKUNDE, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT, DE BOELELAAN 1081A, 1081 HV AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS

*E-mail address*: [vanmill@cs.vu.nl](mailto:vanmill@cs.vu.nl)

*URL*: <http://www.few.vu.nl/~vanmill>