## WHEN $U(\kappa)$ CAN BE MAPPED ONTO $U(\omega)$

## JAN VAN MILL

ABSTRACT.  $U(\kappa)$  can be mapped onto  $U(\omega)$  iff  $cf(\kappa) = \omega$  or  $\kappa > 2^{\omega}$ .

- **0.** Introduction. In this note we show that  $U(\kappa)$  can be mapped onto  $U(\omega)$  if and only if  $cf(\kappa) = \omega$  or  $\kappa \ge 2^{\omega}$ . As a consequence it follows that CH is equivalent to the statement that  $U(\omega_1)$  can be mapped onto  $U(\omega)$ . That  $U(\omega)$  is not always a continuous image of  $U(\omega_1)$  is known, [B], however, as far as I know, it was unknown that  $U(\omega)$  is not a continuous image of  $U(\omega_1)$  under  $\neg$ CH.
- 1. Conventions. Cardinals carry the discrete topology. If  $\kappa$  is a cardinal then  $\beta \kappa$  denotes the Čech-Stone compactification of  $\kappa$ . The subspace

$$\{p \in \beta \kappa : \text{if } P \in p \text{ then } |P| = \kappa\}$$

of  $\beta \kappa$  is denoted by  $U(\kappa)$ . It is easy to see that  $U(\kappa)$  is compact. For more information on  $\beta \kappa$  and  $U(\kappa)$  see [CN].

- 2. The construction.
- 2.1. LEMMA. If  $cf(\kappa) = \omega$  then  $U(\kappa)$  can be mapped onto  $U(\omega)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\kappa = \sum_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$  where, for each n,  $\kappa_n < \kappa$ . Define  $f: \kappa \to \omega$  by  $f(\alpha) = n$  iff  $\alpha \in \kappa_n$  and let  $\beta f: \beta \kappa \to \beta \omega$  be the Stone extension of f. It is routine to verify that  $\beta f(U(\kappa)) = U(\omega)$ .

- 2.2. REMARK. This lemma is known of course, see for example [vD].
- 2.3. Lemma. If  $\kappa \geq 2^{\omega}$  then  $U(\kappa)$  can be mapped onto  $U(\omega)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha < 2^{\omega}\}$  be a (faithfully indexed) partition of  $\kappa$  into  $2^{\omega}$  subsets of cardinality  $\kappa$ . Define  $f: \kappa \to 2^{\omega}$  by  $f(\alpha) = \mu$  iff  $\alpha \in A_{\mu}$  and let  $\beta f: \beta \kappa \to \beta(2^{\omega})$  be the Stone extension of f. It is routine to verify that  $\beta f(U(\kappa)) = \beta(2^{\omega})$ . Since  $U(\omega)$  has clearly weight  $2^{\omega}$  and since  $\beta(2^{\omega})$  maps onto each compact space of weight at most  $2^{\omega}$ , we conclude that  $U(\kappa)$  can be mapped onto  $U(\omega)$ .  $\square$ 

2.4. Lemma. If  $\omega < cf(\kappa) \le \kappa < 2^{\omega}$  then  $U(\omega)$  is not a continuous image of  $U(\kappa)$ .

PROOF. Suppose, to the contrary, that f maps  $U(\kappa)$  onto  $U(\omega)$ . Since there is clearly a compactification of  $\omega$  with I = [0, 1] as remainder, there is a map g from  $U(\omega)$  onto I. Let  $h: U(\kappa) \to I$  be the composition of f and g. In addition, let  $\bar{h}$ :  $\beta \kappa \to I$  extend h.

Received by the editors February 12, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D35.

702 JAN VAN MILL

Take  $s \in I$  arbitrarily. Then  $g^{-1}(\{s\})$  is a nonempty  $G_{\delta}$  in  $U(\omega)$  and consequently has nonempty interior, [CN, 14.17]. Therefore,  $f^{-1}g^{-1}(\{s\})$  has nonempty interior (in  $U(\kappa)$ ) and consequently we can find a subset  $E \subset \kappa$  so that

$$\emptyset \neq \overline{E} \cap U(\kappa) \subset f^{-1}g^{-1}(\{s\}).$$

CLAIM. If  $n < \omega$  then  $|\{\alpha \in E : \bar{h}(\alpha) \notin (s - 1/n, s + 1/n)\}| < \kappa$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $F = \{\alpha \in E : \bar{h}(\alpha) \notin (s - 1/n, s + 1/n)\}$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ . Take a point  $x \in \bar{F} \cap U(\kappa)$ . By continuity of  $\bar{h}$ , the point  $\bar{h}(x) \notin (s - 1/n, s + 1/n)$ . This implies that  $x \in (\bar{E} \cap U(\kappa)) - f^{-1}g^{-1}(\{s\})$ , which is impossible.

Since  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$  the claim implies that we can find  $\kappa_s \in E$  so that  $\bar{h}(\kappa_s) = s$ . This is a contradiction since  $\kappa < 2^{\omega} = |I|$ .  $\square$ 

2.5. COROLLARY. CH is equivalent to the statement that  $U(\omega_1)$  can be mapped onto  $U(\omega)$ .

PROOF. Since  $\omega_1$  has uncountable cofinality this immediately follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4.  $\square$ 

## REFERENCES

[B] J. E. Baumgartner, Almost-disjoint sets, the dense set problem and the partition calculus, Ann. Math. Logic 10 (1976), 401-439.

[CN] W. W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Die Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften in Einzerdarstellungen, Band 211, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.

[vD] E. K. van Douwen, Transfer of information about  $\beta N - N$  via open remainder maps (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70803

Current address: Subfaculteit Wiskunde, Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1081, Amsterdam, The Netherlands