

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 4, 1979

Pages 609–628

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## WEAK $P$ -POINTS IN COMPACT $F$ -SPACES

by

JAN VAN MILL

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## WEAK P-POINTS IN COMPACT F-SPACES

**Jan van Mill**

### **0. Introduction**

All spaces are completely regular and  $X^*$  denotes  $\beta X - X$ .

The point  $x \in X$  is called a *P-point* whenever  $x \notin \bar{F}$  for each  $F \subseteq F$  of  $X$  which does not contain  $x$ . It is known that  $\omega^*$  contains P-points under CH (cf. Rudin [R]); however, Shelah (see [M] or [W]) showed that it is consistent with the usual axioms of set theory that there are no P-points in  $\omega^*$ . The point  $x \in X$  is called a *weak P-point* whenever  $x \notin \bar{F}$  for each countable  $F \subseteq X - \{x\}$ . Clearly each P-point is a weak P-point. Recently, Kunen [K<sub>2</sub>] showed that there are  $2^{2^\omega}$  points in  $\omega^*$  which are weak P-points but not P-points. In this note we generalize this result.

*0.1. Theorem. Let  $X$  be a compact infinite F-space without isolated points of weight  $2^\omega$  in which each nonempty  $G_\delta$  has nonempty interior. Then there are  $2^{2^\omega}$  points in  $X$  which are weak P-points but not P-points.*

The condition that each nonempty  $G_\delta$  in  $X$  has nonempty interior is essential of course, since no separable space without isolated points can have weak P-points (we don't know whether the theorem is true for compact nowhere separable F-spaces). Such F-spaces cannot have weak P-points, but they might have points which are not limit points of countable discrete sets. We have the following partial answer.

0.2. *Theorem.* Let  $E$  be the projective cover of a compact space which is a product of at most  $\omega_1$  spaces of countable  $\pi$ -weight. Then there is an  $x \in E$  such that  $x \notin \bar{D}$  for each countable discrete  $D \subseteq E - \{x\}$ .

Let us notice that under CH such points exist in each compact  $F$ -space of weight  $2^\omega$  ([vM<sub>2</sub>]).

Let (\*) denote the innocent statement that there is a compactification  $\gamma\omega$  of  $\omega$  such that  $\gamma\omega - \omega$  is ccc but not separable. It is known (cf. section 5) that CH implies (\*). I conjecture that (\*) is true in ZFC. It is certainly worthwhile to try to solve this conjecture since a positive answer would imply that the following theorem is true in ZFC.

0.3. *Theorem.* Assume (\*) and let  $X$  be a compact infinite  $F$ -space without isolated points in which each nonempty  $G_\delta$  has nonempty interior. Then there are  $2^{2^\omega}$  points in  $X$  which are weak  $P$ -points but not  $P$ -points.

In particular, the theorem is true under CH. I have also been able to prove that the theorem is true under  $2^\omega = 2^{\omega_1}$ .

I am indebted to Evert Wattel for some helpful suggestions and to Charley Mills for reading a preliminary version of this note.

### 1. Independent Matrices

An ordinal is the set of smaller ordinals and a cardinal is an initial ordinal. Whenever  $X$  is a set and  $\kappa$  is a cardinal we define (as usual)

$$[X]^{\kappa} = \{A \subset X: |A| = \kappa\}$$

and

$$[\dot{X}]^{<\kappa} = \{A \subset X: |A| < \kappa\}$$

respectively.

Let  $X$  be a space. An indexed family  $\{A_j^i: i \in I, j \in J\}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called a  $J$  by  $I$  independent matrix if

- each  $A_j^i$  is the closure of some nonempty open  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $X$ ;

- whenever  $j_0, j_1 \in J$  are distinct and  $i \in I$  then

$$A_{j_0}^i \cap A_{j_1}^i = \emptyset;$$

- for each finite  $F \subset I$  and  $\phi: F \rightarrow J$  we have that

$$\bigcap \{A_{\phi(\alpha)}^{\alpha} : \alpha \in F\} \neq \emptyset.$$

(This concept, in a slightly different form, is due to Kunen.)

An  $F$ -space is a space in which each cozero-set is  $C^*$ -embedded. It is well-known, and easy to prove, that a normal space  $X$  is an  $F$ -space iff any two disjoint open  $F_{\sigma}$ 's of  $X$  have disjoint closures. This fact will be used frequently without explicit reference throughout the remaining part of this note.

The following Proposition is the key to our construction. I am indebted to Evert Wattel for pointing out to me that my original proof was unnecessarily complicated and for allowing me to publish his proof here.

1.1. *Proposition.* *Let  $X$  be a compact infinite  $F$ -space without isolated points in which every nonempty  $G_{\delta}$  has nonempty interior. Then each nonempty open subset of  $X$  contains an  $\omega_1$  by  $\omega_1$  independent matrix for  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Wellorder  $\omega_1 \times \omega_1$  by  $<^*$  in order type  $\omega_1$ . For

each  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1$  let

$$Q\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \{ \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle : \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \leq^* \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \}.$$

$$\mathcal{F}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \{ \phi : \phi \text{ is a function with } |\phi| < \omega \text{ and } \phi \subset Q\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{G}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{F}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \phi \}.$$

Notice that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - \mathcal{G}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  for each  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1$ .

A function  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  is called an extension of  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle$  whenever  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \leq^* \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  and  $\psi \cap Q\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle = \phi$ . Without loss of generality  $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$  is the first element of  $\omega_1 \times \omega_1$ . For the sake of notational simplicity we write  $\max \emptyset = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$ .

We will now construct for each  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1$  a collection of nonempty closed  $G_\delta$ 's  $\{S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{F}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\}$  and a collection of nonempty open  $F_\sigma$ 's  $\{U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{G}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\}$  such that

- (1)  $\{S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{F}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\}$  is a disjoint collection;
- (2) if  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle <^* \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  extends  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle$  then  $S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) \subset S(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle, \psi)$ ;
- (3) if  $\phi \in \mathcal{G}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  and  $\psi = \phi - \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\}$  then  $S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) \subset U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) \subset U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \psi) \subset S(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle, \psi)$  whenever  $\max \psi \leq^* \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle <^* \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ ;
- (4) if  $\phi \in \mathcal{G}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  and  $\psi = \phi - \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\}$  then  $U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) \cap S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \psi) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $S(\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \emptyset)$  and  $S(\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle\})$  be any two nonempty disjoint closed  $G_\delta$ 's. In addition, let  $U(\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle\})$  be any nonempty open  $F_\sigma$  of  $X$  the closure of which is properly contained in  $\text{int } S(\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle\})$ . Now suppose that we have completed the construction for all  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle <^* \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ . For each  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - \mathcal{G}\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  put

$$T(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) = \bigcap \{ S(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle, \phi) : \max \phi \leq^* \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle <^* \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \}.$$

Observe that by (1) and (2)

$$\{ T(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha, \beta) - \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta) \}$$

is a disjoint collection of nonempty closed  $G_\delta$ 's. Put

$$\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta) = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha, \beta) : \phi \cup \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \} \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta) \}.$$

For each  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha, \beta) - \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta)$  define  $S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) = T(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi)$ .

In addition, for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta)$  choose disjoint nonempty  $G_\delta$ 's  $Z_0(\phi)$ ,  $Z_1(\phi) \subset \text{int } T(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi)$ . In addition, let  $U(\phi)$  be an open  $F_\sigma$  such that

$$Z_1(\phi) \subset U(\phi) \subset U(\phi)^- \subset \text{int } T(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) - Z_0(\phi).$$

Define

$$\begin{cases} S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) = Z_0(\phi), \\ S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi \cup \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \}) = Z_1(\phi). \\ U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi \cup \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \}) = U(\phi). \end{cases}$$

It is trivial that our inductive hypotheses are satisfied.

For each  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1$  now put

$$U(\alpha, \beta) = \bigcup \{ U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) : \phi \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta) \}.$$

Now let  $\phi$  be a finite subset of  $\omega_1 \times \omega_1$  such that no two elements of  $\phi$  have their first entries equal. Let  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  be the maximal member of  $\phi$ . Then

$$\bigcap \{ U(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle) : \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in \phi \} \supseteq S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi),$$

since,  $U(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle) \supseteq U(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle, \phi \cap Q(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle)) \supseteq S(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle, \phi \cap Q(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle)) \supseteq S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi)$ , according to (2) and (3), and since  $S(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi) \neq \emptyset$ , also

$$\bigcap \{ U(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle) : \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in \phi \} \neq \emptyset.$$

Suppose that  $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle <^* \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ . We claim that  $U(\alpha, \gamma) \cap U(\alpha, \beta) = \emptyset$ . Let us assume, to the contrary, that  $U(\alpha, \gamma) \cap U(\alpha, \beta) \neq \emptyset$ , say

$$U(\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle, \phi) \cap U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \psi) \neq \emptyset$$

for certain  $\phi \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \gamma)$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Define  $\psi' = \psi \cap Q(\alpha, \gamma)$ . Notice that  $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \notin \psi'$ . So  $\phi \neq \psi'$  and consequently

$$U(\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle, \phi) \cap S(\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle, \psi') = \emptyset.$$

Put  $\psi'' = \psi - \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\}$ . Then

$$U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \psi) \subset S(\max \psi'', \psi'') \subset S(\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle, \psi')$$

by (2) and (3). We conclude that  $U(\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle, \phi) \cap U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \psi) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Finally, since every  $U(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \phi)$  is an  $F_G$  and since  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta)$  is at most countable, each  $U(\alpha, \beta)$  is itself and open  $F_G$ . So  $\overline{U(\alpha, \gamma)} \cap \overline{U(\alpha, \beta)} = \emptyset$  for all  $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle <^* \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ . We conclude that  $\{\overline{U(\alpha, \beta)} : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1\}$  is an  $\omega_1$  by  $\omega_1$  independent matrix. The same proof shows that actually such a matrix can be chosen in any nonempty open subset of  $X$ .

1.2. *Remark.* In the sequel we will only need the existence of an  $\omega$  by  $\omega_1$  independent matrix.

1.3. *Question.* Let  $X$  be a compact  $F$ -space without isolated points in which each nonempty  $G_\delta$  has nonempty interior. Is there a  $2^\omega$  by  $2^\omega$  independent matrix for  $X$ ?

## 2. Nice Filters

Let  $X$  be a normal space. A closed *filterbase* on  $X$  is a collection of closed subsets of  $X$  which is closed under finite intersections and which does not contain the empty set. The closed *filter* generated by the filterbase  $\mathcal{J}$  is the collection  $\{A \subset X : A = \bar{A} \text{ \& \ } \exists F \in \mathcal{J} : F \subset A\}$ . A closed *ultrafilter* is a maximal filter. The points  $X^*$  are identified with the nonprincipal closed ultrafilters on  $X$ . So

$A \in p$  means  $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} A$ .

Let  $X$  be the topological sum of countably many nonempty compact spaces, say  $X_n (n < \omega)$ . A closed filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is called *nice* provided that for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  the set

$$\{n < \omega : F \cap X_n = \emptyset\}$$

is finite, while in addition  $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ .

It is clear that no nice filter is an ultrafilter, in fact each nice filter can be extended to at least  $2^{2^\omega}$  ultrafilters.

2.1. Lemma. Let  $X$  be a compact  $F$ -space without isolated points in which each nonempty  $G_\delta$  has nonempty interior. For each  $n < \omega$  let  $Z_n$  be a nonempty closed  $G_\delta$  in  $X$  such that  $Z_n \cap (\bigcup\{Z_i : i \neq n\})^- = \emptyset$  and put  $Z = (\bigcup\{Z_n : n < \omega\})^- - \bigcup\{Z_n : n < \omega\}$ . Then there is a nice filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\bigcup\{Z_n : n < \omega\}$  such that

- ( $\alpha$ ) each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is the closure (in  $\bigcup\{Z_n : n < \omega\}$ ) of some open  $F_\sigma$  in  $X$ ;
- ( $\beta$ ) for each countable  $D \subset X - Z$  there is some  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $D \cap F = \emptyset$  (hence  $\overline{D} \cap \overline{F} = \emptyset$ ).

*Proof.* For each  $n < \omega$  let  $\{A_\beta^m(n) : m < \omega, \beta < \omega_1\}$  be an  $\omega$  by  $\omega_1$  independent matrix for  $X$  each element of which is contained in  $\text{int } Z_n$  (Proposition 1.1). Let  $D \subset X - Z$  be countable. Observe that for each  $n < \omega$  and  $m < \omega$  the family

$$\{\overline{A_\beta^m(n)} : \beta < \omega_1\}$$

is pairwise disjoint. Consequently, for each  $n < \omega$  and  $m < \omega$  we can find an index  $\beta(n, m; D) < \omega_1$  such that

$$D \cap (\overline{A_{\beta(n, m; D)}^m(n)})^- = \emptyset.$$

For each  $n < \omega$  put



$$U_n(D) = \bigcup_{k=0}^n A_{\beta(n,k;D)}^k(n).$$

Notice that  $U_n(D) \subset Z_n$  and that  $D \cap \overline{U_n(D)} = \emptyset$ . Define

$$U(D) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} U_n(D)$$

and let  $\mathcal{D} = \{D \subset X - Z : |D| \leq \omega\}$ .

*Claim.*  $\overline{U(D)} \cap \overline{D} = \emptyset$  for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, for each finite  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}$  there is an  $i < \omega$  such that  $Z_j \cap \bigcap \{U(E) : E \in \mathcal{E}\} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $j \geq i$ .

It is clear that  $\overline{U(D)} \cap D = \emptyset$  which implies that  $\overline{U(D)} \cap \overline{D} = \emptyset$  since  $D$  is countable and  $X$  is an  $F$ -space. The second clause of the claim is trivial.

Now let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the closed filter generated by  $\{\overline{U(D)} \cap \bigcup_{n < \omega} Z_n : D \in \mathcal{D}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is as required.

### 3. Extending Nice Filters to OK-Points

For technical reasons we slightly change Kunen's  $[K_2]$  concept of an OK-point. Let  $X$  be a normal space. In this note, a point  $p \in X^*$  is called  $\kappa$ -OK provided that for each sequence  $\{U_n : n < \omega\}$  of neighborhoods of  $p$  in  $X^*$  there are  $A_\alpha \in p$  ( $\alpha < \kappa$ ) such that for each  $n \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < \kappa$ :

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{cl}_{\beta X} A_{\alpha_i} \cap X^* \subset U_n.$$

Observe that the property of being  $\kappa$ -OK gets stronger as  $\kappa$  gets bigger.

**3.1. Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact space and let  $p \in X^*$  be  $\omega_1$ -OK. Then  $p$  is a weak  $P$ -point of  $X^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F \subset X^* - \{p\}$  be countable. Since  $p$  is

$\omega_1$ -OK there is an  $A \in \mathcal{p}$  such that  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} A \cap F = \emptyset$  (with precisely the same technique as in  $[K_2, 1.3]$ ). Put  $Y = X \cup F$ . Then  $Y$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, hence normal, and since  $F$  and  $A$  are both closed in  $Y$  there is a Urysohn map  $f: Y \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $f[F] = 0$  and  $f[A] = 1$ . Let  $\beta f: \beta Y \rightarrow [0,1]$  be the Stone extension of  $f$ . Since  $\beta X = \beta Y$  ( $[GJ, 6.7]$ ) and since  $\beta f(\mathcal{p}) = 1$  we conclude that  $\mathcal{p} \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} F$  (this type of argument is due to Negreponitis  $[N]$ ).

We are going to treat  $X$  like Kunen treated  $\omega$ , so we have to make appropriate adaptations of Kunen's definitions.

3.2. *Definition.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a closed filter on  $X$  and assume that no  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is compact.

If  $1 \leq n < \omega$ , an indexed family  $\{A_i: i \in I\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  is *precisely n-linked w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$*  if for all  $\sigma \in [I]^n$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\bigcap_{i \in \sigma} A_i \cap F$  is not compact, but for all  $\sigma \in [I]^{n+1}$ ,  $\bigcap_{i \in \sigma} A_i$  is compact.

An indexed family  $\{A_{in}: i \in I, 1 \leq n < \omega\}$  is a *linked system w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$*  if for each  $n$ ,  $\{A_{in}: i \in I\}$  is precisely  $n$ -linked w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$ , and for each  $n$  and  $i$ ,  $A_{in} \subset A_{i,n+1}$ .

An indexed family  $\{A_{in}^j: i \in I, 1 \leq n < \omega, j \in J\}$  is an  $I$  by  $J$  *independent linked family w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$*  if for each  $j \in J$ ,  $\{A_{in}^j: i \in I, 1 \leq n < \omega\}$  is a linked system w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$ , and:

$$\bigcap_{j \in \tau} \left( \bigcap_{i \in \sigma_j} A_{in}^j \right) \cap F$$

is not compact, whenever  $\tau \in [J]^{<\omega}$ , and for each  $j \in \tau$ ,  $1 \leq n_j < \omega$  and  $\sigma_j \in [I]^{n_j}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(All these definitions are copied from Kunen  $[K_2]$ ).

3.3. Lemma. There is a  $2^\omega$  by  $2^\omega$  independent linked family in  $\omega$  w.r.t. the filter of cofinite sets.

For a proof of this Lemma see [K<sub>2</sub>, 2.2].

3.4. Theorem. Let  $X$  be the topological sum of countably many compact nonempty spaces of weight at most  $2^\omega$ , say  $X_n$  ( $n < \omega$ ). Then each nice filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  can be extended to a closed ultrafilter  $\mathfrak{p}$  which is  $2^\omega$ -OK.

Proof. Let  $\{Z_\mu : \mu < 2^\omega \text{ \& \ } \mu \text{ is even}\}$  enumerate all nonempty closed  $G_\delta$ 's of  $X$  (there are clearly only  $2^\omega$  closed  $G_\delta$ 's). Let  $\{C_{\mu n} : n < \omega\} : \mu < 2^\omega \text{ \& \ } \mu \text{ is odd}$  enumerate all sequences of closed nonempty  $G_\delta$ 's satisfying

$$C_{\mu, n+1} \subset \text{int } C_{\mu n} \cap \bigcup_{i > n} X_i$$

for each  $n < \omega$ . Furthermore we assume that each sequence is listed cofinally often. Finally, let  $\{A_{\alpha n}^\beta : \alpha < 2^\omega, 1 \leq n < \omega, \beta < 2^\omega\}$  be an independent linked family of  $\omega$  with respect to the cofinite filter.

By induction on  $\mu$  we construct  $\mathcal{F}_\mu$  and  $K_\mu$  so that

- 1)  $\mathcal{F}_\mu$  is a closed filter on  $X$ ,  $K_\mu \subset 2^\omega$ , and  $\{\cup\{X_i : i \in A_{\alpha n}^\beta\} : \alpha < 2^\omega, 1 \leq n < \omega, \beta \in K_\mu\}$  is an independent linked family w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_\mu$ ;
- 2)  $K_0 = 2^\omega$  and  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}$ ;
- 3)  $\nu < \mu$  implies  $\mathcal{F}_\nu \subset \mathcal{F}_\mu$  and  $K_\nu \supset K_\mu$ ;
- 4) if  $\mu$  is a limit ordinal,  $\mathcal{F}_\mu = \bigcup_{\nu < \mu} \mathcal{F}_\nu$  and  $K_\mu = \bigcap_{\nu < \mu} K_\nu$ ;
- 5) for each  $\mu$ ,  $K_\mu - K_{\mu+1}$  is finite;
- 6) if  $\mu$  is even, either  $Z_\mu \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$  or some  $F \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$  misses  $Z_\mu$ ;
- 7) if  $\mu$  is odd and each  $C_{\mu n} \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$ , then there are

$D_{\mu\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mu+1}$  for  $\alpha < 2^\omega$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < 2^\omega$ ,  $(D_{\mu\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{\mu\alpha_n}) - C_{\mu n}$  has compact closure.

Notice that since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a nice filter, the collection  $\{U\{X_i : i \in A_{\alpha n}^\beta\} : \alpha < 2^\omega, 1 \leq n < \omega, \beta < 2^\omega\}$  is indeed an independent linked family w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}$ .

That this construction can be carried out follows by precisely the same argumentation as in Kunen [ $K_2$ , the proof of theorem 3.1]. The only place where the proof, modulo some obvious adaptations, is different, is at the end, namely in the case that  $\mu$  is odd and each  $C_{\mu n} \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$ . Now the "refinement system" for  $\langle C_{\mu n} : n < \omega \rangle$  must be defined as follows:

$$D_{\mu\alpha} = \bigcup_{1 \leq n < \omega} \bigcup \{X_i : i \in A_{\alpha n}^\beta\} \cap (C_{\mu n} - \text{int } C_{\mu, n+1}).$$

For details we refer to [ $K_2$ , 3.1]. Now let  $p$  be the ultrafilter generated by  $\bigcup_\mu \mathcal{F}_\mu$ . Then  $p$  is as required.

3.5. *Proof of Theorem 0.1.* We only show that some  $p \in X$  is a weak P-point but not a P-point; one can find  $2^{2^\omega}$  such points by combining our proof with the argument in [ $K_2$ , 0.1].

Let  $Z_n (n < \omega)$  be a sequence of nonempty closed  $G_\delta$ 's of  $X$  such that

$$Z_n \cap (U\{Z_i : i \neq n\})^- = \emptyset.$$

Put  $Y = \bigcup_{n < \omega} Z_n$  and observe that  $Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ , or, equivalently,  $\beta Y = \bar{Y}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a nice filter for  $Y$  as described in Lemma 2.1. By Theorem 3.4,  $\mathcal{F}$  can be extended to a closed ultrafilter  $p \in Y^*$  which is  $2^\omega$ -OK. Let  $D \subset X - \{p\}$  be countable. Take a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $X$  which

misses  $D \cap (\bar{Y} - Y)$  (Lemma 3.1). By Lemma 2.1 some  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  misses  $D - Y^*$ ; hence,

$$\bar{F} \cap (D - Y^*)^- = \emptyset.$$

Since  $p \in \bar{F}$  we can find a neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  which misses  $D - Y^*$ . Then  $U \cap V$  does not intersect  $D$ . Hence  $p$  is a weak P-point; clearly  $p$  is not a P-point.

3.6. *Remark.* In fact, Theorem 0.1 can be generalized. With the same proof it follows that each compact F-space  $X$  of weight  $2^\omega$  which can be mapped onto a compact F-space without isolated points in which each nonempty  $G_\delta$  has nonempty interior contains a weak P-point.

3.7. *Remark.* Notice that Theorem 0.1 implies that each compact F-space of weight  $2^\omega$  in which each nonempty  $G_\delta$  has nonempty interior contains a weak P-point.

#### 4. Remote Points

The point  $x \in X^*$  is called a *remote point* of  $X$  provided that

$$x \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} A$$

for each nowhere dense set  $A \subset X$ . Van Douwen [vD, 4.2] and Chae and Smith [CS] have shown that each nonpseudocompact space of countable  $\pi$ -weight<sup>1</sup> has a remote point. Subsequently, van Mill [vM<sub>1</sub>] showed that, more generally, each nonpseudocompact space which is a product of at most  $\omega_1$  spaces of countable  $\pi$ -weight has a remote point. For more

---

<sup>1</sup>A  $\pi$ -basis  $\beta$  for  $X$  is a collection of nonempty open subsets of  $X$  such that each nonempty open set in  $X$  contains an element of  $\beta$ . The  $\pi$ -weight,  $\pi(X)$ , is  $\omega \cdot \min\{|\beta| : \beta \text{ is a } \pi\text{-basis for } X\}$ .

information concerning remote points, see [vD], [vDvM], [CS], [KvMM], [vM<sub>1</sub>], [Wo].

4.1. *Theorem.* Let  $X$  be a locally compact normal non-pseudocompact space which is a product of at most  $\omega_1$  spaces of countable  $\pi$ -weight. Then  $X$  has a remote point  $x$  which is also a  $2^\omega$ -OK point.

*Proof.* The "remote filter"  $\mathcal{J}$  for  $X$  constructed in [vM<sub>1</sub>] is defined on a discrete sequence of compact subspaces while this filter in addition is nice. Now apply Theorem 3.4.

For each space  $X$  let  $EX$  be the unique extremally disconnected space which admits a perfect irreducible map onto  $X$ . The space  $EX$  is called the *projective cover* of  $X$  (for a beautiful survey on projective covers, see Woods [Wo]).

4.2. *Proof of Theorem 0.2.* The theorem is trivial in case  $E$  has an isolated point, so assume that  $E$  has no isolated points. Theorem 4.1 implies that there is a sequence  $\{C_n : n < \omega\}$  of pairwise disjoint nonempty clopen sets such that

$$C = \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n$$

has a remote point  $x$  which is also a  $2^\omega$ -OK point (observe that whenever  $f: Z_0 \rightarrow Z_1$  is perfect and irreducible and  $Z_0$  is normal, that  $|\beta f^{-1}(p)| = 1$  for each remote point  $p$  of  $Z_1$  ( $\beta f$  is the Stone extension of  $f$ )). We claim that  $x \notin \bar{D}$  for each countable discrete  $D \subset E - \{x\}$ . This is trivial however, since  $x$  is  $2^\omega$ -OK and  $\bar{D} \cap C$  is nowhere dense in  $C$  for each countable discrete  $D \subset E - (\bar{C} - C)$ .

### 5. Proof of Theorem 0.3

Let us recall that (\*) denotes the statement that there is a compactification  $\gamma\omega$  of  $\omega$  such that  $\gamma\omega - \omega$  is ccc and not separable.

5.1. *Lemma.* CH implies (\*) and (\*) implies that there is a compactification  $\gamma\omega$  of  $\omega$  such that  $\gamma\omega - \omega$  is ccc and nowhere separable.

*Proof.* By Tall [T, Ex. 7.5], the Stone space of the Boolean algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $[0,1]$  modulo the nullsets is a compact extremally disconnected ccc nonseparable space of weight  $2^\omega$ . Under CH, each compact space of weight at most  $2^\omega$  is a continuous image of  $\omega^*$ , or, equivalently, is the remainder of some compactification of  $\omega$  (cf. Parovičenko [P]). Hence CH implies (\*).

Now assume that  $b\omega$  is a compactification of  $\omega$  such that  $b\omega - \omega$  is ccc and not separable. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a maximal disjoint family of nonempty separable open subsets of  $b\omega - \omega$ . Then  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq \omega$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$  is not dense. Let  $V$  be a nonempty open  $F_\sigma$  of  $b\omega - \omega$  such that  $\bar{V} \cap \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}} = \emptyset$ . Now let  $\gamma\omega$  be the quotient space one obtains from  $b\omega$  by collapsing  $(b\omega - \omega) - V$  to a single point.

For each space  $X$  let  $RO(X)$  be the Boolean algebra of regular open subsets of  $X$ . It is clear that  $|RO(X)| \leq w(X)^{c(X)}$ , where  $w(X)$  and  $c(X)$  denote the weight and cellularity of  $X$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed irreducible<sup>2</sup> surjection then  $f\#: RO(X) \rightarrow RO(Y)$  defined by

---

<sup>2</sup>A continuous surjection  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called *irreducible* whenever  $f[A] \neq Y$  for each proper closed set  $A \subset X$ .

$$f\#(U) = Y - f[X - U]$$

clearly is a Boolean isomorphism, hence  $|RO(X)| = |RO(Y)| \leq w(Y)^{C(Y)}$ . This observation will be used in the remaining part of this section.

5.2. *Proof of Theorem 0.3.* For each  $n < \omega$  let  $Z_n$  be a nonempty closed  $G_\delta$  of  $X$  such that

$$Z_n \cap (\cup\{Z_i : i \neq n\})^- = \emptyset.$$

Let  $\{E_n : n < \omega\}$  be a partition of  $\omega$  in countably many infinite sets. For each  $n < \omega$  let  $\mathcal{J}_n$  be a nice filter on  $\cup\{Z_i : i \in E_n\}$  as described in Lemma 2.1. For each  $n < \omega$  put

$$F(n) = \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{J}_n} \bar{F}.$$

Notice that  $F(n) \cap F(m) = \emptyset$  whenever  $n \neq m$  and that  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} F(n)$

is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ . Define  $f: \bigcup_{n < \omega} Z_n \rightarrow \omega$  by

$$f(x) = n \iff x \in Z_n;$$

let  $f_n = f \upharpoonright \bigcup_{i \in E_n} Z_i$ . Let  $\beta f_n$  be the Stone extension of

$f_n (n < \omega)$ . Since  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} Z_n$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ ,

$$\beta(\cup\{Z_i : i \in E_n\}) = (\cup\{Z_i : i \in E_n\})^-$$

for each  $n < \omega$ . Put

$$S(n) = (\cup\{Z_i : i \in E_n\})^- - \cup\{Z_i : i \in E_n\}$$

( $n < \omega$ ). Then clearly  $\beta f_n[S(n)] = E_n^* \approx \omega^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_n$  is a nice filter we also have that

$$\beta f_n[F(n)] = E_n^*.$$

By (\*), let  $Y$  be some ccc nowhere separable remainder of a compactification of  $\omega$  (cf. Lemma 5.1). For each  $n < \omega$  let  $g_n$  map  $E_n^*$  onto  $Y$  and let  $h_n$  be the composition of  $\beta f_n \upharpoonright S(n)$  and  $g_n$ . Notice that  $h_n[F(n)] = Y$  for each  $n < \omega$ . For each



$n < \omega$  let  $Y(n) \subset F(n)$  be closed such that  $h_n \upharpoonright Y(n) \rightarrow Y$  is an irreducible surjection. Then  $|\text{RO}(Y(n))| = |\text{RO}(Y)| \leq w(Y)^{c(Y)} \leq (2^\omega)^\omega = 2^\omega$ . We conclude that  $Y(n)$  has weight  $2^\omega$ .

For each countable subset  $G$  of  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n)$  let  $\{U_n(G) : n < \omega\}$  be a maximal pairwise disjoint collection of nonempty regular closed sets of  $Y$  none of which intersects

$(\bigcup_{n < \omega} h_n[G \cap S(n)])^-$ . Define

$$L(G) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} (h_n^{-1}[\bigcup_{i \in n} U_i(G)] \cap Y(n)).$$

Notice that  $L(G)$  is a closed subset of  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n)$  and that

$L(G)$  does not intersect the closure (in  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n)$ ) of  $G$ . Also,

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L(G) : G \text{ is a countable subset of } \bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n)\}$$

is centered and the filter  $\mathcal{L}'$  generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  is nice. By Theorem 3.4  $\mathcal{L}'$  can be extended to an ultrafilter  $p$  which is  $2^\omega$ -OK. Since clearly  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} Y(n)$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ ,  $p$  is a point of  $X$ . We claim that  $p$  is a weak  $P$ -point of  $X$ .

Let  $H \subset X - \{p\}$  be countable. Put  $Z = (\bigcup_{i < \omega} Z_i)^- -$

$\bigcup_{i < \omega} Z_i$  and let

$$H_0 = H - Z.$$

For each  $n < \omega$  there is some  $G_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$  such that  $G_n \cap H_0 = \emptyset$ .

By construction of the filters  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , and since  $X$  is an  $F$ -space,

$$(\bigcup_{n < \omega} G_n)^- \cap \overline{H_0} = \emptyset.$$

Since  $p \in (\bigcup_{n < \omega} G_n)^-$  this shows that  $p \notin \overline{H_0}$ . Now, notice that

$Z$  is an  $F$ -space, being a closed subspace of the compact  $F$ -space  $X$ , and that each  $S(n)$  is a clopen subspace of  $Z$ ; consequently

$$(\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n))^+ \cap \overline{E} = \emptyset$$

for each countable  $E \subset Z - (\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n))^-$ . We conclude that

$$p \notin (H \cap (Z - (\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n))^-))^-.$$

Now let

$$H_1 = H \cap \bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n).$$

By construction of  $\mathcal{L}$  some  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  misses the closure (in

$\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n)$ ) of  $H_1$ . Therefore

$$\bar{L} \cap \bar{H}_1 = \emptyset,$$

since disjoint closed sets in  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n)$  have disjoint closures in  $X$ . This shows that  $p \notin \bar{H}_1$ . Define

$$H_2 = H \cap ((\bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n))^- - \bigcup_{n < \omega} S(n)).$$

If  $H_2' = H_2 - (\bigcup_{n < \omega} Y(n))^-$ , then by precisely the same technique

as in Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$\bar{H}_2' \cap (\bigcup_{n < \omega} Y(n))^- = \emptyset;$$

we conclude that  $p \notin \bar{H}_2'$ . Finally, put

$$H_3 = H \cap ((\bigcup_{n < \omega} Y(n))^- - \bigcup_{n < \omega} Y(n)).$$

Then  $p \notin \bar{H}_3$  since  $p$  is  $2^\omega$ -OK. We conclude that  $p \notin \bar{H}$ , i.e.

$p$  is a weak P-point of  $X$ . By construction,  $p$  is not a P-point.

By making an appropriate adaptation of [K<sub>2</sub>, 0.1] one can find  $2^{2^\omega}$  weak P-points which are not P-points.

5.3. *Remark.* By a somewhat different and more technical construction I have proved that Theorem 0.3 is true if one assumes that  $2^\omega = 2^{\omega_1}$ . In addition, Theorem 0.3 is true under the following hypothesis.

(\*\*) for each compact ccc space  $X$  of weight  $2^\omega$  there is

a closed  $P$ -set<sup>3</sup> in  $\omega^*$  which can be mapped onto  $X$ .

As  $(*)$ ,  $(**)$  is true if CH.

5.4. *Question.* Is one of  $(*)$ ,  $(**)$  true in ZFC?

5.5. *Question.* Let  $X$  be a compact infinite  $F$ -space without isolated points in which each nonempty  $G_\delta$  has nonempty interior. Are there  $|X|$  weak  $P$ -points in  $X$ ?

## 6. Remarks

It is shocking that the answer to a question as simple as:

is there in ZFC a compactification  $\gamma\omega$  of  $\omega$  such that  $\gamma\omega - \omega$  is ccc and not separable<sup>4</sup>

is unknown. The easiest way of solving this question would be to construct a compact ccc nonseparable space of weight  $\omega_1$ , since each compact space of weight  $\omega_1$  is the remainder of some compactification of  $\omega$  ( $[P]$ ). However, under  $MA + \neg CH$ , each compact ccc space of weight less than  $2^\omega$  is separable ( $[T, \text{Theorem 1.4 (a)}]$ ), which blocks this attempt (this was brought to my attention by Eric van Douwen).

Let us finally notice that Kunen has asked whether one can delete "F-space" from the hypotheses of our results.

6.1. *Question (Kunen).* Let  $X$  be a compact space of weight  $2^\omega$  in which each nonempty  $G_\delta$  has nonempty interior.

---

<sup>3</sup>A subset  $P \subset X$  is called a  $P$ -set whenever  $P \cap \overline{F} = \emptyset$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  of  $X$  which misses  $P$ .

<sup>4</sup>The author offers a bottle of Jenever (Dutch gin) for the first valid solution of this problem.

Is there a weak P-point in  $X$ ?

It is clear that this is true under CH.

Remarks added in August 1980: Our question whether a ccc nonseparable growth of  $\omega$  exists was answered, in the affirmative, by Bell [B]. Theorems 0.1 and 0.3 were generalized by Dow and van Mill [DvM] who showed, using Bell's result, that each compact nowhere ccc F-space contains a weak P-point. Subsequently, Dow [D] showed that each compact F-space of weight greater than  $2^\omega$  contains a weak P-point. For more generalizations, see [vM<sub>1</sub>], [vM<sub>3</sub>].

### References

- [B] M. G. Bell, *Compact ccc non-separable spaces of small weight* (to appear).
- [CS] S. B. Chae and J. H. Smith, *Remote points and G-spaces* (to appear in *Top. Appl.*).
- [D] A. Dow, *Weak P-points in compact ccc F-spaces* (to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*).
- [DvM] A. Dow and J. van Mill, *On nowhere dense ccc P-sets* (to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*).
- [vD] E. K. van Douwen, *Remote points* (to appear in *Diss. Math.*).
- [vDvM] \_\_\_\_\_ and J. van Mill, *Spaces without remote points* (to appear in *Pac. J. Math.*).
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Princeton, N.J.: van Nostrand (1960).
- [K<sub>1</sub>] K. Kunen, *Some points in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$* , *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 80 (1976), 385-398.
- [K<sub>2</sub>] \_\_\_\_\_, *Weak P-points in  $\mathbb{N}^*$*  (to appear in *Proc. Bolyái János Society Colloquium on Topology, Budapest* (1978)).
- [KvMM] \_\_\_\_\_, J. van Mill, and C. F. Mills, *On nowhere dense closed P-sets*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1980), 119-123.

- [vM<sub>1</sub>] J. van Mill, *Sixteen topological types in  $\beta\omega$ - $\omega$*  (to appear).
- [vM<sub>2</sub>] \_\_\_\_\_, *A simple observation concerning the existence of non-limit points in compact F-spaces*, *Top. Structures 2*, Mathematical Centre Tracts 115 (1979), 167-168.
- [vM<sub>3</sub>] \_\_\_\_\_, *Weak P-points in Čech-Stone compactifications* (to appear).
- [M] C. F. Mills, *An easier proof of the Shelah P-point independence theorem* (to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*).
- [N] S. Negrepointis, *The Stone space of the saturated Boolean algebra's*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 141 (1969), 515-527.
- [P] I. I. Parovičenko, *A universal bicomact of weight  $\aleph_1$* , *Sov. Math.* 4 (1969), 592-595.
- [R] W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Čech compactifications*, *Duke Math. J.* 23 (1956), 409-420.
- [T] F. D. Tall, *The countable chain condition versus separability--applications of Martin's axiom*, *Gen. Top. Appl.* 4 (1974), 315-340.
- [W] E. Wimmers, *The Shelah P-point independence theorem* (to appear in *Jsr. J. Math.*).
- [Wo] R. G. Woods, *A survey of absolutes of topological spaces*, *Top. Structures 2*, Mathematical Centre Tracts 116 (1979), 323-362.

Subfaculteit Wiskunde

Vrije Universiteit

De Boelelaan 1081

Amsterdam, The Netherlands