# A NOTE ON WALLMAN COMPACTIFICATIONS

### J. van MILL

#### ABSTRACT

It is shown that a compact tree-like space of weight less than or equal to  $2^{80}$  is regular Wallman. The same is true for the Cech-Stone compactification of a peripherally compact tree-like space which possesses at most  $2^{80}$  closed subsets.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Every Tychonoff space X admits Hausdorff compactifications, obtainable as the ultra-filter space of some normal base on X. These compactifications are called Wallman compactifications. Until now the question, raised in [2] and [3], whether all Hausdorff compactifications are Wallman compactifications remains unanswered, although many well known compactifications turned out to be Wallman compactifications ([1],[4],[9],[10]).

In this note we will show that a compact tree-like space of weight less than or equal to  $2^{\aleph_0}$  and the Cech-Stone compactification of a peripherally compact tree-like space, which possesses at most  $2^{\aleph_0}$  closed subsets, are regular Wallman (in the sense of STEINER [10]; such a space is a Wallman compactification of each dense subspace).

## 2. REGULAR WALLMAN SPACES

Let X be a topological space and let S be a collection of subsets of X. We will write V.S for the family of finite unions of ele-

ments of S and  $\land.S$  for the family of finite intersections of elements of S. The family  $\land.\lor.S = \lor.\land.S$  is closed both under finite intersections and finite unions; it is called the ring generated by S. We say that S is separating if for each closed subset  $F \subseteq X$  and for each  $X \in X \setminus F$  there exists  $S_0, S_1 \in S$  such that  $X \in S_0, F \subseteq S_1$  and  $S_0 \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ . A compact space is called regular Wallman if it possesses a separating ring of regular closed sets. It is known that each regular Wallman space is Wallman compactification of each dense subspace (STEINER [10]).

A connected space is called tree-like whenever every two points of X have a separation point. It is clear that all connected orderable spaces are tree-like, however, the class of tree-like spaces is much bigger. See, e.g., KOK [6]. Let X be a peripherally compact tree-like space. Let  $a,b \in X$  ( $a \neq b$ ) and define  $S(a,b) = \{x \in X \mid x \text{ separates} a \text{ and } b\} \cup \{a,b\}$ . It is well known that S(a,b) is an orderable connected subspace of X with two end points ([8],[6]) and, therefore, S(a,b) is compact ([5]). In [8], V.V. PROIZVOLOV proved that any two disjoint closed sets A and B of X are separated by a closed discrete set  $C = \{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ . The set C is not uniquely determined. In fact, each  $x_i$  is a point arbitrarily chosen from  $S(a_i,b_i)\setminus \{a_i,b_i\}$  for certain  $a_i,b_i \in X$  ( $i \in I$ ). Hence it follows that for each  $x_i$  there are at least 2 different choices.

This observation will be used in the proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let x be a peripherally compact tree-like space. Suppose x has at most  $2^{\aleph_0}$  closed subsets. Then ßx is regular Wallman.

PROOF. Let B be the collection of closed subsets of X. Define

$$A = \{ (B_0, B_1) \mid B_0, B_1 \in B \text{ and } B_0 \cap B_1 = \emptyset \}.$$

Note that card(A)  $\leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Assume that A is most economically well-ordered and denote the order by "<". Let  $(B_0, B_1)$  be the first element of A. Choose an open set U of X, with discrete boundary, such that

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{B}_0 \subset \textbf{U} \text{ and } \overline{\textbf{U}} \cap \textbf{B}_1 = \emptyset. \text{ Define } \textbf{U}_{(\textbf{B}_0,\textbf{B}_1)} = \textbf{U}. \text{ Let } (\textbf{B}_0^{\textbf{I}},\textbf{B}_1^{\textbf{I}}) \in \textbf{A} \text{ and suppose that all } \textbf{U}_{(\textbf{B}_0^{\textbf{X}},\textbf{B}_1^{\textbf{X}})} \text{ are constructed for all } (\textbf{B}_0^{\textbf{X}},\textbf{B}_1^{\textbf{X}}) < (\textbf{B}_0^{\textbf{I}},\textbf{B}_1^{\textbf{I}}). \text{ Note that} \end{array}$ 

$$\operatorname{card}\left(\left\{U_{(B_0^*,B_1^*)} \mid (B_0^*,B_1^*) < (B_0^*,B_1^*)\right\}\right) < 2^{\aleph_0},$$

since "<" is most economical. Define

$$H = \wedge \cdot \cdot \cdot \left\{ U_{(B_0^*, B_1^*)} \mid (B_0^*, B_1^*) < (B_0^*, B_1^*) \right\}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{H}$  consists of open sets with discrete boundary. Let  $C = \{x_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a discrete set separating  $B_0^i$  and  $B_1^i$ , and, for each  $i \in I$ , let  $S(a_i,b_i)$  be selected in such a way that  $x_i \in S(a_i,b_i) \setminus \{a_i,b_i\}$  while, moreover, for any choice of  $y_i \in S(a_i,b_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ) the set  $D = \{y_i \mid i \in I\}$  is again a closed discrete set separating  $B_0^i$  and  $B_1^i$  (cf. the remark preceding this theorem). Since  $S(a_i,b_i)$  is compact we have that

$$\operatorname{card}\left(\partial H \cap S(a_i,b_i)\right) < \aleph_0 \quad \text{for all } H \in \mathcal{H},$$

and, consequently,

$$\operatorname{card}\left( \begin{array}{ccc} U & [\partial H \cap S(a_i,b_i)] \end{array} \right) < 2^{\aleph_0}.$$

For each  $i \in I$  choose  $x_i' \in S(a_i,b_i) \setminus \{a_i,b_i\}$  such that

$$x_i' \notin U$$
 [ $\partial H \cap S(a_i,b_i)$ ].

It is clear that such a choice is possible. Define  $C' = \{x_i' \mid i \in I\}$  and let U be an open subset of X such that  $B_0' \subset \overline{U} \subset (U \cup C')$  and  $(U \cup C') \cap B_1' = \emptyset$ . Define

$$U_{(B_0', B_1')} = U.$$

Finally define

$$V = \wedge \cdot \cdot \left\{ U_{(B_0, B_1)} \mid (B_0, B_1) \in A \right\}.$$

As the intersection of two regular closed sets, with disjoint boundaries, is again regular closed it immediately follows that  $\{ \overline{V} \mid V \in V \}$  is a ring consisting of regular closed subsets of X while, moreover, it separates the closed subsets of X. Since X is normal,  $\beta X$  is regular Wallman (MISRA [7], theorem 3.4).  $\Box$ 

This theorem only proves that  $\beta X$  is regular Wallman, even in case X is peripherally compact tree-like, for a rather small class of spaces. It includes, for instance, the fact that  $\beta IR$  is regular Wallman. It is clear that with the same technique it follows that

COROLLARY 2.2. A compact tree-like space of weight less than or equal to  $\frac{\aleph_0}{2}$  is regular Wallman.

This suggests the following question.

QUESTION 2.3. Is any compact tree-like space regular Wallman?

- [1] AARTS, J.M., Every metric compactification is a Wallman-type compactification, Proc. Intern. Symp. on Topology and its Applications, Herceg-Novi (Yugoslavia) (1968).
- [2] BANACHSCHEWSKI, B., On Wallman's method of compactification, Math. Nachrichten, 27, 105-114 (1963).
- [3] FRINK, O., Compactifications and semi-normal spaces, Amer. J. Math., 86, 602-607 (1964).
- [4] HAMBURGER, P., On Wallman-type, regular Wallman-type and z-compactifications, Per. Math. Hung., 1, 303-309 (1971).
- [5] KELLEY, J.L., General topology, Van Nostrand, New York (1955).
- [6] KOK, H., Connected orderable spaces, Mathematical Centre Tracts, 49, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam (1974).
- [7] MISRA, A.K., Some regular Wallman BX, Indag. Math., 35, 237-242 (1973).

- [8] PROIZVOLOV, V.V., On the hereditary and collectiv normality of a peripherally compact tree-like space, Soviet Math. Dokl., 11, 1072-1075 (1970).
- [9] STEINER, A.K. & E.F. STEINER, Products of compact metric spaces are regular Wallman, Indag. Math., 30, 428-430 (1968).
- [10] STEINER, E.F., Wallman spaces and compactifications, Fund. Math., 61, 295-304 (1968).
- [11] WALLMAN, H., Lattices and topological spaces, Annals of Math., 39, 112-126 (1938).

(Received, February 2, 1976) Department of Mathematics,

Department of Mathematics, Free University, Amsterdam.