

## 5th Homework sheet Proof Theory

- Deadline: 16 March, 13:00 sharp.
- Submit your solutions by handing them to the lecturer or the teaching assistant at the *beginning of the exercise class*.
- There are some exercises on the other page.
- Good luck!

A formula  $\varphi$  is in *negation normal form* if any implication occurring in  $\varphi$  has an atomic formula on the left and  $\perp$  on the right. In classical logic every formula is equivalent to a formula in negation normal form. One way of seeing this is as follows: define for any formula  $\varphi$  two new formulas  $\mathbf{T}\varphi$  and  $\mathbf{F}\varphi$  by simultaneous recursion, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 \mathbf{T}\varphi & = & \varphi & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is atomic} & \mathbf{F}\varphi & = & \neg\varphi & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is atomic} \\
 \mathbf{T}(\varphi \wedge \psi) & = & \mathbf{T}\varphi \wedge \mathbf{T}\psi & & \mathbf{F}(\varphi \wedge \psi) & = & \mathbf{F}\varphi \vee \mathbf{F}\psi \\
 \mathbf{T}(\varphi \vee \psi) & = & \mathbf{T}\varphi \vee \mathbf{T}\psi & & \mathbf{F}(\varphi \vee \psi) & = & \mathbf{F}\varphi \wedge \mathbf{F}\psi \\
 \mathbf{T}(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) & = & \mathbf{F}\varphi \vee \mathbf{T}\psi & & \mathbf{F}(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) & = & \mathbf{T}\varphi \wedge \mathbf{F}\psi \\
 \mathbf{T}(\exists x \varphi) & = & \exists x \mathbf{T}\varphi & & \mathbf{F}(\exists x \varphi) & = & \forall x \mathbf{F}\varphi \\
 \mathbf{T}(\forall x \varphi) & = & \forall x \mathbf{T}\varphi & & \mathbf{F}(\forall x \varphi) & = & \exists x \mathbf{F}\varphi
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that for any formula  $\varphi$  both  $\mathbf{T}\varphi$  and  $\mathbf{F}\varphi$  are in negation normal form and that  $\mathbf{T}\varphi$  is classically equivalent to  $\varphi$ , while  $\mathbf{F}\varphi$  is classically equivalent to  $\neg\varphi$  (you do not need to prove these facts).

- (a) (*40 points*) Show that for every formula  $\varphi$  the sequent  $\mathbf{T}\varphi, \mathbf{F}\varphi \Rightarrow \perp$  is derivable in the intuitionistic sequent calculus without the cut rule.
- (b) (*40 points*) Prove the following implication: if the sequent  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \Rightarrow \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m$  is provable in the classical sequent calculus for predicate logic without the cut rule, then the sequent  $\mathbf{T}\varphi_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}\varphi_n, \mathbf{F}\psi_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}\psi_m \Rightarrow \perp$  is derivable in intuitionistic sequent calculus for predicate logic without the cut rule.

- (c) (20 points) Define  $\varphi^* = \neg \mathbf{F}\varphi$ . Deduce from (b) that  $\varphi$  is a classical tautology precisely when  $\varphi^*$  is an intuitionistic tautology.
- (d) (Chocolate bar exercise) Is the mapping  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  defined in (c) a negative translation? Justify your answer!