4th Homework sheet Proof Theory

- Deadline: 9 March, 13:00 sharp.
- Submit your solutions by handing them to the lecturer or the teaching assistant at the *beginning of the exercise class*.
- Good luck!

Exercise 1 (50 points) Let T be an arbitrary set and let \succ_1 be an arbitrary relation on that set. We think of the elements of T as terms (or perhaps derivations) and \succ_1 as some reduction relation. In that spirit we define a *reduction sequence* of length n to be a sequence

 $\langle t_0,\ldots,t_n\rangle$

with $t_i \succ_1 t_{i+1}$ for every i < n. We will write $t \succeq t'$ if there is some reduction sequence starting with t and ending with t' (so \succeq is the reflexive and transitive closure of \succ_1). In addition, we will say that $t \in T$ is in *normal form* if there is no s such that $t \succ_1 s$ and we will say that s is a *normal form of* t if $t \succeq s$ and s is in normal form.

Finally, we will say that (T, \succ_1) is strongly normalising if for every $t \in T$ there is a number $n = \nu(t)$ such that there is a reduction sequence of length n starting from t, but reduction sequences starting from t longer than n do not exist; and we will say that (T, \succ_1) is weakly confluent if for every triple $t, t_0, t_1 \in T$ with $t \succ_1 t_0$ and $t \succ_1 t_1$ there is an $s \in T$ with $t_0 \succeq s$ and $t_1 \succeq s$.

Show that if (T, \succ_1) is strongly normalising and weakly confluent, then every $t \in T$ has a unique normal form.

Hint: Use induction on $\nu(t)$.

Exercise 2 (50 points) In this exercise we work in intuitionistic natural deduction and restrict to the fragment of propositional logic only containing conjunction \wedge and implication \rightarrow . In addition, we drop the symbol for falsum \perp and the ex falso rule.

On derivations in this fragment we consider the following reduction steps: in any derivation containing as a subderivation (subtree)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{D}_0 & \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \varphi_0 & \varphi_1 \\ \hline \hline \frac{\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_1}{\varphi_i} \end{array}$$

we may replace this by \mathcal{D}_i , and any subderivation (subtree)

$$\begin{array}{c} [\varphi] \\ \mathcal{D}_0 \\ \\ \underline{\psi} \\ \varphi \rightarrow \psi \\ \psi \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \varphi \\ \varphi \end{array}$$

may be replaced by:

$$egin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_1 \ arphi \ \mathcal{P} \ \mathcal{D}_0 \ \psi \end{array}$$

Use Theorem 2.1 from Chapter 9 from the handout (strong normalisation) and the previous exercise to show that derivations in this fragment of logic have unique normal forms with respect to these rewriting rules.