

11th Exercise sheet Proof Theory

16 Mar 2018

Exercise 1 Let φ be a formula of type σ in the language of HA^ω . Show that HA^ω proves that

$$\mathbf{R}^\sigma \mathbf{mr} [\varphi(0) \rightarrow (\forall x^0 (\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(Sx)) \rightarrow \forall x^0 \varphi(x))].$$

Exercise 2 Let $\varphi(x)$ be a formula of type σ and ψ be a formula of type τ in the language of HA^ω (the variable x is of type ρ and does occur freely in $\varphi(x)$, but not in ψ). Show that

$$t \mathbf{mr} [(\exists x^\rho \varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \forall x^\rho (\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi)]$$

where $t = \lambda s^{(\rho \times \sigma) \rightarrow \tau} . \lambda x^\rho . \lambda y^\sigma . s(\mathbf{p}xy)$.

Exercise 3 In this exercise we work in HA^ω .

- (a) Let φ be a formula of type τ whose free variables are x^ρ and y^σ . Show that HA^ω proves that

$$t \mathbf{mr} [\exists x^\rho \forall y^\sigma \varphi(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y^\sigma \exists x^\rho \varphi(x, y)]$$

if $t = \lambda s^{\rho \times (\sigma \rightarrow \tau)} . \lambda a^\sigma . \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{p}_0 s)(\mathbf{p}_1 sa)$.

- (b) Let φ, ψ and χ be sentences. Construct a term t such that HA^ω proves that

$$t \mathbf{mr} [(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow ((\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \chi)].$$

Do not just give right term but also show that it is correct!

Exercise 4 (For people familiar with recursion theory.) Recall that we can code pairs of natural numbers as natural numbers in such a way that both the pairing and the projection operations are computable, and let us write $\langle m, n \rangle$ for a natural number coding the pair consisting of natural numbers m and n . In addition, we assume that we have fixed some suitable enumeration of the partial computable functions from the natural numbers to the natural numbers. We will write $m \cdot n \downarrow$ to mean: the m th computable function terminates on input n , in which case we will write $m \cdot n$ for the result.

By induction on the finite type σ we will define a set of natural numbers HEO_σ and an equivalence relation \sim_σ on that set.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{HEO}_0 &= \mathbb{N} \\
m \sim_0 n &\Leftrightarrow m = n \\
\text{HEO}_{\sigma \times \tau} &= \{\langle m, n \rangle : m \in \text{HEO}_\sigma, n \in \text{HEO}_\tau\} \\
\langle m, n \rangle \sim_{\sigma \times \tau} \langle m', n' \rangle &\Leftrightarrow m \sim_\sigma m' \text{ and } n \sim_\tau n' \\
\text{HEO}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall m \in \text{HEO}_\sigma (n \cdot m \downarrow \wedge n \cdot m \in \text{HEO}_\tau) \text{ and} \\
&\quad \forall m, m' \in \text{HEO}_\sigma (m \sim_\sigma m' \rightarrow n \cdot m \sim_\tau n \cdot m')\} \\
n \sim_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} n' &\Leftrightarrow (\forall m \in \text{HEO}_\sigma) n \cdot m \sim_\tau n' \cdot m'
\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Convince yourself that \sim_σ does indeed define an equivalence relation on HEO_σ .
- (b) Show that HEO can be regarded as a model of E-PA^ω if we interpret elements of type σ as elements of HEO_σ and equality of objects of type σ as \sim_σ .
- (c) Show that in the HEO -model of E-PA^ω the following choice principle fails:

$$\text{AC}_{0,0}: \quad \forall x^0 \exists y^0 \varphi(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f^{0 \rightarrow 0} \forall x^0 \varphi(x, f(x)).$$