# Probabilistic Robotics PRRO6Y, Fall 2017 Book Assignment 2.8.1 Assigned: Tuesday September 5; Due: Thursday September 7, 13:00 in the afternoon 

September 6, 2017

A robot uses a range sensor that can measure ranges from $0 m$ to $3 m$. For simplicity, assume that actual ranges are distributed uniformly in this interval. Unfortunatelly, the sensor can be faulty. When the sensor is faulty, it constantly outputs a range below $1 m$, regardless of the actual range in the sensor's measurement cone. We know that the prior probability for a sensor to be faulty is $p=0.01$.
Suppose the robot queried its sensor $N$ times, and every single time the measurement value is below 1 m . What is the posterior probability of a sensor fault, for $N=1,2, \ldots, 10$ ? Formulate the corresponding probabilistic model.
Hint: Evidence is build up when the sensor is queried, so the normalizer in Bayes rule can't be ignored.


#### Abstract

Answer

Let's call the prior probability that the sensor is faulty on the first timestep $P_{t=1}(f)$, which has the given value 0.01 . The probability that the next time $(t=2)$ the observation $Z<1 m$ is measured, is depended on two cases. The first case is that the sensor is faulty $(P(f))$, with the consequence that with a probability of 1.0 that $Z<1 m$ is measured. The other case is that the sensor is not faulty (which occurs $P(\bar{f})=(1-P(f))$. The chance that then $Z<1 m$ is measured is $1 / 3$, because the actual ranges are distributed uniformly in the interval $0 m$ to $3 m$. The result is $P_{t=2}(Z<1 m)=P_{t=1}(f) * 1.0+P_{t=1}(\bar{f}) * 0.333$. This is the change that $P(Z<1 m)$. Yet, we are interested in $P(f \mid z)$. We could now apply Bayes rule:


$$
\begin{equation*}
P(f \mid z)=\frac{P(z \mid f) P(f)}{P(z)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Initially the chance that the sensor is faulty is the prior $P_{0}(f)=0.01$, there after it is the result of the previous calculation $P_{i-1}(f)=P\left(f \mid z_{1}, \cdots, z_{i-1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{i}(f \mid z) & =\frac{P(z \mid f) P_{i-1}(f)}{P(z)}  \tag{2}\\
& =\frac{P(z \mid f) P_{i-1}(f)}{P(z \mid f) P_{i-1}(f)+P(z \mid \bar{f}) P_{i-1}(\bar{f})}  \tag{3}\\
& =\frac{1.0 \cdot P_{i-1}(f)}{1.0 \cdot P_{i-1}(f)+0.333 \cdot\left(1-P_{i-1}(f)\right)} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can calulate $P(f \mid z)$ for every timestep:

```
Pf(1) = 0.01;
for i = 2:11
    Pz(i) = Pf(i - 1) + 0.333*(1-Pf(i - 1))
    Pf(i) = Pf(i-1) / Pz(i);
end
```

The result is that after 10 observations $Z<1 m$, one is quite sure that the sensor is faulty. (with a convidence of $99.5 \%$ ), as can be seen in the following plot (generated with the following Matlab commands):

```
plot(1:11,[Pf(:)])
title( 'Posterior probability sensor fault P(f|z_{t ... 1}<1|)')
xlabel ('measurement z_t')
ylabel ('Conditional probability')
```



Figure 1: The probability of a sensor fault as function a repeated observation $Z<1 m$

