

A DRC post mortem by Teams ViGIR and HECTOR

Stefan Kohlbrecher, Alberto Romay, Alexander Stumpf, Achim Stein, Florian Reimold, Oskar von Stryk

Simulation, Systems Optimization and Robotics (SIM) Group, TU Darmstadt, Germany

Philipp Schillinger

Robert Bosch GmbH, Corporate Research, Department for Cognitive Systems. Stuttgart, Germany

Spyros Maniatopoulos, Hadas Kress-Grazit

Verifiable Robotics Research Group, School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

David C. Conner

Christopher Newport University, Newport News, United States



Personal Intro





- Stefan Kohlbrecher
 - PostDoc at TU Darmstadt, SIM group (Prof. Oskar von Stryk)
 - Team Lead Team Hector (2011-)
 - Onboard S/W Lead DRC Team ViGIR (2012-2015)
 - Onboard S/W Lead Team ARGONAUTS (2014-)
- Research Interests
 - (Supervised) Autonomy for USAR systems
 - SLAM
 - Manipulation
- kohlbrecher@sim.tu-darmstadt.de



Outline



Intro

- The DRC
- Teams
- (ROS based) Infrastructure
- VRC
- System Overview
- DRC Finals
- Lessons Learned



The DARPA Robotics Challenge





...close study of the disaster's first 24 hours, before the cascade of failures carried reactor 1 beyond any hope of salvation, reveals clear inflection points where minor differences would have prevented events from spiraling out of control. *IEEE Spectrum*, November 2011 pg. 36. (online version)





DRC - Tasks and Rules































DRC - The Meta-Challenges



- Highly compressed timeline
- Multiple competition events
 - VRC (June 2013)
 - Trials (Dec 2013)
 - Finals (June 2015)
- Systems integration
- High reliabilty
 - Only few attempts at tasks





Team ViGIR



International collaboration, Track B Atlas team. VIrginia Germany Interdisciplinary Robotics

- TORC Robotics (Blacksburg, VA)
- TU Darmstadt (Darmstadt, Germany)
- Virginia Tech (Blacksburg, VA)
- Cornell University (Ithaca, NY)
- Leibnitz Universität Hannover (Hannover, Germany)
- Oregon State University (Corvallis, OR)





Team ViGIR







Team ViGIR



- Track B team, DRC participation from day one
 - Virtual Robotics Challenge (VRC)
 - DRC Trials
 - DRC Finals
- Software available: github.com/team-vigir
 - Exceptions:
 - Robot controller
 - Comms bridge
- Other teams using ViGIR software at DRC Finals
 - HECTOR (SIM, TU Darmstadt)
 - VALOR (TREC, Virginia Tech)



Team Hector Darmstadt



- Heterogeneous Cooperating Team of Robots
- Focus on autonomous systems for monitoring/exploration in disaster scenarios
 - Example/validation scenario RoboCup Rescue
- Robots
 - Unmanned ground vehicles (UGVs)
 - Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs)
 - Humanoids
- Successful participation in international competitions
- Major parts of developed software available to the research community

http://www.sim.informatik.tu-darmstadt.de/teamhector/ https://www.facebook.com/TeamHectorDarmstadt/





Team Hector DRC



- Late Entry (January 2015)
- Leverage and demonstrate platform independence of existing ViGIR software
- Robotis THOR-MANG robot
 - THOR-MANG number 5 → Name "Johnny 05"
 - ~50kg
 - ~1.50m
 - Electrically actuated



wired.de



Open Source Efforts by other DRC competitors



MIT:

- Pronto State Estimator (pronto-distro github)
- Drake Planning and Control (drake github)
- Director UI (director github)
- IHMC:
 - IHMC Controller
 - SCS Simulator (ihmc_ros bitbucket)
- JSK:
 - Extensive ROS-based Software (jsk-ros-pkgs github)



Hardware

- Boston Dynamics (BDI) Atlas robot
 - Hydraulically actuated
- Our Atlas nicknamed "Florian" (after patron saint of firefighters)
- API provided by BDI
 - Walking/Stepping
 - Balancing
- Upper body planning decoupled from low level balance control







Hardware - Atlas Versions



- Atlas V3 (2013–Nov 2014)
 - Tethered
 - 6DOF arms





Hardware - Atlas Versions



- Atlas V3 (2013–Nov 2014)
 - Tethered
 - 6DOF arms
- Atlas V4 (Feb 2015-Mar 2015)
 - Untethered
 - Onboard Computing
 - 6DOF arms





Hardware - Atlas Versions



- Atlas V3 (2013–Nov 2014)
 - Tethered
 - 6DOF arms
- Atlas V4 (Feb 2015-Mar 2015)
 - Untethered
 - Onboard Computing
 - 6DOF arms
- Atlas V5 (Apr 2015-Aug 2015)
 - As V4, but 7DOF arms (lower 3 joints electric)





Infrastructure

- Use of ROS from the beginning
 - Prior experience
 - Great community
 - A lot of useful software
 - Integration with DRCsim
- Private git(lab) repos
 - Now moved to github
- Project management via Redmine
 - Every task in issue tracker
 - Hundreds of Wiki-pages









ROS

Timeline with a Focus on Infrastructure







Infrastructure – Managing Robot Variability



- Many variants:
 - 3+ Atlas versions
 - 4 Hand types
- Could use args/params
 - Unwieldy to forward through launch files

- Use environments variables
- Generate robot model (and onboard software setup) at launch-time

filename="\$(find atlas_description)/urdf/\$(optenv VIGIR_ATLAS_ROBOT_TYPE atlas_v5)_simple_shapes.urdf"/>
filename="\$(find atlas_description)/urdf/\$(optenv VIGIR_ATLAS_ROBOT_TYPE atlas_v5).\$(optenv VIGIR_SIM_TYPE
filename="\$(find atlas_description)/urdf/\$(optenv VIGIR_ATLAS_ROBOT_TYPE atlas_v5).transmission" />

filename="\$(find atlas_description)/robots/multisense/\$(optenv VIGIR_ATLAS_MULTISENSE_TYPE sim)_nultisense

filename="\$(find atlas_description)/robots/hands/\$(optenv VIGIR_ATLAS_LEFT_HAND_TYPE l_stump).urdf.xacro" ,
filename="\$(find atlas_description)/robots/hands/\$(optenv VIGIR_ATLAS_RIGHT_HAND_TYPE r_stump).urdf.xacro"

github.com/team-vigir/vigir_atlas_common/blob/master/atlas_description/robots/vigir_atlas.urdf.xacro

Infrastructure - Deployment to multiple machines



- Complex system
 - 3 Onboard Computers
 - 1 Field Computer
 - 4 OCS Computers
- Fast development cycles
 - Build and deploy quickly and consistently
- Remotelaunch scripts
 - Build using catkin (install)
 - Deploy using rsync
 - Start using ssh/screen

github.com/team-vigir/remotelaunch



Infrastructure - Deployment to multiple machines





TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT

Infrastructure - Simulation Options



- No single solution that can do everything currently available (as open source)
 - IHMC controller/Atlas with Gazebo integration to be released ?

Simulator/Robot	Locomotion	Manipulation	Remarks
Atlas/BDI/DRCsim	(Yes)	No	Only with BDI lib
Atlas/IHMC/SCS	Yes	No	
Atlas/IHMC/DRCsim	(Yes)	(Yes)	Coming soon ?
Valkyrie/IHMC/DRCsim	(Yes)	(Yes)	Coming soon ?
Thor-Mang/Gazebo4	No	Yes	



Coming soon :)







DRC - Communication constraints



	Uplink (to OCS)	Downlink (to robot)	Remarks	
VRC	Total ~115 kB for 30 minutes. 500 ms latency	Total, ~7 MB for 30 minutes. 500 ms latency	Worst case (20% scenarios)	∕o of
Trials	1 MB/s, 50ms latency	1 MB/s, 50 ms latency	Good comms	Switch
	100 kB/s, 500 ms latency	100 kB/s, 500 ms latency	Bad comms	minute
Finals	1.2 kB/s	1.2 kB/s		
	300 Mbit/s		Outages of 1-30 seconds after ro traverses door	bot

VRC Rules (pdf) Trials Rules (pdf) Finals Rules (pdf)



VRC



- 15 runs
- 30 mins each
- Variability in scenarios
- 7 MB/30 minutes from robot worst case











	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 5	Total (Max. 20)
Task 1	0	1	1	1	0	3
Task 2	4	2	4	4	4	18
Task 3	1	1	2	1	1	6

Team	Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Total
IHMC	12	20	20	52
WPI	15	20	4	39
MIT	5	20	9	34
TRACLabs	4	20	6	30
JPL	5	20	4	29
ViGIR	3	18	6	27



Components - Controls









Controls



- Use of BDI supplied library
 - Walk (dynamic stability)
 - Step (static stability)
 - Manipulate (balance while standing)
- Provided as binary
 - Black box, no source (also for DRC teams)
 - Not available to general public :(
- Effort to integrate IHMC Whole Body controller
 - Use in competition prevented by time constraints/delays





Components - State Estimation









Components - State Estimation





March 2nd, 2016 | DRC post mortem | Stefan Kohlbrecher | 34



State Estimation

- Provide state (pose) estimate for robot
- Fuse
 - Leg Kinematics
 - IMU
- Continuous but drifting estimate
 - Low drift with good sensors
- Use MIT's pronto
 - Tuned for Atlas system
 - pod build system
 - LCM communications
- LIDAR use dangerous in non-static environment

pronto-distro (ViGIR fork)







Components - Perception





March 2nd, 2016 | DRC post mortem | Stefan Kohlbrecher | 36


Components - Perception





VIGIR SIM

March 2nd, 2016 | DRC post mortem | Stefan Kohlbrecher | 38

Perception

- Provide situational awareness for operator(s)
- Provide world state estimate for robot
 - Footstep planning
 - Manipulation







Perception -LidarOctomapUpdater



- Environment octomap updated in real-time
- Provide collision model for planner
- Also provide filtered LIDAR data for overall system
 - Annotate with transform information as tf prohibitive over constrained comms



github.com/team-vigir/vigir_manipulation_planning/tree/master/vigir_lidar_octomap_updater



Perception - Compressing LIDAR Data



 Standard scan too big for 1500 Byte UDP limit



github.com/team-vigir/vigir_perception/tree/master/vigir_filtered_localized_scan_utils





Perception - Compressing LIDAR Data



- Standard scan too big for 1500 Byte UDP limit
- Compress:
 - Split (3 separate scans)
 - Distances to uint_16
 - Intensities to uint_8
 - Self filter bit
- Add start/end global transform info
- Can reconstruct on OCS side
 - Every compressed scan usable standalone

github.com/team-vigir/vigir_perception/tree/master/vigir_filtered_localized_scan_utils





Perception - World Model Server



- Collect LIDAR data
- Provide services
 - Pointcloud ROIs
 - Octomap ROIs
 - Gridmap slice ROIs
 - Distance queries
- Two instances
 - Onboard
 - OCS
- Sync via compressed scans



github.com/team-vigir/vigir_perception/tree/master/vigir_worldmodel_server



Situational Awareness using Fisheye Cameras

- Fisheye cameras provide high FOV
- Hard to interpret for humans
- Calibrate Fisheye cam using the ocamlib toolbox
- Virtual pinhole camera that follows tf frames

github.com/team-vigir/vigir_wide_angle_image_proc









Mesh Visualization



- Latest image data texture mapped onto mesh
 - Depth image-based: Fast update rate, low range
 - LIDAR-based: Low update rate, high range





Mesh Visualization





github.com/team-vigir/vigir_ocs_common/tree/master/vigir_ocs_rviz_plugins/vigir_ocs_rviz_plugin_mesh_display_custom



Components - Footstep Planner





VIGIR SIM

Components - Footstep Planner







Footstep Planner



- Based on work by Hornung et. al. [1]
 - A*-search-based planning approach





[1] Hornung et.al. Anytime Search-Based Footstep Planning with Suboptimality Bounds, Humanoids 2012



Footstep Planner

- Complex Locomotion:
 - 3D perception and modeling
 - Safe sequences of foot placements
 - 6DOF foot placements
 - Obstacle avoidance
 - Balance control
- Divide and conquer
 - Terrain Model Generator
 - 3D Footstep Planning
 - Robot Controller











Terrain Model Generator

- Only point clouds required
 - Octree as back-end
 - Incremental updates
 - Stand-alone ROS package
 - Usable in other domains



github.com/team-vigir/vigir_terrain_classifier

vigir sim

Terrain Model Generator



- Online Generation
 - Surface Normals (left)
 - Height Map (right)





Footstep Planner: 3D Planning



Extension to 3D



- States: Become full 6 DOF
- Actions: Remain the same
- Roll, pitch and step height are constrained by underlying terrain
- Search space does not enlarge
- No expensive branching tree!



Footstep Planner: 3D Planning



- Sampling of foot surface
- Estimate contact situation of each sample using height map
- More flexible collision checking model
- Allows overhanging steps







TECHNISCHE

UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Footstep Planner: Plugins



- Plugins used for customization of all relevant system aspects
- Setups for 3 robots already available:
 - Atlas
 - Thor-Mang
 - ESCHER





Footstep Planner: Example







Footstep Planner: Interactivity







Components - Footstep Planner







Components - Manipulation





Vigir sim



Motion Planning - Requirements

- Manipulation
 - Collision free planning
 - Cartesian Paths
 - Manipulation in contact with environment
 - Maintain stability
- Sliding Autonomy:
 - Operator/OCS-based (Teleop)
 - Operator/Object template based (Task level)
 - Behavior Executive (Autonomous)
- Use Movelt! as back-end





Motion Planning - Robot Setup

- Different robot variants
- Different hand variants
- Combinatory explosion of configs
 - Do not want to run setup assistant for every (possible) combination
- Solution:
 - Use of xacro macros to change configs



github.com/team-vigir/vigir_atlas_planning/tree/master/vigir_atlas_moveit_config



Motion Planning - Overview







Planning - Capabilities



- Additional move_group capability
 - Different types of motion requests
 - Joint goal
 - Cartesian goal
 - Cartesian Path (waypoints)
 - Circular motion
 - Specify planning reference pose relative to endeffector
 - Constrain joint limits selectively at run-time



github.com/team-vigir/vigir_manipulation_planning/tree/master/vigir_move_group





Planning - Object Templates

- On top of vigir_move_group
- Operator places objects
- Planning relative to instantiated object templates
- Object template library
 - Geometry
 - Mass/Inertia
 - Grasps
 - Stand poses



github.com/team-vigir/vigir_object_template_manager



Planning - "Ghost" robot



- Pre-plan motions with virtual "Ghost Robot"
- Additional capabilities compared to start/goal state visualization in Movelt! Rviz plugin
 - Snap endeffectors to objects
 - Move to stand poses relative to object templates
 - Constrain IK joint limits
 - Send low-bandwidth planning request directly from OCS



github.com/team-vigir/vigir_manipulation_planning/tree/master/vigir_ocs_robot_model



























Manipulation example







Manipulation - Drake Integration



- Switch between Movelt! and MIT's Drake planning framework on a per plan request basis
 - Whole Body Motions
 - Using github.com/tu-darmstadt-ros-pkg/rosmatlab





Manipulation - Reaching motion using Drake Integration







Components - FlexBE Behavior Executive





vigir sim
Components - FlexBE Behavior Executive





vigir sim

March 2nd, 2016 | DRC post mortem | Stefan Kohlbrecher | 73

Behavior Executive - High-Level Approach



- Communication constraints
- Limited time
- Complex robot system



Flexible Robot-Operator Collaboration

- Unstructured environment
- Complex tasks
- Robustness important

Motivates high degree of **operator support**



Behavior Executive - High-Level Approach



- SMACH, XABSL, etc.
 - Focused on pure autonomy
 - Pre-defined robot behavior
- Required features:
 - Allow multiple degrees of autonomy
 - Support and restrict robot when in low autonomy
 - Adapt behavior to unforeseen situations
 - Abstraction of complex behavior design
 - Robust against runtime failure



Behavior Executive - FlexBE



"Flexible Behavior Engine"

- Based on SMACH \rightarrow Hierarchical state machines
- Adds robot-operator collaboration
- Available on GitHub: github.com/team-vigir/flexbe_behavior_engine









- Interface basic robot capabilities / actions
- Executed periodically
- Event-based lifecycle (simplified):



Send command(s), eg.

- publish message
- actionlib call

Check conditions and

- evaluate results
 - → Determine outcome



Clean up





- Behavior runs with explicit Autonomy Level
 - Can be changed any time during execution
- State outcomes define required autonomy
 - High enough \rightarrow Autonomous execution
 - Too low \rightarrow Operator confirms or rejects
- Operator can force outcomes any time









- Behavior can request required data from operator
- Integrated into operator control station







FlexBE - Runtime Changes



- Behavior is locked in a specific state
- Modifications are sent to the onboard executive
- New version is generated and imported
- Active state is transferred
 - Extracted from old, running version
 - Integrated into new version
- Old version is stopped
- New version is executed

→ Arbitrary adaptation







FlexBE - User Interface



- Facilitates behavior development
- Automated code generation
- Integrated operator interaction
 - \rightarrow Is prerequisite for operator-robot collaboration
 - Behavior re-definition during runtime feasible
 - Transparent robot decision-making
 - Send context-dependent high-level commands





- Drag&Drop state composition
- Configuration of state properties
- Detailed documentation of states
- Dataflow graph and verification





Implements a state where the robot changes its control m

STAND_PREP

STAND MANIPULATE

STEP MANIPULATE

Initial_Control_Mode ChangeControlModeActionState

Required Autonom STAND

ST

STEP

Delete

using the action

Parameters target_mode:

changed:

Apply Close

failed:

tedo

teset

change to (e.g.

The state's class

tate STAND)





FlexBE - Runtime Control







FlexBE - Beyond DRC application



Human-Robot Collaborative High-Level Control with Application to Rescue Robotics

Philipp Schillinger, Stefan Kohlbrecher, Oskar von Stryk

IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (IEEE ICRA) 2016



Components - Comms Bridge







March 2nd, 2016 | DRC post mortem | Stefan Kohlbrecher | 85

Components - Comms Bridge





TECHNISCHE

UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Comms Bridge



- Single ROS Master infeasible
 - Unreliable connection between operator and robot
- Dual Master approach
 - OCS
 - Onboard
- Prioritization
- Special treatment of high rate state data
 - Compress using domain knowledge
- Other data compressed using blob_tools
 - Bz2 compression per default





Components - OCS





vigir sim

March 2nd, 2016 | DRC post mortem | Stefan Kohlbrecher | 88

Components - OCS





vigir sim

March 2nd, 2016 | DRC post mortem | Stefan Kohlbrecher | 89

OCS



- 3D visualization based on librviz
 - Map View (Top Down)
 - Rectangle selection (query sensor data ROI)
 - Main View
 - CameraView
 - Camera data visualization
- Multiple Qt widgets for general controls
 - "Ghost Control"
 - Pre-canned joint configurations



Components - Install



- Install instructions for complete setups: github.com/team-vigir/vigir_install/wiki
 - Waiting for Atlas IHMC/Gazebo integration for full capability (walk/manipulate) Atlas example
 - Thor-Mang example available for manipulation demo: github.com/team-vigir/vigir_install/wiki/Install-thor-mang-vig ir-gazebo



Components - Tutorial video



Manipulation Control Approach for Remote Humanoid Robots under Human Supervision

Open Source Tutorial

Team ViGIR's software using Team Hector's robot "Johnny" in Gazebo Simulator



Work in Progress - Behavior Synthesis



ROSCon	2015 Example	
Statemachine		
Open this Sta	temachine	Display synthesis
Synthesis Initial Conditio	ns:	
stand_prep		
Goal:		
pickup_objec	t	
Synthesize	This will delete t	he current content
Outcomes		
finished:	Inherit	•





Work in Progress - Behavior Synthesis



- Compile formal Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) specification from:
 - High-level task (goals and initial conditions)
 - Abstract description of the robot-plussoftware system, defined a priori (think config files)
- The formalism treats the outcomes of actions as an adversarial environment



Work in Progress





VIGIR SIM







- Mapping from abstract symbols to low-level system components (here, FlexBE states)
- Instantiation of symbolic automaton as an executable state machine in FlexBE





Behavior Synthesis - Example





DRC Finals



- Decision not to do egress
 - Significant development effort
 - Risk of (catastrophic) damage to robot
- Limited testing under degraded comms conditions

























- Flawless Driving
- Comms bridge setup issue
 - Behavior control
 - Footstep planning
- Switch to teleop mode
- Slow but reliable






































DRC Finals - Day 2







DRC Finals - Day 2



- Start delay due to arm hardware failure
- (Too) fast driving
- Reset after touching barrier
- Successful driving
- Door opened
- Pump shutdown
 - Possibly due to overheating
- Reset
- Fall while walking through door



DRC Finals Results



- 3 Points (Day 1)
- Scored lower than would have been achievable and expected
 - Achievable: 7 points (No egress)
- Missed chance at Day 1 due to comms issues
- Unknown cause for pump shutdown at Day 2
- Driving approach worked well on both robots that used it
 - ViGIR Florian (Atlas)
 - HECTOR Johnny (Thor-Mang)



Lessons Learned - ROS



- Workspace setup using wstool works well
 - Few convenience scripts helpful
- Keeping pace can be painful
 - From rosbuild to catkin
 - From hydro to indigo (switching ROS distro and Ubuntu version simultaneously)
- Using plain "catkin_make" in large projects bad idea
 - Use catkin_tools
- Limited constrained comms capability
- Supporting different configurations feels more involved than it should
 - Environment variables?



Lessons Learned - Big Picture



- Having a transatlantic, nine time zone team works
 - Right mindset and people
 - Tools
- DRC showed what is possible
 - Brilliant display of state of the art capabilities
 - Still a long way to go till robots can be useful for real DRC-like tasks
- Continuous Integration
 - Simulation-in-the-loop testing desirable
- Everybody wins
 - Leap across wide range of capabilities
 - Open source developments (Gazebo, code releases..)
 - Incredible sportsmanship and cooperation across DRC teams
- Low level control access advantageous
 - Top three scoring Atlas teams all used their own whole-body controllers



Conclusions

- DRC overview
- ROS infrastructure discussion
 - Useful tools
- Intro to open source components
 - Let us know what you're interested in
- DRC results discussion
- Lessons learned

Questions?





References



- Kohlbrecher et al. "Overview of team ViGIR's approach to the Virtual Robotics Challenge", IEEE SSRR 2013
- Kohlbrecher et al. "Human-Robot Teaming for Rescue Missions: Team ViGIR's Approach to the 2013 DARPA Robotics Challenge Trials" Journal of Field Robotics, 2014
- Romay et al. "Template-Based Manipulation in Unstructured Environments for Supervised Semi-Autonomous Humanoid Robots", IEEE Humanoids 2014
- Stumpf et al. "Supervised Footstep Planning for Humanoid Robots in Rough Terrain Tasks using a Black Box Walking Controller", IEEE Humanoids 2014

YouTube playlist with manipulation examples



Driving



Open Source Driving Controller Concept for Humanoid Robots: Teams Hector and ViGIR at DARPA Robotics Challenge 2015

Alberto Romay, Achim Stein, Martin Oehler, Alexander Stumpf, Stefan Kohlbrecher and Oskar von Stryk

Simulation, Systems Optimization and Robotics Group, CS Dept. Technische Universit\"at Darmstadt

David C. Conner

TORC Robotics



ARGOS FlexBE







Tutorial







Current and Future Work



- Integration with IHMC open source controller/simulator
- Automated Object Recognition/Localization
- Adaptability to higher uncertainty
 - Whole body control/planning
 - Online adjustable object templates
 - Improved state estimation
- Capture point based walking control for Thor-Mang robot
- Behavior Synthesis
 - Generate behavior state machines automatically

