# Solutions for Exercises

#### Chapter 7

163. Show that L is absolute w.r.t. every transitive collection that contains all ordinals and satisfies sufficiently many ZF axioms.

Solution.

Let  $\Sigma$  be the ZF-axioms needed to prove that  $\forall \alpha \in \text{OR} \exists y \mathcal{L}(\alpha, y) \ (\mathcal{L}(\alpha, y) \text{ is a } \Sigma_1\text{-formula that,}$ relative to ZF, amounts to  $y = L_{\alpha}$ ).

*Claim:* If K is transitive,  $OR \subset K$ , and  $(\forall \alpha \in OR \exists y \mathcal{L}(\alpha, y))^K$ , then **L** (which we take to be defined by the formula  $\exists \alpha \exists y [\mathcal{L}(\alpha, y) \land x \in y]$ ) is absolute w.r.t. K. *Proof:* 

 $\mathbf{L}^{K} \subset \mathbf{L}$ : Suppose that  $x \in \mathbf{L}^{K}$ . That is:  $\exists \alpha \exists y [\mathcal{L}(\alpha, y) \land x \in y]$  holds in K. Say,  $\alpha \in OR$ ,  $y \in K$ ,  $x \in y$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^{K}(\alpha, y)$ . By upward persistence,  $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, y)$  holds as well. Thus,  $y = \mathbf{L}_{\alpha}$ , and  $x \in \mathbf{L}$ .

 $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{L}^{K}$ : Suppose that  $x \in \mathbf{L}$ , say,  $x \in \mathbf{L}_{\alpha}$ . By assumption on  $K, y \in K$  exists such that  $\mathcal{L}^{K}(\alpha, y)$ . By persistence,  $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, y)$ , i.e.:  $x \in \mathbf{L}_{\alpha} = y$ . Hence,  $\exists \alpha \exists y [\mathcal{L}(\alpha, y) \land x \in y]$  holds in K.  $\Box$ 

**166.** Define  $A^{<\omega} = \{f \mid f \text{ is a finite function s.t. } \text{Dom}(f) \subset \omega \land \text{Ran}(f) \subset A\}$ . Show that the formula  $X = A^{<\omega}$  is  $\Sigma_1^{\text{ZF}}$ .

Solution.  $X = A^{<\omega} \text{ holds iff}$   $\emptyset \in X \land \forall g \in X \forall n \in (\omega - \text{Dom}(g)) \forall a \in A[g \cup \{(n, a)\} \in X] \land$   $\land \forall g \in X \exists n \in \omega[g \text{ is a function} \land \text{Dom}(g) \subset n \land \text{Ran}(g) \subset A].$ 

**174.** Show: (if  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then) Def(A) contains all finite subsets of A.

### Solution.

Define formulas<sup>\*</sup>  $\phi_n$  inductively by setting  $\phi_0 = \lceil x_0 = x_0 \rceil$  and  $\phi_{n+1} = \phi_n \land \lceil x_0 \neq x_{n+1} \rceil$ . Then  $\operatorname{FrV}(\phi_n) = \{\lceil 0 \rceil, \dots, \lceil n \rceil\}$  and  $\operatorname{SAT}(A, \phi_n) = \{f \in A^{\{\lceil 0 \rceil, \dots, \lceil n \rceil\}} \mid f(\lceil 0 \rceil) \neq f(\lceil 1 \rceil), \dots, f(\lceil n \rceil)\}$  for all *n* (by induction on *n*). It follows that for any function  $f : \{\lceil 1 \rceil, \dots, \lceil n \rceil\} \to A, D(A, \neg \phi_n, f) = \{f(\lceil 1 \rceil), \dots, f(\lceil n \rceil)\}$ . If  $B \subset A$  is finite, then for some  $n \in \omega$  there exists a bijection  $g : n \to B$ , so if we set  $f(\lceil i + 1 \rceil) = g(i)$ , then  $B = D(A, \neg \phi_n, f) \in \operatorname{Def}(A)$ .  $\Box$ 

**178.** Suppose that (A, <) is a wellordering and  $f : A \to B$  a surjection. Define the relation  $\prec$  on B by  $x \prec y \equiv$  the <-first element of  $f^{-1}(x)$  is <-smaller than the <-first element of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Then  $\prec$  wellorders B.

Solution.

The correspondence:  $x \mapsto \prec$ -first element of  $f^{-1}(x)$ , embeds  $(B, \prec)$  into (A, <).

**186.** Show that the formula  $x =_1 y$  (which is  $\Sigma_1^{\text{ZF}}$ ) is not  $\Pi_1^{\text{ZF}}$  (unless ZF is inconsistent). Solution.

Assume that  $x =_1 y$  is provably equivalent with the formula  $\Phi(x, y)$ .

Reason in ZF. Choose two infinite sets a and b such that  $a \neq_1 b$ . Hence,  $\neg \Phi(a, b)$  is true.

Reflection: choose A satisfying Extensionality such that  $a, b \in A$  and  $(A \models \neg \Phi(a, b) \land a, b$  infinite) Löwenheim-Skolem: choose a countable  $B \subset A$  with  $a, b \in B$  and  $(B \models \neg \Phi(a, b) \land a, b$  infinite) Mostowski's Collapsing Lemma: collapse B to a transitive C via an isomorphism h.

Then  $(\neg \Phi(h(a), h(b)) \land h(a), h(b)$  infinite) is true in C. Since h(a), h(b) are infinite and  $\subset C, h(a)$ and h(b) are both countably infinite, and hence  $\Phi(h(a), h(b))$  holds in **V**. Thus,  $\Phi$  is not  $\Pi_1$ .  $\Box$ 

## 197.

- 1. Assume that a set A exists such that  $(A, \in)$  is a model of all ZF-axioms (considered as a certain subset of FORM). Show:
  - (a) There is such a set A that is transitive.
  - (b) There is such a set A that has the form  $L_{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .
- 2. Assume that  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal such that  $(L_{\alpha}, \in)$  is a ZF-model.

Show that if A is a transitive set such that  $(A, \in)$  is a ZF-model, then  $\alpha \subset A$ , and (hence)  $L_{\alpha} \subset A$ .

#### Solution.

- 1. If  $(A, \in)$  is a model of all ZF-axioms, then by the Downward Löwenstein-Skolem-Tarski Theorem, we can find a countable model  $(A_2, \in)$  of ZF. Since  $\in$  is well-ordered, and  $(A_2, \in)$ satisfies Extensionality, by Mostowski's Collapsing Lemma (see Exercise 67) there exists a unique transitive set  $A_3$  such that  $(A_3, \in) \cong (A_2, \in)$ . Now let  $A_4 = \mathbf{L}^{A_3}$ . Then  $A_4$  is a countable transitive model of ZF +  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$ . So  $\alpha = \text{OR} \cup A_4$  is a countable limit ordinal, and by the Condensation Lemma (Corollary 7.32),  $A_4 = \mathbf{L}_{\alpha}$ .
- 2. Let  $\alpha$  be the least ordinal such that  $(\mathbf{L}_{\alpha}, \in)$  is a ZF-model, and let A be a transitive set such that  $(A, \in)$  is a ZF-model. Then  $(\mathbf{L}^{A}, \in)$  is a model of  $\mathbf{ZF} + \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$ , so by the Condensation Lemma  $\mathbf{L}^{A} = \mathbf{L}_{\beta}$  for  $\beta = A \cap OR$ . By our choice of  $\alpha$ , we now have  $\alpha \leq \beta \subset A$ , and hence  $\mathbf{L}_{\alpha} \subset \mathbf{L}_{\beta} = \mathbf{L}^{A} \subset A$ .