# Solutions to Exercises

### Chapter 4

**75.** Show:

- 1. Every  $V_{\alpha}$  is transitive,
- 2.  $x \subset y \in V_{\alpha} \Rightarrow x \in V_{\alpha}$ ,
- 3.  $\alpha < \beta \implies V_{\alpha} \in V_{\beta}; \alpha \leq \beta \implies V_{\alpha} \subset V_{\beta},$
- 4.  $\alpha \subset V_{\alpha}$ ;  $\alpha \notin V_{\alpha}$ ;  $\alpha = OR \cap V_{\alpha}$ ,
- 5. OR  $\cap$  (V<sub> $\alpha$ +1</sub> V<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) = { $\alpha$ }.

## Solution.

- 1. From Exercise 22 we know that for all A, if every  $x \in A$  is transitive, then so is  $\bigcup A$ , and if A itself is transitive, then so is  $\wp(A)$ . Since  $\emptyset$  is transitive, it follows by transfinite induction that  $V_{\alpha}$  is transitive for all  $\alpha$ .
- 2. By induction on  $\alpha$ : if  $x \subset y \in V_{\alpha+1} = \wp(V_{\alpha})$ , then  $x \subset y \subset V_{\alpha}$ , so  $x \in V_{\alpha+1}$ . If  $x \subset y \in V_{\gamma}$  for a limit  $\gamma$ , then  $x \subset y \in V_{\xi}$  for some  $\xi < \gamma$ , so  $x \in V_{\xi} \subset V_{\gamma}$ .
- 3. For all  $\beta \geq \alpha$ ,  $V_{\alpha} \subset V_{\beta}$ . This holds by definition for  $\beta = \alpha$  and for limits  $\beta$ , and for successor ordinals we have by induction on  $\beta$  that  $V_{\alpha} \subset V_{\beta} \subset \wp(V_{\beta}) = V_{\beta+1}$  (since  $V_{\beta}$  is transitive). Consequentially, for all  $\beta > \alpha$ ,  $V_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha+1} \subset V_{\beta}$ .
- 4. By induction,  $OR \cap V_{\alpha} = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . For we have  $OR \cap V_0 = 0$ ,  $OR \cap V_{\beta+1} = \{\xi \in OR \mid \xi \subset V_{\beta}\} = \{\xi \in OR \mid \xi \subset \beta\} = \beta + 1$ . and  $OR \cap V_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{\xi < \gamma} (OR \cap V_{\xi}) = \bigcup_{\xi < \gamma} \xi = \gamma$ . Consequentially, for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \subset V_{\alpha}$  and  $\alpha \notin V_{\alpha}$ .
- 5. OR  $\cap$  (V<sub>\alpha+1</sub> V<sub>\alpha</sub>) = (\alpha + 1) (\alpha) = {\alpha}.

#### **76** Show:

- 1.  $\rho(\alpha) = \rho(V_{\alpha}) = \alpha$ ,
- 2.  $V_{\alpha} = \{a \mid \rho(a) < \alpha\}; a \in b \Rightarrow \rho(a) < \rho(b),$
- 3.  $\rho(a) = \bigcup \{ \rho(b) + 1 \mid b \in a \} = \{ \rho(b) \mid b \in \mathrm{TC}(a) \}$
- 4. express  $\rho(a \cup b)$ ,  $\rho(\bigcup a)$ ,  $\rho(\varphi(a))$ ,  $\rho(\{a\})$ ,  $\rho((a,b))$  and  $\rho(\operatorname{TC}(a))$  in terms of  $\rho(a)$  and  $\rho(b)$ .

## Solution.

- 1. From Lemma 4.17 it follows that  $\alpha \subset V_{\beta}$  and  $V_{\alpha} \subset V_{\beta}$  are both equivalent to  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .
- 2. If  $\rho(a) < \alpha$ , then  $a \subset V_{\rho(a)} \in V_{\alpha}$ , so  $a \in V_{\alpha}$ . Conversely, if  $a \in V_{\alpha}$ , then  $a \subset V_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ , so  $\rho(a) < \alpha$ . If  $a \in b$ , then  $a \in b \subset V_{\rho(b)}$ , so  $\rho(a) < \rho(b)$ .

- 3.  $a \in V_{\alpha}$  iff  $\forall b \in a[b \in V_{\alpha}]$ , iff  $\forall b \in a[\rho(b) + 1 \le \alpha]$ , iff  $\alpha \ge \bigcup \{\rho(b) + 1 \mid b \in a\}$ . The other follows by  $\in$ -induction:  $\rho(a) = \bigcup \{\rho(b) + 1 \mid b \in a\} = \bigcup \{\rho(b) \cup \{\rho(b)\} \mid b \in a\} = \bigcup \{\{\rho(c) \mid c \in TC(b) \lor c = b\} \mid b \in a\} = \{\rho(c) \mid c \in TC(a)\}.$
- 4.  $\rho(a \cup b) = \rho(a) \cup \rho(b), \ \rho(\bigcup a) = \bigcup(\rho(a)), \ \rho(\wp(a)) = \rho(a) + 1, \ \rho(\{a\}) = \rho(a) + 1, \ \rho((a,b)) = (\rho(a) \cup \rho(b)) + 2, \ \rho(\operatorname{TC}(a)) = \rho(a).$

78 Assuming the Foundation Axiom, prove the Collection Principle:

 $\forall x \in a \exists y \Phi(x, y) \Rightarrow \exists b \forall x \in a \exists y \in b \Phi(x, y) (b \text{ not free in } \Phi).$ Solution.

Assume that  $\forall x \in a \exists y \Phi(x, y)$ . For all x, Bottom( $\{y \mid \Phi(x, y)\}$ ) is a nonempty set. So  $b = \bigcup \{Bottom(\{y \mid \Phi(x, y)\}) \mid x \in a\}$  satisfies the given condition, as well as the condition for the Strong Collection Principle from Exercise 79.

85 Show that the function  $h: \mathcal{V}_{\omega} \to \mathbb{N}$  recursively defined by

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in x} 2^{h(y)}$$

is a bijection. Solution. Define  $i: \mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{V}_{\omega}$  recursively by setting, for all n,

 $i(n) = \{i(m) \mid \text{the } m\text{-th least significant bit of } n \text{ is } 1\}$ 

Then for all n,  $h(i(n)) = \sum \{2^{h(i(m))} \mid \text{the } m\text{-th least significant bit of } n \text{ is } 1\}$  so by induction on n,  $\forall n[h(i(n)) = n]$ . Conversely, if  $x \in V_{\omega}$  and  $\forall y \in x[i(h(y)) = y]$ , then h is injective on x, so  $i(h(x)) = \{i(h(y)) \mid y \in x\} = x$ , and by  $\in$ -induction it follows that for all  $x \in V_{\omega}$ , i(h(x)) = x.  $\Box$ 

**91** Prove Lemma 4.30:

- 1. every  $\omega_{\alpha}$  is an initial,
- 2. every initial has the form  $\omega_{\alpha}$ ,

3.  $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \omega_{\alpha} < \omega_{\beta}$ .

Solution.

- 1. From Lemma 4.28 it follows directly that  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_{\alpha+1}$  are initials. If  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal and  $\xi < \omega_{\gamma}$ , then  $\xi < \omega_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta < \gamma$ , so  $\xi \leq_1 \omega_{\beta} <_1 \omega_{\beta+1} \leq_1 \omega_{\gamma}$ .
- 2. Let  $\beta$  be an initial, and let  $\alpha'$  be the least ordinal such that  $\beta < \omega_{\alpha'}$ . If  $\alpha'$  were a limit ordinal, then for some  $\xi < \alpha', \beta < \omega_{\xi}$ , contradicting our choice of  $\alpha'$ . So  $\alpha' = \alpha + 1$  for some  $\alpha$ , and  $\omega_{\alpha} \leq \beta < \omega_{\alpha+1}$ . Since  $\beta$  is an initial and  $\omega_{\alpha+1}$  is the least initial  $> \omega_{\alpha}$ , it follows that  $\beta = \omega_{\alpha}$ .
- 3. By induction on  $\beta$ . First,  $\omega_{\alpha} < \Gamma(\omega_{\alpha}) = \omega_{\alpha+1}$ . Second, if  $\omega_{\alpha} < \omega_{\beta}$ , then  $\omega_{\alpha} < \omega_{\beta} < \Gamma(\omega_{\beta}) = \omega_{\beta+1}$ . Finally, if  $\gamma > \alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then there exists a  $\beta$  with  $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$ , and then  $\omega_{\alpha} < \omega_{\beta} \leq \bigcup_{\xi < \gamma} \omega_{x} i = \omega_{\gamma}$ .

**93** Let  $\alpha \in OR$  be arbitrary. Recursively define  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and  $\alpha_{n+1} = \omega_{\alpha_n}$ . Put  $\beta := \bigcup_n \alpha_n$ . Show:  $\beta$  is the least ordinal  $\gamma \ge \alpha$  for which  $\omega_{\gamma} = \gamma$ . Solution.

If  $\gamma \geq \alpha$  is such that  $\omega_{\gamma} = \gamma$ , then for all  $\xi \leq \gamma$ ,  $\omega_{\xi} \leq \gamma$  (by Lemma 4.30). By induction on *n* it follows that  $\alpha_n \leq \gamma$  for all *n*, and hence  $\beta \leq \gamma$ .

For the converse, if  $\alpha = \omega_{\alpha}$ , then  $\beta = \alpha$  and we are done, so assume  $\alpha < \omega_{\alpha}$ . Then by induction on  $n, \alpha_n < \alpha_{n+1}$  for all n. For all  $\xi < \beta$  there exists an n with  $\xi < \alpha_n$ , and therefore both  $\xi + 1 < \alpha_{n+1} \leq \beta$  and  $\omega_{\xi} < \alpha_{n+1} \leq \beta$ . It follows that  $\beta$  is a limit, and  $\omega_{\beta} = \bigcup_{\xi < \beta} \omega_{\xi} \leq \beta$ .  $\Box$  **95** For  $\alpha \ge \omega$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $\alpha$  is critical for +; 2.  $\beta < \alpha \Rightarrow \beta + \alpha = \alpha$ ; 3.  $\exists \xi \ (\alpha = \omega^{\xi})$ . Solution.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\alpha$  be critical for +, and  $\beta < \alpha$ . Now,  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal (for if  $\alpha = \alpha' + 1$ , then  $\alpha$  would not be critical for +). So  $\beta + \alpha = \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} (\beta + \xi) \le \alpha$ . On the other hand, it is straightforward to show by induction that for all  $\xi, \xi', \xi + \xi' \ge \xi'$ . It follows that  $\beta + \alpha = \alpha$ .  $\neg(3) \Rightarrow \neg(2)$ : First, for all  $\alpha > 0$  there exists a  $\xi$  such that  $\omega^{\xi} \le \alpha < \omega^{\xi+1}$ . For let  $\xi'$  be the least ordinal such that  $\alpha < \omega^{\xi'}$ . Now, if  $\xi'$  were a limit, then by Definition 4.31 there would exist a

 $\neg(3) \Rightarrow \neg(2)$ : First, for all  $\alpha > 0$  there exists a  $\xi$  such that  $\omega^{\xi} \leq \alpha < \omega^{\xi+1}$ . For let  $\xi'$  be the least ordinal such that  $\alpha < \omega^{\xi'}$ . Now, if  $\xi'$  were a limit, then by Definition 4.31 there would exist a  $\xi'' < \xi'$  with  $\alpha < \omega^{\xi''}$ , contradicting our choice of  $\xi'$ . So  $\xi' = \xi + 1$  for some  $\xi$ , and  $\omega^{\xi} \leq \alpha < \omega^{\xi+1}$ . Similarly, there exists an  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\omega^{\xi} \cdot n \leq \alpha < \omega^{\xi} \cdot (1+n)$ . This implies  $\alpha < \omega^{\xi} + \omega^{\xi} \cdot n \leq \omega^{\xi} + \alpha$ . Now if  $\alpha \neq \omega^{\xi}$ , then this contradicts (2).

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1): \text{Let } \alpha = \omega^{\xi}, \text{ and let } \beta, \gamma < \alpha. \text{ Then there exist } \xi' < \xi \text{ and } n \in \omega \text{ such that } \beta, \gamma < \omega^{\xi'} \cdot n, \text{ and hence } \beta + \gamma < \omega^{\xi'} \cdot 2n < \omega^{\xi'} \cdot \omega = \omega^{\xi'+1} \le \omega^{\xi} = \alpha.$