

Lecture 2 (2-10-03)

1.(a) $C(X)$ is a vector space, defining

$$(f + g)(x) := f(x) + g(x)$$

$$(\lambda f)(x) := \lambda f(x)$$

for $f, g \in C(X)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \in X$.

(b) Clearly $\|f\|_\infty \geq 0$ and $\|f\|_\infty = 0$ iff $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, that is, iff $f = 0$ in $C_b(X)$. It is also obvious that $\|\lambda f\|_\infty = |\lambda| \|f\|_\infty$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We are left with showing that the triangle inequality holds. But, since $|f(x) + g(x)| \leq |f(x)| + |g(x)|$, for any $f, g \in C_b(X)$, $x \in X$, we also have that $\|f + g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$.

(c) Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $C_b(X)$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n, m > N$,

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon, \text{ for all } x \in X. \quad (1)$$

In particular, the sequence $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, for any $x \in X$, and therefore converges in \mathbb{C} . Let $f(x) := \lim f_n(x)$. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (1), we have that for all $n > N$,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon, \text{ for all } x \in X \Rightarrow \|f_n - f\|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

We then have that f is bounded, since, for some fixed $n > N$ and any $x \in X$,

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x)| \leq \epsilon + \|f_n\|_\infty < M,$$

and continuous, since f_n is and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| + |f_n(y) - f(y)|.$$

We conclude that $f \in C_b(X)$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $C_b(X)$, and hence $C_b(X)$ is complete.

(d) Let $f, g, h \in C_b(X)$. Then,

$$(fg)(x) = (gf)(x)$$

$$(fg)h(x) = f(x)g(x)h(x) = f(gh)(x)$$

$$(f + g)h(x) = f(x)h(x) + g(x)h(x) = (fh + gh)(x)$$

that is, multiplication is commutative, associate and distributive with respect to addition. Therefore $C_b(X)$ is a commutative algebra.

(e) We have that, for any $f, g \in C_b(X)$,

$$\|fg\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)g(x)| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)| |g(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)| \sup_{x \in X} |g(x)| = \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty.$$

(f) For $f, g \in C_b(X)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$(fg)^*(x) = \overline{(fg)(x)} = \overline{f(x)g(x)} = f^*(x)g^*(x) = g^*(x)f^*(x)$$

$$f^{**}(x) = \overline{\overline{f(x)}} = f(x)$$

$$(\lambda f)^*(x) = \overline{\lambda f(x)} = \bar{\lambda} f^*(x).$$

(g) Just note that $|f^*(x)f(x)| = |\overline{f(x)}f(x)| = |f(x)|^2$, and therefore

$$\|f^*f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|^2 = \left(\sup_{x \in X} |f(x)| \right)^2 = \|f\|_\infty^2.$$

(h) Need to show that $C(X) \subset C_b(X)$, that is that every continuous function on a compact space X is bounded. But the image of a compact set under a continuous function is compact, that is, for any $f \in C(X)$, $f(X) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact and hence bounded.

2.(a) $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a vector space with

$$a + b := (a_{ij} + b_{ij}), \quad \lambda a := (\lambda a_{ij})$$

for $a = (a_{ij}), b = (b_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and it is clearly an algebra with respect to the usual matrix multiplication

$$(ab)_{ij} := \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

(b) Write $(ab)^* = (c_{ij})$, where

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{a_{jk}b_{ki}} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kj}^* b_{ik}^* = (b^* a^*)_{ij}$$

and we have $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$, for all $a, b \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Also,

$$(\lambda a)_{ij}^* = \overline{\lambda a_{ji}} = \bar{\lambda} a_{ij}^*$$

$$a_{ij}^{**} = (\overline{a_{ji}})^* = \overline{\overline{a_{ij}}} = a_{ij}.$$

(c) (i) We clearly have $\|a\| \geq 0$, for $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and $\|a\| = 0$ iff $az = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, that is, iff $a = 0$. Also, since $\|(\lambda a)z\| = |\lambda| \|az\|$, for any $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $\|\lambda a\| = |\lambda| \|a\|$. The triangle inequality follows from the fact that $\|(a+b)z\| \leq \|az\| + \|bz\|$, for any $a, b \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

To check the last assertion, write $\|z\|^2 = (z, z)$, where $(z, w) := \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{z}_k w_k$ is the usual inner product in \mathbb{C}^n . It is easy to check that for any $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $(az, w) = (z, a^*w)$, with a^* defined as in (b). Then,

$$\|az\|^2 = (az, az) = (z, a^*az)$$

and if λ is an eigenvalue of a^*a and v an eigenvector, with $\|v\| = 1$, we have

$$\|a\|^2 \geq \|av\|^2 = \lambda(v, v) = \lambda \Rightarrow \|a\|^2 \geq \max \lambda$$

(and in particular we get that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$). On the other hand, let $\{v_k\}$ be a basis of orthonormal eigenvectors of a^*a , with respect to eigenvalues λ_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$ (such a basis exists since a^*a is self-adjoint). Writing $z = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k v_k$, we have

$$\|az\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{c}_k c_j (v_k, \lambda_j v_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n |c_k|^2 \lambda_k \leq \max \lambda_k \|z\|^2$$

and therefore

$$\|a\|^2 \leq \max \lambda_k.$$

(ii) Let $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k, m > N$,

$$\|a_k - a_m\| < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow |a_k z - a_m z| < \epsilon, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|z\| = 1. \quad (2)$$

In particular, the sequence $(a_k z)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\|z\| = 1$, and therefore converges in \mathbb{C} . For $\|z\| = 1$, let $az := \lim a_k z$; this defines $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2), we have that for all $k > N$,

$$|a_k z - az| < \epsilon, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|z\| = 1 \Rightarrow \|a_k - a\| < \epsilon.$$

We conclude that $a_k \rightarrow a$ in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and hence that $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is complete.

(iii) Have, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\|abz\| \leq \|a\| \|bz\| \leq \|a\| \|b\| \|z\|$, and therefore

$$\|ab\| = \sup_{\|z\|=1} \|abz\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|.$$

(iv) For $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\|az\|^2 = (az, az) = (z, a^*az) \leq \|z\| \|a^*az\| \leq \|z\|^2 \|a^*a\|$$

and therefore

$$\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^*a\| \leq \|a^*\| \|a\| \tag{3}$$

and we have then that

$$\|a\| \leq \|a^*\|.$$

Since $a^{**} = a$, equality follows.

(v) From $\|a\| = \|a^*\|$ and (3)

$$\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^*a\| \leq \|a^*\| \|a\| = \|a\|^2$$

and therefore $\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\|$, for all $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

3.(a) $B(H)$ is a vector space with

$$(a + b)z := az + bz, \quad (\lambda a)z := \lambda az$$

for $a, b \in B(H)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $z \in H$, and an algebra endowed with composition of operators.

(b) Let $a, b \in B(H)$, $z, w \in H$. Have that

$$\begin{aligned} (z, b^*a^*w) &= (bz, a^*w) = (abz, w) \Rightarrow (ab)^* = b^*a^*; \\ (z, a^{**}w) &= (a^*z, w) = \overline{(w, a^*z)} = \overline{(aw, z)} = (z, aw) \Rightarrow a^{**} = a; \\ (z, (\lambda a)^*w) &= (\lambda az, w) = \overline{\lambda}(az, w) = (z, \overline{\lambda}a^*w) \Rightarrow (\lambda a)^* = \overline{\lambda}a^*. \end{aligned}$$

(c) (i) Showing that $\| \cdot \|$ is indeed a norm is done in exactly the same way as in Ex.2.

Note It is no longer true that $\|a\|^2$ coincides with the norm of the largest eigenvalue of a^*a ; in fact, a^*a may not have any eigenvalues (see Exercise

4, Lecture 5). We have however that $\|a\|^2 = r(a^*a)$, where $r(a^*a)$ is the spectral radius of a^*a (see Exercise 1, Lecture 5).

(ii) Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $B(H)$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n, m > N$,

$$\|a_n - a_m\| < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow |a_n z - a_m z| < \epsilon, \text{ for all } z \in H, \|z\| = 1. \quad (4)$$

In particular, the sequence $(a_n z)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in H , for any $z \in H, \|z\| = 1$, and it converges since H is complete. For $\|z\| = 1$, let $az := \lim a_n z$; this defines a linear operator $a : H \rightarrow H$. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4), we have that for all $n > N$,

$$|a_n z - az| < \epsilon, \text{ for all } z \in H, \|z\| = 1 \Rightarrow \|a_n - a\| < \epsilon.$$

In particular, since a_n is bounded, we see that a is also bounded, and we conclude that $a_n \rightarrow a$ in $B(H)$, and hence that $B(H)$ is complete.

(iii) (Exactly as in Ex.2.)

(iv) (Exactly as in Ex.2.)

(v) (Exactly as in Ex.2.)

4.(a) For any $\psi \in L^2(X; \mu)$,

$$\|\pi(f)\psi\|_2^2 = \int_X d\mu(x) |f(x)|^2 |\psi(x)|^2 \leq (\|f\|_\infty^\mu)^2 \|\psi\|_2^2$$

and hence $\pi(f)$ is bounded, with $\|\pi(f)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty^\mu$. To prove equality, let $L < \|f\|_\infty^\mu$ be arbitrary. Then, by definition of $\|f\|_\infty^\mu$,

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > L\}) > 0$$

and since μ is σ -finite, there is a measurable $Y \subset \{x \in X : |f(x)| > L\}$ with $0 < \mu(Y) < \infty$. Let $\chi_Y \in L^2(X; \mu)$ be the characteristic function of Y . We have that

$$\|\pi(f)\chi_Y\|_2^2 = \int_X d\mu(x) |f(x)|^2 |\chi_Y(x)|^2 \geq L^2 \|\chi_Y\|_2^2.$$

We conclude that $\|\pi(f)\| \geq L$, for all $L < \|f\|_\infty^\mu$ and therefore that $\|\pi(f)\| = \|f\|_\infty^\mu$.

(b) It is clear that π is linear and that $\pi(fg) = \pi(f)\pi(g)$, for any $f, g \in C_b(X)$. Moreover,

$$(\pi(f^*)\psi, \phi) = \int_X d\mu(x)\pi(f^*)\psi(x)\overline{\phi(x)} = \int_X d\mu(x)\psi(x)\overline{f(x)\phi(x)} = (\psi, \pi(f)\phi),$$

for all $\psi, \phi \in L^2(X; \mu)$, and hence $\pi(f)^* = \pi(f^*)$.

(c) We show first that $\|f\|_\infty^\mu = \|f\|_\infty$ for all $f \in C_b(X)$ iff $\mu(U) > 0$, for any open $U \subset X$, $U \neq \emptyset$. Let then $U \subset X$ be open, $U \neq \emptyset$, and $V \subset U$ be closed. By Urysohn's lemma, there exists $f \in C_b(X)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $f = 1$ on V and $\text{supp } f \subset U$. Then $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ and if $\|f\|_\infty^\mu = \|f\|_\infty$, we conclude that $\mu(U) > 0$ (if $\mu(U) = 0$ then $\|f\|_\infty^\mu = 0$, since $f = 0$ on $X \setminus U$). Conversely, assume that any non-empty open set in X has non-zero measure and let $f \in C_b(X)$. (Note that one always has $\|f\|_\infty^\mu \leq \|f\|_\infty$.) For any $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$U_\epsilon := \{x \in X : |f(x)| > \|f\|_\infty - \epsilon\}$$

is open and $U_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\mu(U_\epsilon) > 0$ and therefore we have that $\|f\|_\infty^\mu > \|f\|_\infty - \epsilon$,¹ for any $\epsilon > 0$, that is, $\|f\|_\infty^\mu \geq \|f\|_\infty$.

We can now see that this condition is equivalent to $\text{supp}(\mu) = X$. Recall that the *support* of a measure μ is the smallest closed subset $A \subset X$ such that $\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap A)$, for all measurable $E \subset X$. Note that in this case, $\mu(X \setminus A) = 0$ and $X \setminus A$ is open. Hence, if every non-empty open set in X has positive measure, we conclude that $X \setminus A = \emptyset$, that is, $\text{supp } \mu = X$. Now if $\text{supp } \mu = X$, we have that for any open $U \subset X$, $U \neq \emptyset$, there is a measurable set $E \subset X$ such that $\mu(E \cap U) > 0$, since $\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap U) + \mu(E \cap (X \setminus U))$ and $X \setminus U$ is not the support of μ . Therefore $\mu(U) \geq \mu(E \cap U) > 0$.²

(d) We have in this case $\|\pi(f)\| = \|f\|_\infty^\mu = \|f\|_\infty$ and therefore $\pi(f) = 0$ iff $\|f\|_\infty = 0$ iff $f = 0$, that is, π is injective.

(e) Let μ be a measure such that the condition in (c) is satisfied and let $A := \pi(C_b(X))$. From (b), we have that π is a $*$ -homomorphism, that is, A is a $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)$, and, since π is bijective, A and $C_b(X)$ are isomorphic as $*$ -algebras. Now, since π is isometric, A is closed and $C_b(X) \cong A$

¹Note that $\|f\|_\infty^\mu$ is the smallest c such that $\mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > c\}) = 0$.

²It can be easily seen that the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n satisfies this condition and it can in fact be shown that such a measure always exists on arbitrary locally compact spaces.

as C^* -algebras.

Lecture 3 (9-10-03)

1.(a) Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dx |f * g(x)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy f(x-y)g(y) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy |f(x-y)| |g(y)|.$$

Since $(x, y) \mapsto f(x-y)g(y)$ is measurable on \mathbb{R}^2 , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx |f(x-y)| |g(y)| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy |g(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx |f(x-y)| \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy |g(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz |f(z)| = \|g\|_1 \|f\|_1 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we have from Fubini's theorem that $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|g\|_1 \|f\|_1. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to check that $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is an algebra, and, noting that the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} is invariant under translations and transformations $x \mapsto -x$,

$$g * f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy g(x-y)f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz g(z)f(x-z) = f * g(x),$$

that is, $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is commutative. Since it is complete and (5) holds, we have finally that $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a commutative Banach algebra.

(b) First note that any functional $w \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is bounded, with $\|w\| \leq 1$ ¹, and therefore $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R})) \subset (L^1(\mathbb{R}))^*$. There is an isomorphism $(L^1(\mathbb{R}))^* \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ², and therefore for each $w \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ there exists a uniquely defined $\hat{w} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$w(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx f(x) \hat{w}(x). \quad (6)$$

We will show that $\hat{w}(x) = e^{itx}$, for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and that the correspondence $t \mapsto e^{itx}$ defines an isomorphism between \mathbb{R} and $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

¹This is true for unital algebras, and the result on the non-unital case follows easily; see also Exercise 5, Lecture 6.

²See for instance Rudin, Real and complex analysis, page 127.

Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $w \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$, $\widehat{w} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be given as in (6). We have that

$$\begin{aligned} w(f * g) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy f(x-y)g(y)\widehat{w}(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy g(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx f(x-y)\widehat{w}(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy g(y)w(f_y), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_y(x) := f(x-y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand,

$$w(f)w(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy g(y)\widehat{w}(y)w(f),$$

and since w is multiplicative, we have that

$$\widehat{w}(y)w(f) = w(f_y), \text{ a.e.}(dy). \quad (7)$$

Now $w : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is bounded and for each fixed $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, the map $y \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow f_y \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous. Taking f such that $w(f) \neq 0$, we conclude that \widehat{w} is continuous on \mathbb{R} .¹

We now show that $\widehat{w}(x+y) = \widehat{w}(x)\widehat{w}(y)$. Note first that for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $w(f) \neq 0$, $w(f_y)w(f)^{-1} = \widehat{w}(y)$ does not depend on f , and therefore $w(f_y)w(f)^{-1} = w(g_y)w(g)^{-1}$, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $w(f) \neq 0$, $w(g) \neq 0$. In particular, since w is multiplicative, we get $w(f_y * g) = w(f * g_y)$. Also, for any such f , $w(f_y) \neq 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, since $w(f)^2 = w(f * f) = w(f_y * f_{-y}) = w(f_y)w(f_{-y}) \neq 0$. In this case, we have

$$\widehat{w}(x+y) = w(f_{x+y})w(f)^{-1} = w((f_x)_y)w(f_x)^{-1}w(f_x)w(f)^{-1}, \text{ a.e.},$$

and therefore that

$$\widehat{w}(x+y) = \widehat{w}(x)\widehat{w}(y) \text{ a.e.}, \quad (8)$$

and since w is continuous, equality holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Notes 1. Property (8) could have been derived directly from the multiplicativity of w : note that for any $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(f * g) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy f(x-y)g(y)\widehat{w}(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy f(x)g(y)\widehat{w}(x+y) \end{aligned}$$

¹That is, w has a continuous representative and we can therefore assume that $w \in C(\mathbb{R})$.

and on the other hand

$$w(f)w(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy f(x)g(y)\widehat{w}(x)\widehat{w}(y).$$

We then have that for any $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dy g(y) (\widehat{w}(x+y) - \widehat{w}(x)\widehat{w}(y)) = 0 \text{ a.e.}(dx),$$

and hence that $\widehat{w}(x+y) = \widehat{w}(x)\widehat{w}(y)$ a.e.

2. It is true that a measurable function satisfying (8) is necessarily continuous; the direct proof of this result is however far from trivial. This is the reason we proceed in this fashion.

Now we know that \widehat{w} is bounded and we have that, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{w}(nx) = \widehat{w}(x)^n$$

(note that $\widehat{w}(0) = 1$ and therefore $\widehat{w}(-x) = \widehat{w}(x)^{-1}$). We conclude that $|\widehat{w}(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We have then shown that \widehat{w} is a continuous map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, which satisfies $\widehat{w}(x+y) = \widehat{w}(x)\widehat{w}(y)$.¹ At this point, we could use the known result that any character of \mathbb{R} is of the form e^{itx} for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$; we give here a proof of this fact. Let $V := \{e^{i\theta} : |\theta| < \pi/2\} \subset \mathbb{T}$; since $\widehat{w} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is continuous, we can take an open $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $U \subset \widehat{w}^{-1}(V)$ and can assume that $U = (-L, L)$, $L > 0$. Now $\arg(e^{i\theta}) = \theta$ defines a homeomorphism $\arg : V \rightarrow (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and for $x, y \in U$ such that $x+y \in U$, write $\widehat{w}(x) = e^{i\theta_x} \in V$, $\widehat{w}(y) = e^{i\theta_y} \in V$. Then $\widehat{w}(x+y) = \widehat{w}(x)\widehat{w}(y) = e^{i(\theta_x+\theta_y)} \in V$ and we have

$$\arg(\widehat{w}(x+y)) = \theta_x + \theta_y = \arg(\widehat{w}(x)) + \arg(\widehat{w}(y)).$$

Therefore, $\arg \circ \widehat{w}$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} such that $(\arg \circ \widehat{w})(nx) = n \arg \circ \widehat{w}(x)$, for any $x \in U$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $nx \in U$ and by density, we have that $\arg \circ \widehat{w}(x) = cx$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since \arg is a homeomorphism, we have then that $\widehat{w}(x) = e^{cx}$, for $x \in U$, and since $|\widehat{w}(x)| = 1$, we get $c = it$, for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. But $U = (-L, L) \subset \mathbb{R}$ generates \mathbb{R} as an additive group and hence, from (8), we have $\widehat{w}(x) = e^{itx}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We conclude finally that any $w \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ is given by

$$w(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx f(x) e^{itx}, \tag{9}$$

¹Such a map is called a *character* of \mathbb{R} .

for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to check that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $w_t : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by (9) yields an element of $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ and that $w_t = w_s$ iff $t = s$. We thus have a well-defined, bijective map

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R})), \quad t \mapsto w_t$$

$$w_t(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx f(x) e^{itx}, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

We now check that this map is a homeomorphism. If $t_n \rightarrow t$, with $t_n, t \in \mathbb{R}$ then, for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $w_{t_n}(f) \rightarrow w_t(f)$, by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, and therefore $w_{t_n} \rightarrow w_t$ in the weak*-topology. We conclude that Φ is continuous. To check that it is bicontinuous, let w_{t_i} be a net in $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $w_{t_i} \rightarrow w_t$ in the weak*-topology. Then for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dx f(x) e^{it_i x} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx f(x) e^{itx}.$$

Let $f(x) := \chi e^x$, where χ is the characteristic function of $(-\infty, 0)$. Then $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dx f(x) e^{isx} = \int_{-\infty}^0 dx e^{(is+1)x} = \frac{1}{is+1}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{it_i + 1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{it + 1} \Leftrightarrow t_i \rightarrow t$$

and Φ^{-1} is also continuous.

(c) The Gelfand transform is the map

$$L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))), \quad f \mapsto \widehat{f}$$

where $\widehat{f}(\omega) = \omega(f)$. Identifying $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ with \mathbb{R} as in (b), we then have that $\widehat{f}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx f(x) e^{itx}$, which is nothing else but the Fourier transform of f .

2.(a) The space $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ is the space of sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of complex numbers such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n| < \infty$; it is a Banach space endowed with the norm $\|a\|_1 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|$.

Define convolution in $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ by $(a * b)_n := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n-m} b_m$, for $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$. We have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n-m} b_m \right| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{n-m}| |b_m| = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |b_m| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{n-m}| = \|a\|_1 \|b\|_1$$

and therefore $a * b \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$, with $\|a * b\|_1 \leq \|a\|_1 \|b\|_1$. It is clear that $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ equipped with $*$ is a commutative algebra, and, since it is complete, it is a Banach algebra. It is easy to check that the element $e_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(e_0)_n = 0$, for $n \neq 0$ and $(e_0)_0 = 1$ is an identity for $*$ and $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ is unital.

(b) We will show that $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{T}$, where $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$.

Let $e_k \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, be such that $(e_k)_n = \delta_{kn}$. For any $a \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ we can write $a = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e_n$, and for any $w \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ we have that

$$w(a) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n w(e_n). \quad (10)$$

It is easy to check that $e_k * e_m = e_{m+k}$, for all $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $e_k = (e_1)^k$ and, since w is multiplicative, we get

$$w(a) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n (w(e_1))^n.$$

We conclude that each $w \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ is uniquely determined by $w(e_1)$ (in the sense that if $w, w' \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $w(e_1) = w'(e_1)$, then $w = w'$). We now show that $w(e_1) \in \mathbb{T}$. Since $\|e_k\| = 1$, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\|w\| = 1$ (because $w \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$), we have

$$|w(e_k)| \leq \|w\| \|e_k\| = 1, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Noting that $w(e_{-1} * e_1) = w(1) = 1$, we have also that $|w(e_1)| = |w(e_{-1})|^{-1} \geq 1$ and therefore $|w(e_1)| = 1$ and $w(e_1) \in \mathbb{T}$. We thus have a well-defined, injective map

$$\Phi : \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad w \mapsto w(e_1).$$

On the other hand, for each $z \in \mathbb{T}$ one can define

$$w_z(a) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n, \quad a \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$$

¹Note that we showed that any $w \in (L^1(\mathbb{Z}))^*$ is given by $w(a) = \sum a_n \hat{w}_n$, where $\hat{w} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$; compare with Exercise 1.

and it is easy to check that $w_z \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ with $w_z(e_1) = z$. Hence Φ is also surjective. Now, directly from the definition of the weak-* topology, we have that Φ is continuous¹. Since $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ is compact (and \mathbb{T} is Hausdorff), we conclude that Φ is a homeomorphism.

(c) The Gelfand transform is the map

$$L^1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))), \quad a \mapsto \widehat{a},$$

where $\widehat{a}(w) = w(a)$, $w \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$. Under the isomorphism given in (b) we have that $z \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto w_z \in \Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ and we can write $\widehat{a} \in C(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\widehat{a}(z) = w_z(a) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n.$$

Now if $\widehat{a}(n)$ denotes the n -th Fourier coefficient of \widehat{a} , we have

$$\widehat{a}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \widehat{a}(e^{it}) e^{-int} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)t} dt = a_n.$$

The Gelfand transform is then the map that to each $a \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ associates the continuous function \widehat{a} on \mathbb{T} whose Fourier coefficients are given by a .

Note Functions on \mathbb{T} can be identified with periodic functions on \mathbb{R} : if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, then $\widetilde{f}(t) := f(e^{it})$ defines $\widetilde{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ which is periodic and the converse is also true. In this light, given $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, periodic, such that $a_g := (\widehat{g}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ (where $\widehat{g}(n)$ denotes the n th Fourier coefficient of g), we have that the Gelfand transform $\widehat{a}_g \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is given by $\widehat{a}_g(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{g}(n) e^{int}$, the Fourier series of g .

3.(a) First note that a basis of neighbourhoods of $w_0 \in \Delta(A)$ on the weak*-topology is given by

$$U_{a,\epsilon} := \{w \in \Delta(A) \mid |w(a) - w_0(a)| < \epsilon\},$$

$a \in A$, $\epsilon > 0$. Now let $w_1, w_2 \in \Delta(A)$ be such that $w_1 \neq w_2$; then there is $a \in A$ such that $w_1(a) \neq w_2(a)$. Let $\epsilon < |w_1(a) - w_2(a)|/2$ and $U_i := \{w \in \Delta(A) : |w(a) - w_i(a)| < \epsilon\}$, for $i = 1, 2$. It is clear that U_i is a neighbourhood of w_i and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and therefore $\Delta(A)$ is Hausdorff.

¹Strictly speaking, we know only that $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $w \mapsto w(e_1)$ is continuous, but since $\Delta(L^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ is in fact mapped to \mathbb{T} , this yields the continuity of Φ .

One could also use the fact that a space is Hausdorff iff no filter on it has more than one limit point. Now if $(\omega_\lambda)_{\lambda \in I}$ is a filter in $\Delta(A)$ such that $\omega_\lambda \rightarrow \omega$ and $\omega_\lambda \rightarrow \omega'$ then, by the definition of the weak*-topology, for any $a \in A$,

$$|\omega_\lambda(a) - \omega(a)| \rightarrow 0$$

$$|\omega_\lambda(a) - \omega'(a)| \rightarrow 0$$

hence $\omega(a) = \omega'(a)$ for any $a \in A$, that is $\omega = \omega'$ and $\Delta(A)$ is Hausdorff.

Alternatively, one could also use the fact that the map $\phi_a : \Delta(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous with the weak*-topology. Considering neighbourhoods $U_i \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $w_i(a)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$, one gets neighbourhoods $\phi_a^{-1}(U_i) \subset \Delta(A)$ of w_i , $i = 1, 2$, with $\phi_a^{-1}(U_1) \cap \phi_a^{-1}(U_2) = \emptyset$.

(b) Have $w(a) = w(a.1) = w(a)w(1)$, for all $a \in A$. Since w is non-zero, there is $a \in A$ with $w(a) \neq 0$, and hence $w(1) = 1$.

(c) Let $w_n \in \Delta(A)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that $w_n \rightarrow w$ in the weak*-topology, that is, we have $w_n(a) \rightarrow w(a)$, for all $a \in A$. Then $w \in A^*$ and we need only show that w is multiplicative and non-zero. Let $a, b \in A$. Then $w_n(ab) \rightarrow w(ab)$ and also, $w_n(a)w_n(b) \rightarrow w(a)w(b)$, we have that $w(ab) = w(a)w(b)$. Since $w_n(1) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, have that $w(1) = 1$ and therefore $w \in \Delta(A)$.

(d) If A is non-unital then $\Delta(A)$ is not necessarily closed (one may now have $w_n \in \Delta(A)$ and $w_n \rightarrow 0 \notin \Delta(A)$). We give two simple examples:

– $A = \{f \in C([-1, 1]) : f(0) = 0\}$. It is easy to check that A is a C^* -subalgebra of $C([-1, 1])$, without unit, and that for any $t \in [-1, 1]$, with $t \neq 0$, $w_t(f) := f(t)$ defines an element $w_t \in \Delta(A)$. Now let $w_n := w_{1/n}$; for any $f \in A$ we have

$$w_n(f) = f(1/n) \rightarrow f(0) = 0$$

and hence $w_n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak*-topology. But $0 \notin \Delta(A)$ and $\Delta(A)$ is not closed.

– $A = C_0(X)$, where X is a locally compact space that is not compact. If $\Delta(A)$ were closed, then by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem it would be compact. But we know that $\Delta(A) \cong X$ (see Theorem 6.11 and Corollary 6.8) hence $\Delta(A)$ is not closed.

Lecture 5 (23-10-03)

1. For $a \in A$, we have that, since A is commutative and from the C^* -axiom $\|b^*b\| = \|b\|^2$, for any $b \in A$,

$$\|a\|^4 = \|a^*a\|^2 = \|(a^*a)^*a^*a\| = \|(a^2)^*a^2\| = \|a^2\|^2$$

that is, $\|a^2\| = \|a\|^2$. By induction on $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\|a^{2^p}\| = \|a\|^{2^p}$, for $n = 2^p$. Therefore,

$$r(a) = \lim \|a^{2^p}\|^{1/2^p} = \lim \|a\|^{2^p/2^p} = \|a\|.$$

2. We have that $f \in C(X)$ is invertible iff $f(x) \neq 0$, for all $x \in X$ (in this case $f^{-1} = 1/f \in C(X)$). Hence, $\lambda \in \sigma(f)$ iff $f - \lambda 1$ is not invertible iff there exists $x \in X$ such that $(f - \lambda 1)(x) = 0$ iff there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = \lambda$. Conclude that $\sigma(f) = \{f(x) : x \in X\}$.

3. We have that $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is invertible iff $\det(A) \neq 0$. Therefore, $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ iff $\det(A - \lambda 1) = 0$, that is, iff λ is an eigenvalue of A .

4. Examples of a bounded operator on a Hilbert space with no eigenvalues:

(1) Let H be a (separable) Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and define a linear operator $s_r : H \rightarrow H$ by

$$s_r(e_n) = e_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This is an injective bounded operator, with $\|s_r(x)\| = \|x\|$, for all $x \in H$. In particular, 0 is not an eigenvalue. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, and $x = \sum x_n e_n$ be such that $s_r x = \lambda x$; have that

$$x_n = \lambda x_{n+1}, \quad \lambda x_1 = 0$$

and therefore $x_n = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and λ is not an eigenvalue. Conclude that s_r does not have any eigenvalues.

A particular instance of this example is the so-called *right-shift operator* $s_r : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ such that

$$s_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots),$$

which as we have seen has no eigenvalues. ¹

¹But one can show that $\sigma(s_r) = [0, 1]$.

(2) Let $H := L^2(X; \mu)$, where X is a compact topological space, $f \in C(X)$ and define

$$M_f : L^2(X; \mu) \rightarrow L^2(X; \mu), g \mapsto fg.$$

Then

$$M_f(g) = \lambda g \Leftrightarrow f(x)g(x) = \lambda g(x) \text{ a.e.} \Leftrightarrow (f(x) - \lambda)g(x) = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

and one can see that λ is an eigenvalue iff $\mu(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \neq 0$. In particular, if f is injective and $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$, for $x \in X$, then M_f has no eigenvalues. For instance, if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, μ is the Lebesgue measure, and $f(x) := x$, then

$$M_f(g) = \lambda g \Leftrightarrow (x - \lambda)g(x) = 0, \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow g(x) = 0, \text{ a.e.}$$

and therefore $g = 0$ in $L^2(X)$. Hence M_f has no eigenvalues (note that M_f is self-adjoint).

Examples of a bounded operator on a Hilbert space with eigenvalues and other parts of the spectrum:

(3) Let H be a (separable) Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary bounded sequence of complex numbers. Setting

$$Ae_n := \lambda_n e_n$$

one defines a bounded operator $A \in B(H)$. By definition, λ_n is an eigenvalue, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and one can easily see that there are no other eigenvalues. On the other hand, since $\overline{\sigma(A)}$ is compact, in particular it is closed and $\overline{(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} \subset \sigma(A)$. If $\lambda \notin \overline{(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}$ then $((\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence and defines an inverse for $A - \lambda I$. Hence

$$\overline{(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} = \sigma(A).$$

For instance, take $H = l^2$, $A : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ such that

$$A(x) = (x_n/n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

The eigenvalues of A are given by $\lambda = 1/n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\sigma(A) = \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

(one can easily see that A is not invertible in $B(l^2)$).

Also, taking (λ_n) as an enumeration of the rationals on some compact $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\sigma(A) = X$ and we show in particular that any compact set is the spectrum of a bounded operator.

(4) Define the *left-shift operator* $s_l : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ such that

$$s_l(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}, \dots).$$

From $s_l(x) = \lambda x$ we get that $x_n = \lambda^{n-1}x_1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore λ is an eigenvalue of s_l iff $|\lambda| < 1$. On the other hand, we know that $\sigma(s_l)$ is compact, in particular it is closed and hence $\{\lambda \mid |\lambda| = 1\} \subset \sigma(s_l)$.

The spectrum of an operator $A \in B(H)$ can be decomposed into three disjoint subsets:

– the *discrete* (or *point*) spectrum:

$$\sigma_d(A) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \ker(A - \lambda I) \neq 0\};$$

– the *continuous* spectrum:

$$\sigma_c(A) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \ker(A - \lambda I) = 0, \overline{R(A - \lambda I)} = X, (A - \lambda I)^{-1} \text{ unbounded}\};$$

– the *residual* (or *essential*) spectrum:

$$\sigma_r(A) := \sigma(A) \setminus (\sigma_d(A) \cup \sigma_c(A)) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \ker(A - \lambda I) = 0, \overline{R(A - \lambda I)} \neq X\}.$$

Not so much can be said in general about $\sigma_d(A)$, $\sigma_c(A)$, $\sigma_r(A)$. If H is finite dimensional, then $\sigma(A) = \sigma_p(A)$, for all $A \in B(H)$ (see Exercise 3). In the general setting, if $A \in B(H)$ is compact, then $\sigma(A) \subset \sigma_p(A) \cup \{0\}$ (but $\sigma_c(A)$ and $\sigma_r(A)$ might be non-empty). If A is normal (that is, $AA^* = A^*A$), then $\ker A = \ker A^*$, and, since $\ker A^* = \overline{R(A)}^\perp$, we see that A is injective iff it has dense image; this yields that $\sigma_r(A) = \emptyset$. We give a few simple examples:

(i) For the right-shift operator $s_r : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ defined in (1) we have:

$$\sigma_d(s_r) = \emptyset, \sigma_c(s_r) = \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| = 1\}, \sigma_r(s_r) = \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| < 1\}.$$

On the other hand, for the left-shift operator $s_l : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ defined in (4), have that

$$\sigma_d(s_l) = \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| < 1\}, \sigma_c(s_l) = \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| = 1\}, \sigma_r(s_l) = \emptyset.$$

(Note that $s_l = s_r^*$.)

(ii) $A : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ such that

$$A(x) = (x_n/n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

We have seen in (3) that $\sigma_d(A) = \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Now A has dense image, but if B is an inverse for A then $Bx = (nx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and B is not bounded. Conclude that $0 \in \sigma_c(A)$ and therefore, also from (3), that

$$\sigma_d = \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \sigma_c(A) = \{0\}, \sigma_r(A) = \emptyset.$$

(Note that A is compact and self-adjoint.)

(iii) If $A \in B(H)$ is induced by a sequence (λ_n) as in (3), we always have that A is normal ($A^*Ae_n = AA^*e_n = |\lambda|^2$), hence $\sigma_r(A) = \emptyset$ and we have then

$$\sigma_d = \{\lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \sigma_c(A) = \overline{\{\lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}} \setminus \{\lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

(iv) $A : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ such that

$$A(x) = (0, x_1, x_2/2, \dots, x_n/n, \dots).$$

Then $\sigma_d(A) = \sigma_c(A) = \emptyset, \sigma_r(A) = \{0\}$.

(v) $A : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ such that

$$A(x) = (x_2, x_3/2, \dots, x_{n+1}/n, \dots).$$

Then $\sigma_d(A) = \{0\}, \sigma_c(A) = \sigma_r(A) = \emptyset$.

Lecture 6 (6-11-03)

1. It is clear that $C(X, Y)$ is an (algebraic) ideal of $C(X)$, for any $Y \subset X$, and $C(X, Y)$ is closed if Y is closed.

Conversely, let I be a closed ideal of $C(X)$ and Y be its zero-set, that is,

$$Y := \{x \in X : f(x) = 0, \text{ for all } f \in I\}.$$

We have clearly that $I \subset C(X, Y)$. To prove the converse, let $g \in C(X, Y)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. For any $x \notin Y$, there is $f_x \in I$ and a neighbourhood U_x of x such that $f_x(y) \neq 0$, for $y \in U_x$. Let

$$h_x(y) := f_x(y) \frac{g(x)}{f_x(x)}, \quad y \in X.$$

We have then that $h_x \in I$, and, for $y \in U_x$, $y \notin Y$,

$$|g(y) - h_x(y)| = |f_x(y)| \left| \frac{g(y)}{f_x(y)} - \frac{g(x)}{f_x(x)} \right| \leq \|f_x\| \left| \frac{g(y)}{f_x(y)} - \frac{g(x)}{f_x(x)} \right| < \epsilon$$

on a sufficiently small neighbourhood of x , which we denote again by U_x , since g/f is continuous. For $x \in Y$, take a small neighbourhood $U_x \subset Y$; then $g(y) - f(y) = 0$, for all $f \in I$, $y \in U_x$. Since X is compact, can take U_{x_i} , $i = 1, \dots, p$ such that $X \subset \cup_{i=1, \dots, p} U_{x_i}$. Let $u_i \in C(X)$ be such that $0 \leq u_i \leq 1$, $\text{supp}(u_i) \subset U_{x_i}$ and $\sum_{i=1, \dots, p} u_i = 1$, and define

$$f := \sum_{i=1}^p u_i h_{x_i} \in I,$$

where, for $x_i \in Y$, we put $h_{x_i} = h$, for some fixed $h \in I$. We have that $f \in I$, since I is an ideal, and moreover

$$|g(x) - f(x)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^p u_i(x)(g(x) - h_i(x)) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p u_i(x) |g(x) - h_i(x)|$$

$$\|g - f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |g(x) - f(x)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \sup_{x \in U_{x_i}} |g(x) - h_i(x)| \leq p\epsilon.$$

We conclude then that $g \in \bar{I} = I$, since I is closed, and therefore that $C(X, Y) \subset I$.

We sketch two alternative proofs of this last result.

1 – Define $I_{X-Y} := \{f|_{X-Y} \mid f \in I\}$, and show that $I_{X-Y} \subset C_0(X - Y)$ satisfies the conditions of the locally compact version of the theorem of Stone-Weierstrass to get that I_{X-Y} is dense in $C_0(X - Y)$. Now every $g \in C(X, Y)$ defines by restriction a function $g|_{X-Y} \in C_0(X - Y)$, and hence for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $f \in I$ such that $\sup_{x \in X-Y} |f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$. Since $g(x) = f(x) = 0$, for $x \in Y$, we have in fact $\|f - g\| < \epsilon$ and $g \in \bar{I} = I$, that is, $C(X, Y) \subset I$.

2 – This proof also uses the fact that I is closed, but now in that in this case we have $(I^\perp)^\perp = I$. Define

$$I^\perp = \{F \in (C(X))^* \mid F(f) = 0, \text{ for all } f \in I\}$$

$$(I^\perp)^\perp = \{f \in C(X) \mid F(f) = 0, \text{ for all } F \in I^\perp\}.$$

Identifying $(C(X))^*$ with the space of all finite regular Borel signed measures on X , denoted here by $M(X)$, one has then that

$$I^\perp = \{\mu \in M(X) \mid \int_X d\mu f = 0, \text{ for all } f \in I\}.$$

One then checks that if $\mu \in I^\perp$ then $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset X - Y$ (one sees that for all $f \in I$, $|f|^2 = 0$ on $\text{supp}(\mu)$). Now for $g \in C(X, Y)$, $g = 0$ on Y and therefore $\int_X d\mu g = 0$ for all $\mu \in I^\perp$, that is, $g \in (I^\perp)^\perp = I$, and therefore $C(X, Y) \subset I$.

Note The class of *maximal* ideals will then be given by

$$\{I_x := C(X, \{x\}) : x \in X\}$$

and is in one-to-one correspondence with X (in fact, $I_x = \ker(\phi_x)$, where $\phi_x \in \Delta(C(X))$ is the evaluation functional, $\phi_x(f) = f(x)$).

2. First note that $C_0(X) \cong \{f \in C(\dot{X}) : f(\infty) = 0\}$. Indeed, the map $C_0(X) \rightarrow \{f \in C(\dot{X}) : f(\infty) = 0\}, f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ such that

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(x), x \in X \text{ and } \tilde{f}(\infty) = 0$$

is easily seen to be well-defined and bijective, and moreover $\|f\| = \|\tilde{f}\|$.

Now let I be an ideal of $C_0(X)$; then, under the isomorphism above, I is an ideal of $C(\dot{X})$: just note that for any $\tilde{f} \in C(\dot{X})$, $\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}(\infty) \in C_0(X)$ and therefore, for $g \in I$,

$$g\tilde{f} = g(\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}(\infty)) + \tilde{f}(\infty)g \in I.$$

On the other hand, if J is an ideal in $C(\dot{X})$, we have also that $J \cap \{f \in C(\dot{X}) : f(\infty) = 0\}$ is an ideal in $C_0(X)$. We conclude that the ideals of $C_0(X)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with $J \cap \{f \in C(\dot{X}) : f(\infty) = 0\}$, where J is an ideal in $C(\dot{X})$.¹ From Ex.1, we then have that the ideals of $C_0(X)$ are given by

$$\{f \in C_0(X) \mid \tilde{f} \in C(\dot{X}, Y)\}$$

with $Y \in \dot{X}$ closed such that $\infty \in Y$. Since $Y_0 \subset X$ is closed iff $Y_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ is closed in \dot{X} , we have finally that every ideal of $C_0(X)$ is of the form

$$C_0(X, Y_0) := \{f \in C_0(X) \mid f(x) = 0, x \in Y_0\}$$

with $Y_0 \subset X$ closed.

3.(a) Have that $J_\omega = \omega^{-1}(0)$ is closed, since ω is continuous, and for any $a \in A, j \in J_\omega$,

$$\omega(aj) = \omega(a)\omega(j) = 0$$

which shows that J_ω is an ideal of A . To check that it is maximal, let $a \in A$ be arbitrary, and write

$$a = x + \omega(a)1, \text{ with } x := a - \omega(a)1 \in \ker \omega = J_\omega.$$

There is then a vector space isomorphism $A \cong J_\omega \oplus \mathbb{C}$, that is, J_ω has codimension 1 and is therefore maximal.

(b) Assume $J_{w_1} = J_{w_2}$. Then for any $a \in A$, $a - w_2(a)1 \in J_{w_1}$, since $w_2(a - w_2(a)1) = 0$. But

$$w_1(a - w_2(a)1) = w_1(a) - w_2(a)w_2(1) = w_1(a) - w_2(a) = 0$$

and hence $w_1(a) = w_2(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

4. Recall that \dot{A} is defined as the C^* -algebra $A \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with

$$(a + \lambda)(b + \nu) := (ab + \nu a + \lambda b + \lambda\nu)$$

¹This is just a particular instance of a general fact: if A is a non-unital C^* -algebra and \dot{A} is its unitization, then the ideals of A are given by $J \cap A$, with J an ideal of \dot{A} ; see Ex.4.

$$\|a + \lambda\| := \|\rho(a) + \lambda I\|_{L(A)}$$

where $\rho : A \rightarrow L(A)$ is defined as $\rho(a)b = ab$ and I is the identity in $L(A)$. In Ex.2, we have considered the isometric homomorphism $C_0(X) \rightarrow C(\tilde{X})$, $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ such that $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$, for $x \in X$ and $\tilde{f}(\infty) = 0$. Define now $\Phi : \dot{A} \rightarrow C(\dot{X})$ by

$$\Phi(f + \lambda) := \tilde{f} + \lambda;$$

it is immediate to check that Φ is an algebra homomorphism. If $\Phi(f + \lambda) = \Phi(g + \nu)$ then $\Phi(f + \lambda)(\infty) = \lambda = \Phi(g + \nu)(\infty) = \nu$ and also $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}$, which gives $f = g$; we conclude that Φ is one-to-one. On the other hand, let $h \in C(\dot{X})$. Then $f := h - h(\infty) \in C_0(X)$ and, with $\lambda := h(\infty)$, $\Phi(f + \lambda) = f + \lambda = h$. Hence Φ is a bijection. Since any (algebraic) isomorphism between C^* -algebras is isometric, we have finally that Φ is a homeomorphism. (In this case, one can check directly that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(f + \lambda)\| &= \|\tilde{f} + \lambda\|_\infty \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in X} |f(x) + \lambda|, |\lambda| \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sup_{x \in X} |(f(x) + \lambda)g(x)| \\ &= \|f + \lambda 1\|_{\dot{A}} \end{aligned}$$

5.(a) Clearly, $\dot{\omega}$ is linear, since ω is, and since $\dot{\omega}(1) = 1$, $\dot{\omega}$ is not identically zero. We need to check that it is multiplicative:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\omega}((a + \lambda 1)(b + \nu 1)) &= \dot{\omega}(ab + \nu a + \lambda b + \lambda \nu 1) \\ &= \omega(a)\omega(b) + \nu\omega(a) + \lambda\omega(b) + \lambda\nu \\ &= \dot{\omega}(a + \lambda 1)\dot{\omega}(b + \nu 1). \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have that ω_∞ is clearly linear and, since $\omega_\infty(1) = 1$, ω_∞ is not identically zero. Now, computations as above give that

$$\omega_\infty((a + \lambda 1)(b + \nu 1)) = \lambda\nu = \omega_\infty(a + \lambda 1)\omega_\infty(b + \nu 1).$$

(c) Let $\eta \in \Delta(\dot{A})$. Since η is linear and $\eta(1) = 1$, we have

$$\eta(a + \lambda 1) = \eta(a + 0) + \eta(\lambda 1) = \eta_A(a) + \lambda.$$

It is immediate that the restriction η_A is multiplicative in A and therefore either $\eta_A \in \Delta(A)$, and η is given by (a), or $\eta_A = 0$, and $\eta = \omega_\infty$ defined in (b).

(d) First note that the map $\Phi : \Delta(A) \rightarrow \Delta(\dot{A})$, $\omega \mapsto \dot{\omega}$, with $\dot{\omega}$ as in (a), is a continuous injection. Indeed, if $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a convergent net in $\Delta(A)$, $\omega_i \rightarrow \omega$, then by definition $\omega_i(a) \rightarrow \omega(a)$, for all $a \in A$ and therefore

$$\dot{\omega}_i(a + \lambda 1) = \omega_i(a) + \lambda \rightarrow \omega(a) + \lambda = \dot{\omega}(a + \lambda 1).$$

Now we check that Φ can be extended to a homeomorphism

$$\dot{\Phi} : \Delta(\dot{A}) \rightarrow \Delta(\dot{A}), \quad \dot{\Phi}(\infty) := \omega_\infty,$$

where ω_∞ is as defined in (b). It is clear that $\dot{\Phi}$ is a one-to-one map and surjectivity follows from (c). If we prove that $\dot{\Phi}$ is continuous in $\Delta(\dot{A})$, then since $\Delta(\dot{A})$ is compact and $\Delta(\dot{A})$ is Hausdorff, we have that $\dot{\Phi}^{-1}$ is continuous as well, and the proof is concluded. Let then $U_\infty \subset \Delta(\dot{A})$ be a neighbourhood of ω_∞ , which we write as

$$U_\infty = \{\eta \in \Delta(\dot{A}) \mid |\eta(a + \lambda 1) - \omega_\infty(a + \lambda 1)| < \epsilon\}.$$

We have then

$$U_\infty = \{\dot{\omega} \in \Delta(\dot{A}) \mid |\omega(a)| < \epsilon\} \cup \{\omega_\infty\}$$

and therefore $\dot{\Phi}^{-1}(U_\infty) = \{\infty\} \cup \{\omega \in \Delta(A) \mid |\omega(a)| < \epsilon\}$. Now $\Delta(A) - \dot{\Phi}^{-1}(U_\infty) = \{\omega \in \Delta(A) \mid |\omega(a)| \geq \epsilon\}$ is closed and hence, by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, it is compact. We conclude that $\dot{\Phi}^{-1}(U_\infty)$ is indeed a neighbourhood of ∞ in $\Delta(\dot{A})$ and $\dot{\Phi}$ is continuous.

Lecture 7 (13-11-03)

1. Let C be a category according to Definition 6.1 and let $(f, g), (g, h) \in C^2$. Then $s(f) = t(g)$ and $s(g) = t(h)$, and, from 2 in Definition 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(fg) &= s(g) = t(h), \\ t(gh) &= t(g) = s(f). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Therefore, $(fg, h) \in C^2$, $(f, gh) \in C^2$ and, from 3 in Definition 6.1, $(fg)h = f(gh)$. Conversely, assume that whenever $(f, g) \in C^2$ and $(g, h) \in C^2$ we have that $(fg)h, f(gh)$ are defined and equal. Let $(f, g) \in C^2$; Only need to show that $s(fg) = s(g)$ and $t(fg) = t(f)$. Take h such that $(g, h) \in C^2$ (for instance, $h = i(s(g))$); then we have $s(g) = t(h)$ and by assumption $(fg, h) \in C^2$. Hence

$$s(fg) = t(h) = s(g).$$

A similar argument shows that $t(fg) = t(f)$, and the two definitions are indeed equivalent.

2. From 1 in Definition 6.1, we have that $s(i(x)) = t(i(x)) = x$, for all objects x ; hence $s \circ i$ and $t \circ i$ are bijections. Therefore, s, t are surjective and i is injective.

3. Let C be a category as in Definition 6.1; we will show that the 'arrows only' definition holds. Let C_1 be the class of arrows, and $C_2 \subset C_1 \times C_1$ be the subclass of composable arrows, $m : C_2 \rightarrow C_1$ given by composition, $m(f, g) = fg$.

We first prove (a). If fg and gh are defined, then $s(f) = t(g)$ and $s(g) = t(h)$. Since $s(fg) = s(g) = t(h)$, have that $(fg)h$ is defined. On the other hand, if $(fg)h$ is defined, then in particular fg is defined and $s(f) = t(g) = t(gh)$ and hence $f(gh)$ is defined. We can see in this way that $f(gh)$ is defined iff $(fg)h$ is defined and in this case clearly fg and gh are defined. We then have that (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). Using 3. in Definition 6.1, we now have that (i) \Rightarrow (iv) and therefore (a) holds ((iv) \Rightarrow (iii) is trivial).

As for (b), let $\tilde{s}(f) := i(s(f)) \in C_1$, for $f \in C_1$; then $(f, \tilde{s}(f)) \in C_2$. If $(\tilde{s}(f), h) \in C_2$, then $s((\tilde{s}(f), h)) = s(i(s(f))) = s(f) = t(h)$ and therefore $\tilde{s}(f)h = i(s(f))h = i(t(h))h = h$; similarly, if $(g, \tilde{s}(f)) \in C_2$, then $s(g) = t(i(s(f))) = s(f)$ and $g\tilde{s}(f) = gi(s(f)) = gi(s(g)) = g$. Conclude that $\tilde{s}(f)$ is the required identity, and we can define in the same way an identity $\tilde{t}(f)$ with $(\tilde{t}(f), f) \in C_2$.

Conversely, assume that C_1 is a category according to the 'arrows only' definition. Define the class of objects

$$C_0 := \{e \in C_1 \mid e \text{ is an identity}\}$$

and let $i : C_0 \rightarrow C_1$ be the inclusion map. By assumption, we know that to each $f \in C_1$ one can associate an identity $t(f)$ (respectively, $s(f)$) such that $(t(f), f)$ (respectively, $(f, s(f))$) is defined. We show that $t(f)$ (and similarly $s(f)$) is the unique identity with this property and that will yield the definition of the target (and source) map. Let $f \in C_1$ and e, e' be identities such that $(e, f), (e', f) \in C_2$. Then $ef = f$ and therefore $e'(ef)$ is defined; by (a), we have that $e'e$ is defined and

$$e \text{ is an identity} \Rightarrow e'e = e'$$

$$e' \text{ is an identity} \Rightarrow e'e = e.$$

We have therefore well-defined source and target maps

$$s : C_1 \rightarrow C_0, f \mapsto s(f)$$

$$t : C_1 \rightarrow C_0, f \mapsto t(f).$$

We now show that $C_2 = \{(f, g) \in C_1 \times C_1 \mid s(f) = t(g)\}$ (where C_2 is given by the 'arrows only' definition). Let $f, g \in C_1$ such that $s(f) = t(g)$; then by definition of $t(g)$, $(s(f), g) \in C_2$, and since $(f, s(f)) \in C_2$, have from (a) that $(fs(f), g) = (f, g) \in C_2$. Conversely, let $(f, g) \in C_2$; it suffices to show that $s(f)g$ is defined, since in this case, because $s(f)$ is an identity, we have $s(f) = t(g)$. But $fs(f) = f$, and hence $(fs(f))g$ is defined; by (a), we have $s(f)g$ defined as well.

Finally we check that the axioms in Definition 6.1 are satisfied.

1. Want to show that for $x \in C_0$, $s(i(x)) = t(i(x)) = x$, that is, that for any identity e , $s(e) = t(e) = e$. It suffices to show that ee is defined: in this case, since e is an identity, have on one hand that $e = s(e)$ and similarly, $e = t(e)$. Now $(t(e), e) \in C_2$ and, since $t(e)e = e$, we have $(t(e)e, e) \in C_2$; from (a), conclude that $(e, e) \in C_2$ as well, that is, ee is defined.¹

2. Let $(f, g) \in C_2$; to check that $t(fg) = t(f)$ it suffices to show that $t(fg)f$ is defined, again since $t(fg)$ is an identity. But $t(fg)(fg)$ is defined,

¹Moreover, it is clear that if $f \in C_1$ is such that $f = s(f) = t(f)$ then f is an identity; we have then that $C_0 = \{f \in C_1 \mid s(f) = t(f) = f\}$. Also, note that we have shown in particular that s and t are surjective, that is, $C_0 = \text{Im}(s) = \text{Im}(t)$. One could then have started with any of these definitions for C_0 and proceed from there.

and hence, by (a), $t(fg)f$ is defined. Similarly, we have $gs(fg)$ defined and thus $s(fg) = s(g)$.

3. If $(f, g) \in C_2$ and $(g, h) \in C_2$, then by (a) we have $(fg)h = f(gh)$.

4. For any $f \in C_1$, $i(t(f)) \circ f = t(f)f = f$, since i is the inclusion and $t(f)$ is an identity for f . Similarly, $f \circ i(s(f)) = f$.

4. Let C be a category as in Definition 6.1. We show that the 'objects' definition holds. Let C_0 be the class of objects and for each $(x, y) \in C_0 \times C_0$ let

$$(x, y) := \{f \in C_1 \mid s(f) = y, t(f) = x\}.$$

Then, if $f \in (x, y)$, $g \in (y, z)$, have $s(f) = t(g)$, that is $(f, g) \in C_2$ and we define

$$m : (x, y) \times (y, z) \rightarrow (x, z), (f, g) \mapsto fg.$$

Now we verify (a),(b)(c).

(a) If $f \in (x, y) \cap (x', y')$ then $s(f) = y = y'$, $t(f) = x = x'$.

(b) For each $x \in C_0$, have $t(i(x)) = s(i(x)) = x$; hence $i(x) \in (x, x)$ and for $f \in (x, y)$, $g \in (y, x)$, $i(x)f = i(t(f))f = f$ and $gi(x) = gi(s(g)) = g$.

(c) This is just a restatement of 3. in Definition 6.1.

Conversely, let C be a category according to the 'objects' definition. Take C_0 for the class of objects and define

$$C_1 := \bigcup_{x, y \in C_0} (x, y)$$

$$s, t : C_1 \rightarrow C_0$$

such that if $f \in (x, y)$ then $s(f) := y$, $t(f) := x$ (note that s, t are well-defined because of (a)). Then, from (b), we have a map

$$i : C_0 \rightarrow C_1, x \mapsto i(x)$$

and, defining $C_2 := \{(f, g) \in C_1 \times C_1 \mid s(f) = t(g)\}$ we have that $(f, g) \in C_2$ iff $f \in (x, y)$, $g \in (y, z)$, for $x = t(f)$, $y = s(f) = t(g)$, $z = s(g)$, that is, iff fg is defined. We now only need to check that the axioms in Definition 6.1 are satisfied.

1. For $x \in C_0$, $i(x) \in (x, x)$; hence $s(i(x)) = t(i(x)) = x$.

2. Let $(f, g) \in C_2$. Then, as above, $f \in (x, y)$, $g \in (y, z)$ and therefore $fg \in (x, z)$; this gives $s(fg) = z = s(g)$, $t(fg) = x = t(f)$.

3. If $(f, g), (g, h) \in C_2$, then $f \in (x, y)$, $g \in (y, z)$, $h \in (z, w)$, and by (c), $(fg)h = f(gh)$.

4. For $f \in C_1$, have that $f \in (t(f), s(f))$ and from (b), $i(t(f))f = f$, $fi(s(f)) = f$.

5. From (b), and since s_G is surjective, we have that Φ_0 is determined by Φ_1 , in that, for all $x \in G_0$, we have

$$\Phi_0(x) = \Phi_0(s_G(i_G(x))) = s_h \circ \Phi_1(i_G(x)).$$

Now assume that Φ_1 is a functor according to the 'arrows' definition. Define

$$\Phi_0 : G_0 \rightarrow H_0, \quad \Phi_0 := s_H \circ \Phi_1 \circ i_G.$$

We check that (a),(b),(c) hold.

(a) By definition, $i_H \circ \Phi_0 = i_H \circ s_H \circ \Phi_1 \circ i_G$. For any $x \in G_0$,

$$\Phi_1 \circ i_G(x) i_H(s_H(\Phi_1 \circ i_G(x))) = \Phi_1 \circ i_G(x);$$

on the other hand, by (a), $\Phi_1 \circ i_G(x)$ is an identity¹, since $i_G(x)$ is, hence, noting that $\Phi_1 \circ i_G(x) i_H \circ \Phi_0(x)$ is defined, have

$$\Phi_1 \circ i_G(x) i_H \circ \Phi_0(x) = i_H \circ \Phi_0(x).$$

Conclude that $i_H \circ \Phi_0(x) = \Phi_1 \circ i_G(x)$.

(b) For $f \in G_1$, have that $f = f i_G(s_G(f))$ and, from (b),

$$\Phi_1(f) = \Phi_1(f i_G(s_G(f))) = \Phi_1(f)\Phi_1(i_G(s_G(f)))$$

hence $s_H \circ \Phi_1(f) = s_H \circ \Phi_1 \circ i_G \circ s_G(f) = \Phi_0 \circ s_G(f)$.

Similarly, we have that $t_H \circ \Phi_1(f) = t_H \circ \Phi_1 \circ i_G \circ t_G(f)$; from (a), we know that $\Phi_1 \circ i_G \circ s_G(f)$ is an identity (since $i_G \circ s_G(f)$ is) and therefore its source and target coincide, that is, we have $t_H \circ \Phi_1(f) = s_H \circ \Phi_1 \circ i_G \circ t_G(f) = \Phi_0 \circ t_G(f)$.

(c) This is a restatement of (b) in the arrows definition.

We finally show that the 'usual' definition yields the 'arrows' definition. Noting that an identity e is of the form $i_G(x)$ for some $x \in G_0$, have from (a) that $\Phi_1(e)$ is also an identity in H . On the other hand, if $(f, g) \in G_2$, then $(\Phi_1(f), \Phi_1(g)) \in H_2$ by (b), and $\Phi_1(fg) = \Phi_1(f)\Phi_1(g)$, by (c). Therefore the 'arrows' definition holds.

¹Recall that an identity in a category G is an element of the form $i_G(x)$, for some $x \in G_0$. This is coherent with the definition of identity given in Exercise 3: it is clear that any $i_G(x)$ satisfies those conditions and on the other hand if e is an identity and ef is defined, by unicity, we have $e = i_G(t_G(f))$, and any identity is of the form $i_G(s_G(f))$, $i_G(t_G(f))$.

Lecture 8 (20-11-03)

1. Let $I : Vec \rightarrow Vec$ be the identity functor and $** : Vec \rightarrow Vec$ be defined on objects by $V \mapsto V^{**}$, where V^{**} denotes the second dual of the vector space V , and on arrows by $\phi \mapsto \phi^{**}$ where $\phi^{**}(w) = w \circ \phi^*$, with $\phi^*(f) = f \circ \phi$, for $w \in V^{**}$, $f \in W^*$, $\phi : V \rightarrow W$.

It is clear that if $i_V : V \rightarrow V$ denotes the identity, then $(i_V)^{**} = i_{V^{**}}$, and that $**$ is compatible with the source and target maps. It is also easy to check that if ϕ, ψ are composable arrows then $(\phi \circ \psi)^{**} = \psi^{**} \circ \phi^{**}$, and we have then that $**$ is a (covariant) functor. We now show that $** \cong I$.

For each object V , define $\tau_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ by $\tau_V(v) := \widehat{v}$, where $\widehat{v}(f) := f(v)$, for $v \in V$, $f \in V^*$. We have that τ_V is injective, since V^* separates the points of V , and therefore also surjective, since $\dim(V) = \dim(V^{**}) < \infty$. Hence τ_V is an isomorphism for any V . We check that the collection $\{\tau_V\}_{V \in Vec^0}$ defines a natural transformation between I and $**$, that is, that for any arrow $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\tau_V} & V^{**} \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^{**} \\ W & \xrightarrow{\tau_W} & W^{**}. \end{array}$$

Indeed, for any $v \in V$, $f \in W^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_W \circ \phi)(v)(f) &= \widehat{\phi(v)}(f) = f \circ \phi(v), \\ (\phi^{**} \circ \tau_V)(v)(f) &= (\tau_V(v) \circ \phi^*)(f) = \tau_V(v)(f \circ \phi) = f \circ \phi(v). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $** \cong I$.

2. Assume that G and H are equivalent categories, that is, that there exist functors $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$ and $\beta : H \rightarrow G$ such that $\alpha\beta \cong id_H$, $\beta\alpha \cong id_G$. We want to show that α is essentially surjective and fully faithful.

For each $v \in H^0$, we have $\alpha\beta(v) \cong v$ and hence, taking $u := \beta(v)$, we see that α is essentially surjective. To show that it is fully faithful, note that to each $u \in G^0$, we have isomorphisms $\tau_u : u \rightarrow \beta^0\alpha^0(u)$ such that for any given arrow ϕ , the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u & \xrightarrow{\tau_u} & \beta^0\alpha^0(u) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta^1\alpha^1(\phi) \\ u' & \xrightarrow{\tau_{u'}} & \beta^0\alpha^0(u'). \end{array}$$

The arrow ϕ is then completely determined by $\alpha^1(\phi)$, in that we have $\phi = \tau_{u'}^{-1}(\beta^1\alpha^1(\phi))\tau_u$, and hence α is injective. (The same argument for $\alpha\beta$ shows that β is injective as well.) Now let $\psi : \alpha^0(u) \rightarrow \alpha^0(u')$ be an arrow and define $\phi : x \rightarrow y$ be $\phi := \tau_{u'}^{-1}\beta^1(\psi)\tau_u$. Again using the commutativity of the above diagram, we have that

$$\phi = \tau_{u'}^{-1}(\beta^1\alpha^1(\phi))\tau_u = \tau_{u'}^{-1}\beta^1(\psi)\tau_u,$$

that is, we have

$$\beta^1\alpha^1(\phi) = \beta^1(\psi) \Leftrightarrow \alpha^1(\phi) = \psi,$$

since β is injective, and we conclude then that α is also surjective.

Conversely, let $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$ be an essentially surjective, fully faithful functor. We want to show that G, H are equivalent, that is, we want to define a functor $\beta : H \rightarrow G$ such that $\alpha\beta \cong id_H$, $\beta\alpha \cong id_G$.

Note In order to use the axiom of choice, we have to assume extra conditions on the categories G and H (since the axiom of choice is not defined on the universe of classes).

For each $v \in H^0$ let $G_v := \{u \in G^0 \mid \alpha^0(u) \cong v\}$. Since α is essentially surjective, $G_v \neq \emptyset$. Using the axiom of choice, we have that there is a map $\beta^0 : H^0 \rightarrow G^0$ such that $\beta^0(v) \in G_v$, that is, such that

$$\alpha^0\beta^0(v) \cong v, v \in H^0;$$

this defines the functor β on objects.

To define β on arrows, pick for each $v \in H_0$ an isomorphism $\tau_v : v \cong \alpha^0\beta^0(v)$. Each arrow $\phi \in (v, v')_H$ defines an arrow $\tau_v^{-1}\phi\tau_{v'} \in (\alpha^0(u), \alpha^0(u'))$, where $u = \beta^0(v)$, $u' = \beta^0(v')$. Since $\alpha^1 : (u, u')_G \rightarrow (\alpha^0(u), \alpha^0(u'))_H$ is bijective¹, there is a uniquely defined arrow $\psi \in (u, u')$ such that

$$\alpha^1(\psi) = \tau_v^{-1}\phi\tau_{v'}.$$

We define $\beta^1(\phi) := \psi$. Note that with these definitions we have immediately that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v & \xrightarrow{\tau_v} & \alpha^0\beta^0(v) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha^1\beta^1(\phi)=\alpha(\psi) \\ v' & \xrightarrow{\tau_{v'}} & \alpha^0\beta^0(v') \end{array}$$

¹Note that $\alpha^1 : G^1 \rightarrow H^1$ is not necessarily bijective, and in fact, in this case, we would have that α^0 is surjective – not just essentially surjective.

commutes, for any objects v, v' and any arrow ϕ , that is, once we prove that β is indeed a functor, we have that $\alpha\beta \cong id_H$. Now, it is immediate that β is compatible with the source and target maps; to check compatibility with the inclusion maps, note that, from the definition of a category, $\tau_v \circ i_H(v) = \tau_v = i_H(\alpha^0\beta^0(v)) \circ \tau_v$ and the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v & \xrightarrow{\tau_v} & \alpha^0\beta^0(v) \\ i_H(v) \downarrow & & \downarrow i_H(\alpha^0\beta^0(v)) = \alpha^1(i_G(\beta^0(v))) \\ v & \xrightarrow{\tau_v} & \alpha^0\beta^0(v) \end{array}$$

where we used the fact that α is a functor; from the definition of β^1 , we have that $\beta^1(i_H(v)) = i_G(\beta^0(v))$. A similar argument shows that $\beta^1(\psi\phi) = \beta^1(\psi)\beta^1(\phi)$, for all compatible arrows ψ, ϕ , and β is therefore a functor. To finish the proof, we need only show that $\beta\alpha \cong id_G$. Now for each arrow $\psi \in (u, u')_G$, we know that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha^0(u) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\alpha^0(u)}} & \alpha^0\beta^0(\alpha^0(u)) \\ \alpha^1(\psi) \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha^1\beta^1(\alpha^1(\psi)) \\ \alpha^0(u') & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\alpha^0(u')}} & \alpha^1\beta^1(\alpha^1(u')) \end{array}$$

commutes. Since $\alpha^1 : (u, \beta^0\alpha^0(u))_G \rightarrow (\alpha^0(u), \alpha^0(\beta^0\alpha^0(u)))_H$ is injective, there is a unique arrow $\epsilon_u \in (u, \beta^0\alpha^0(u))_G$ such that $\alpha^1(\epsilon_u) = \tau_{\alpha^0(u)}$, and ϵ_u is an isomorphism (because τ_{α_u} is). Since α is a functor, the commutativity of the above diagram yields

$$\alpha^1(\beta^1\alpha^1(\psi) \circ \epsilon_u) = \alpha^1(\epsilon_{u'} \circ \psi)$$

and by the injectivity of $\alpha^1 : (u, \beta^0\alpha^0(u'))_G \rightarrow (\alpha^0(u), \alpha^0(\beta^0\alpha^0(u')))_H$, we have that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_u} & \beta^0\alpha^0(u) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta^1\alpha^1(\psi) \\ u' & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{u'}} & \beta^0\alpha^0(u') \end{array}$$

also commutes, which proves finally that $\beta\alpha \cong id_G$.

3. For the first diagram, let $a \in A$, $\omega \in \Delta(B)$. If $\tau_A(a) := \widehat{a} \in C(\Delta(A))$, where \widehat{a} is the Gelfand transform of a , then,

$$(\tau_B \circ \phi)(a)(\omega) = \tau_B(\phi(a))(\omega) = \widehat{\phi(a)}(\omega) = \omega \circ \phi(a)$$

$$(\phi^{**} \circ \tau_A(a))(\omega) = \tau_A(a)(\omega \circ \phi) = \widehat{a}(\omega \circ \phi) = \omega \circ \phi(a).$$

For the second diagram, let $x \in X$, $f \in C(Y)$. If $\epsilon_X(x) \in \Delta(C(X))$ is the evaluation functional, we have,

$$(\epsilon_Y \circ \psi)(x)(f) = \epsilon_Y(\psi(x))(f) = f \circ \psi(x)$$

$$(\psi^{**} \circ \epsilon_X)(x)(f) = (\epsilon_X(x) \circ \psi^*)(f) = \epsilon_X(x)(f \circ \psi) = f \circ \psi(x).$$

4. Let A, B be non-unital C^* -algebras and $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a non-degenerate homomorphism. If we denote by $\dot{\phi}$ the extension of ϕ to the unitization \dot{A} , we know from the equivalence of the categories CH and CC_1A that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{A} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\dot{A}}} & C(\Delta(\dot{A})) \\ \dot{\phi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \dot{\phi}^{**} \\ \dot{B} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\dot{B}}} & C(\Delta(\dot{B})) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\tau_{\dot{A}}, \tau_{\dot{B}}$ denote the Gelfand transform and $\dot{\phi}^{**}$ is the usual map induced by $\dot{\phi}$. Now, since ϕ is non-degenerate, $\phi^* : \Delta(B) \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is proper and therefore induces a map $\phi^{**} : C_0(\Delta(A)) \rightarrow C_0(\Delta(B))$. It is easy to check that under the isomorphism $C(\Delta(\dot{A})) \cong C_0(\Delta(A))$ ¹ one obtains a unital map $C_0(\Delta(A)) \rightarrow C_0(\Delta(B))$, which coincides with the map (ϕ^{**}) , the extension of ϕ^{**} to the unitization. We then obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \dot{A} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\dot{A}}} & C(\Delta(\dot{A})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C_0(\Delta(A)) \\ \dot{\phi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \dot{\phi}^{**} & & \downarrow (\phi^{**}) \\ \dot{B} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\dot{B}}} & C(\Delta(\dot{B})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & C_0(\Delta(B)) \end{array}$$

which, since the maps on the top and bottom lines are (unital) isomorphisms, restricts to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\tau_A} & C_0(\Delta(A)) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^{**} \\ B & \xrightarrow{\tau_B} & C_0(\Delta(A)) \end{array}$$

¹given in Lecture 6, Ex.3

with τ_A, τ_B the Gelfand transform on A, B (again isomorphisms).

Now let X, Y be locally compact spaces and $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ a proper map. Again from the equivalence of the categories CH and CC_1A , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{X} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\dot{X}}} & \Delta(C(\dot{X})) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi^{**} \\ \dot{Y} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\dot{Y}}} & \Delta(C(\dot{Y})) \end{array}$$

where $\dot{\psi}$ is the extension of ψ to the one-point compactification (which is well-defined since ψ is proper), $\dot{\psi}^{**}$ is the usual map induced by $\dot{\psi}$ and $\epsilon_{\dot{X}}$, which is known to be an isomorphism. Since ψ is proper, it induces a non-degenerate morphism $\psi^* : C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$ and this induces a proper map $\psi^{**} : \Delta(C_0(X)) \rightarrow \Delta(C_0(Y))$. Now, under the isomorphism $\Delta(C(\dot{X})) \cong \Delta(C_0(\dot{X}))$, we get a map $\Delta(C_0(\dot{X})) \rightarrow \Delta(C_0(\dot{Y}))$ which is easily seen to restrict to ψ^{**} , that is, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \dot{X} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\dot{X}}} & \Delta(C(\dot{X})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Delta(C_0(\dot{X})) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \dot{\psi}^{**} & & \downarrow (\psi^{**}) \\ \dot{Y} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\dot{Y}}} & \Delta(C(\dot{Y})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Delta(C_0(\dot{Y})) \end{array}$$

which, noting that $\epsilon_{\dot{X}}(\infty) = \omega_\infty$, restricts to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_X} & \Delta(C_0(X)) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi^{**} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_Y} & \Delta(C_0(Y)) \end{array}$$

with ϵ_X, ϵ_Y the evaluation maps (of course also isomorphisms).

Lecture 9 (27-11-03)

1. Recall that a subspace $H_0 \subset H$ is called invariant for a representation π if $\pi(A)H_0 \subset H_0$. In this case, $\pi(a)|_{H_0}$, $a \in A$, defines a representation of A on H_0 , a subrepresentation of π , denoted by $\pi_{H_0}(A)$.

For each $\omega \in H$, $H_\omega := \overline{\pi(A)\omega} \subset H$ is an invariant subspace and the representation $\overline{\omega}$ is cyclic for the subrepresentation $\pi_{H_\omega}(A)$, since $\pi_{H_\omega}(A) = \pi(A)|_{H_\omega}$ yields $\overline{\pi_{H_\omega}(A)\omega} = \overline{\pi(A)\omega}$. Picking an arbitrary $\Omega \in H$ we can then write

$$H = H_\Omega \oplus H_\Omega^\perp, \quad \pi(A) = \pi_{H_\Omega} \oplus \pi_{H_\Omega^\perp}.$$

We want repeat the argument for H_Ω^\perp so that eventually we are able to write $\pi(A)$ as a sum of cyclic representations, that is, we want to show that there is a maximal family $\{\Omega_i\}$ such that $\pi(A)\Omega_i \perp \pi(A)\Omega_j$. For this, we use Zorn's lemma. Let

$$\mathcal{F} := \{F \subset H \mid \pi(A)\Omega_i \perp \pi(A)\Omega_j, \Omega_i, \Omega_j \in F, i \neq j\}.$$

This is a set partially ordered by inclusion, and any chain $\{F_i\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ (that is, any totally ordered subset) has an upper bound, namely, $\cup F_i$. Therefore \mathcal{F} has a maximal element $F = \{\Omega_i\}$. Let $H_i := \overline{\pi(A)\Omega_i}$. If $\omega \in H \setminus \bigoplus H_i$, then $(\omega, \pi(a)\Omega_i) = 0$, for all $a \in A$, $i \in I$, and hence $(\pi(b)\omega, \pi(a)\Omega_i) = 0$, for all $a, b \in A$, $i \in I$, which would contradict the maximality of F . Conclude that $H = \bigoplus H_i$ and, denoting by $\pi_i(A)$ the (cyclic) subrepresentation induced by H_i , we have

$$\pi(A) = \bigoplus \pi_i(A),$$

and the proof is concluded.

2. Need to show that if $v \in V$ is such that $(v, v) = 0$ then $(v, w) = 0$, for all $w \in V$. But, from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|(v, w)|^2 \leq (v, v)(w, w) = 0.$$

Now let ω be a state in a C^* -algebra A and $N_\omega = \{a \in A \mid \omega(a^*a) = 0\}$. Want to show that N_ω is a closed left-ideal in A . Since ω is linear, N_ω is a linear space. Define a semi-definite positive form on A by

$$(a, b) := \omega(a^*b).$$

We check that it is hermitian; for this it suffices to show that $\omega(a^*) = \overline{\omega(a)}$, since $(b, a) = \omega((a^*b)^*)$. Now if a is self-adjoint, we have from the continuous

functional calculus that $\|a\|I \pm a \geq 0^1$ and hence $\omega(\|a\|I \pm a) \geq 0$. Writing

$$\omega(a) = \frac{1}{2}(\omega(\|a\|I + a) - \omega(\|a\|I - a)),$$

we have then that $\omega(a) \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, $\omega(a) = \overline{\omega(a)}$, for a selfadjoint. For arbitrary $a \in A$, the result follows if we write $a = a_1 + ia_2$ with a_1, a_2 selfadjoint.

Now, from above, we have then that $N_\omega = \{a \in A \mid \omega(b^*a) = 0, \text{ for all } b \in A\}$. Now let $b \in A, a \in N_\omega$, then

$$\omega((ba)^*(ba)) = \omega(a^*b^*ba) = \omega((b^*ba)^*a) = 0.$$

Therefore $ba \in N_\omega$ and N_ω is a left-ideal. Since both ω^2 and the map $a \mapsto a^*$ are continuous, N_ω is closed.

3. Since Ω is cyclic for π and Ω_ω is cyclic for π_{Ω_ω} , that is, since $\overline{\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(A)\Omega_\omega} = H_\omega, \overline{\pi(A)\Omega} = H$, it suffices to show that we have an unitary bounded operator

$$U_0 : \pi_{\Omega_\omega}(A)\Omega_\omega \rightarrow \pi(A)\Omega$$

such that $\pi(a)U_0 = U_0\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(a)$, for $a \in A$, since in this case U_0 can be extended to an unitary map $U : H_\omega \rightarrow H$. Now, for any $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(a)\Omega\|^2 &= (\pi(a)\Omega, \pi(a)\Omega) \\ &= (\Omega, \pi(a^*a)\Omega) \\ &= \omega_\Omega(a^*a) \\ &= (\Omega_\omega, \pi_{\Omega_\omega}(a^*a)\Omega_\omega) \\ &= \|\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(a)\Omega_\omega\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there is a well-defined map U_0 such that

$$U_0(\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(a)\Omega_\omega) := \pi(a)\Omega$$

and U_0 is an isometry and therefore it is unitary (it preserves the inner product). Now for any $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a)U_0(\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(b)\Omega_\omega) &= \pi(a)\pi(b)\Omega \\ &= U_0(\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(ab)\Omega_\omega) \\ &= U_0(\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(a)\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(b)\Omega_\omega) \end{aligned}$$

¹See also Lecture 11.

²See Exercise 1, Lecture 11.

and therefore $\pi(a)U_0 = U_0\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(a)$.

4. From Exercise 3, if $\pi_1(A)$ and $\pi_2(A)$ are cyclic representations, then both $\pi_1(A)$ and $\pi_2(A)$ are unitarily equivalent to the GNS-representation and hence unitarily equivalent: if there are unitaries U_1, U_2 such that

$$\pi_1(a)U_1 = U_1\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(a), \quad \pi_2(a)U_2 = U_2\pi_{\Omega_\omega}(a)$$

then $\pi_1(a)U_1U_2^{-1} = U_1U_2^{-1}\pi_2(a)$, and $U_1U_2^{-1}$ is unitary.

Lecture 10 (4-12-03)

1. Assume that $\sum_{i \in I} f(i)$ is finite and let $J := \{i \in I \mid f(i) \neq 0\}$. We want to show that J is countable. First note that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the set $F_\epsilon := \{i \in I \mid f(i) > \epsilon\}$ is finite; otherwise, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one can find $S_n \subset F_\epsilon$ such that $(S) = n$ and in that case $\sum_{i \in S_n} f(i) > n\epsilon$ which contradicts the fact that $\sum_{i \in I} f(i) < \infty$. Now we clearly have

$$J = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{1/n}$$

and therefore, being a countable union of finite sets, J is countable.

2. Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in H_u , that is, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n = \{x_n^\omega\}_{\omega \in S(A)}$ with $x_n^\omega \in H_\omega$ and we are assuming that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is N such that for $n, m > N$, $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon$, that is, for any finite set $F \subset S(A)$,

$$\sum_{\omega \in F} \|x_n^\omega - x_m^\omega\|^2 < \epsilon^2. \quad (12)$$

In particular, for each fixed $\omega \in S(A)$, $(x_n^\omega)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in H_ω and therefore it converges. Let $x^\omega := \lim x_n^\omega$ and $x := \{x^\omega\}_{\omega \in S(A)}$. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (12), we have that there is N such that for $n > N$ and for any finite set F ,

$$\sum_{\omega \in F} \|x_n^\omega - x^\omega\|^2 < \epsilon^2.$$

We conclude that $x_n - x \in H_u$, and therefore that $x \in H_u$, and moreover

$$\|x_n - x\|^2 = \sup_{F \subset S(A), \text{ finite}} \sum_{\omega \in F} \|x_n^\omega - x^\omega\|^2 < \epsilon^2$$

which shows that H_u is complete.

3. We first show that $a = a^*$; this is straightforward from

$$(v, av) - (v, a^*v) = (v, av) - (av, v) = (v, av) - \overline{(v, av)} = 2\text{Im}(v, av) = 0$$

since $(v, av) \in \mathbb{R}$. Now let $\lambda \in \sigma(a)$. Since a is selfadjoint, we know that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, so that $a - \lambda I$ is selfadjoint as well. We first show that a selfadjoint operator b is invertible iff

$$\inf\{\|bx\| \mid \|x\| = 1\} > 0. \quad (13)$$

It is clear from (13) that b is injective. Since $\ker(b)^\perp = \ker(b^*)^\perp = \overline{Im(b)}$, we have also that $Im(b)$ is dense. If $bx_n \rightarrow y$, then (bx_n) is a Cauchy sequence in H and (13) yields that (x_n) is also a Cauchy sequence in H , therefore convergent, $x_n \rightarrow x$; since b is bounded, $bx_n \rightarrow bx$ and hence $Im(b)$ is closed. We conclude then that b is a bijective operator and (13) gives that b^{-1} is bounded, that is, b is invertible.

Now, since $a - \lambda I$ is selfadjoint, we therefore have that $\lambda \in \sigma(a)$ iff

$$\inf\{\|(a - \lambda I)x\| \mid \|x\| = 1\} = 0$$

that is, if there exists a sequence (x_n) such that $\|x_n\| = 1$ and

$$\|(a - \lambda I)x_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

In this case, $(x_n, (a - \lambda I)x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as well, and since $(x_n, \lambda x_n) = \lambda$ and $(x_n, ax_n) \geq 0$, we conclude that $\lambda \geq 0$, which finishes our proof.

Notes 1- One can check directly that, for $\lambda < 0$,

$$\|(a - \lambda I)x\|^2 = ((a - \lambda I)x, (a - \lambda I)x) = \|ax\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|x\|^2 - 2\lambda(x, ax) \geq \lambda^2 \|x\|^2$$

(we have used the fact that $(x, ax) \geq 0$), and therefore $a - \lambda I$ is invertible.

2 - We can give a proof of this result without using the fact $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ noting that the invertibility criterion given above for selfadjoint operators also holds for normal operators (such that $b^*b = bb^*$), since in this case one has always $\ker(b) = \ker(b^*)$. Note that if a is self-adjoint, then $a - \lambda I$ is normal, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

4. To characterize $S(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$, we first identify $(M_2(\mathbb{C}))'$. To do this, we define a Hilbert space structure on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and use Riesz Representation theorem. For $a, b \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ define

$$(a, b) := \text{Tr}(a^*b).$$

(Recall that for $a = (a_{ij})$, $\text{Tr}(a) = \sum a_{ii}$.) Since Tr is linear and $\text{Tr}(a^*) = \overline{\text{Tr}(a)}$, one easily checks that $(\ , \)$ is an hermitian bilinear form. Also, if a is self-adjoint, one has $\text{Tr}(a) = \sum \lambda_i$, where λ_i are the eigenvalues of a ¹. Therefore,

$$(a, a) = \text{Tr}(a^*a) = \sum \lambda_i \geq 0,$$

¹Since, in this case, one has $a = u^{-1}du$ where u is unitary and d is the matrix representation of a on a basis of eigenvectors; hence $\text{Tr}(a) = \text{Tr}(u^{-1}du) = \text{Tr}(u^{-1}ud) = \text{Tr}(d)$.

since a^*a is selfadjoint and positive, and if $(a, a) = 0$ then $\lambda_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$ and hence $a^*a = 0$ which gives $a = 0$. We conclude that (\cdot, \cdot) is an inner product and that $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is indeed a Hilbert space.¹

Now by Riesz Representation theorem, every $\omega \in (M_2(\mathbb{C}))'$ is given by

$$\omega(a) = \text{Tr}(a_\omega a)$$

for some (unique) matrix $a_\omega \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$. To characterize the state space $S(M_2(\mathbb{C})) \subset (M_2(\mathbb{C}))'$, we show the following:

- (i) $\omega(I) = 1$ iff $\text{Tr}(a_\omega) = 1$;
- (ii) $\omega(a^*a) \geq 0$, for all $a \in A$ iff a_ω is positive in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Only (ii) needs proof. Let $a_\omega \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be positive; then, for any $a \in A$, we have that $a_\omega a^*a$ is also positive and therefore $\text{Tr}(a_\omega a^*a) \geq 0$, that is, $\omega(a^*a) \geq 0$. Conversely, we show that $a_\omega = a_\omega^*$ and that $\sigma(a_\omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. To see that a_ω is selfadjoint, note that for selfadjoint a , we have $\text{Tr}(a_\omega^*a) = \text{Tr}(a_\omega a)$ and writing an arbitrary $a \in A$ as $a = b + ic$ with b, c selfadjoint, we see that $\text{Tr}(a_\omega^*a) = \text{Tr}(a_\omega a)$ for all $a \in A$; by unicity, we have $a_\omega^* = a_\omega$. Replacing, if necessary, a_ω by d_ω , the diagonal matrix representing a_ω on a basis of eigenvectors, one can see that there are positive matrices b_1, b_2 such that

$$\text{Tr}(a_\omega b_i) = \text{Tr}(d_\omega b_i) = \lambda_i.$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are the eigenvalues of a_ω . Hence, since ω is positive, $\omega(b_i) = \lambda_i \geq 0$ and we have that a_ω is positive.

We conclude that $S(M_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong \{a \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid a \geq 0, \text{Tr}(a) = 1\}$. (Note that this isomorphism is induced by $(M_2(\mathbb{C}))' \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and is then obviously a homeomorphism.) One can easily check that $a \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is such that $a \geq 0$ and $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$ iff

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 1+x & y+iz \\ y-iz & 1-x \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Now this matrix is positive (that is, semi-definite positive) iff $1+x \geq 0$ and $\det(a) \geq 0$, that is, iff $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1$ and we conclude that $S(M_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong B^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1\}$.

¹Since $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is finite dimensional, all norms are equivalent and therefore it is complete with respect to the norm induced by the defined inner product.

Lecture 11 (11-12-03)

1. (i) Let $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a positive functional (A not necessarily unital). We first show that it suffices to prove boundedness on A^+ . Given an arbitrary $a \in A$, one can write $a = b + ic$, where b, c are self-adjoint; every self-adjoint element b can be written as $b = b^+ - b^-$, where b^+, b^- are positive. Hence we can write $a = b^+ - b^- + i(c^+ - c^-)$, with b^+, b^-, c^+, c^- positive elements, and it is clear that $\|u\| \leq \|a\|$, for $u = b^\pm, u = c^\pm$. We have then

$$|\omega(a)| \leq |\omega(b^+)| + |\omega(b^-)| + |\omega(c^+)| + |\omega(c^-)|.$$

If ω is bounded on A^+ , that is, if there exists $C > 0$ such that for all positive $u \in A$, $|\omega(u)| \leq C\|u\|$, we conclude

$$|\omega(a)| \leq 4C\|a\|$$

and ω is bounded on A .

We now prove that ω is bounded by contradiction. Assume then that ω is not bounded. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find a_n , with $\|a_n\| = 1$, such that

$$|\omega(a_n)| > n^3$$

and by the argument above, we can assume that a_n is positive. The series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{n^2}$ obviously converges to some $a \in A$. The sequence $s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{n^2}$ is such that $s_n \geq 0$ and $s_{n+1} \geq s_n$. By the little lemma we prove below, one has that $a = \lim s_n$ is positive and $a \geq s_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since ω is positive,

$$\omega(a) \geq \omega(s_n) \geq \omega\left(\frac{a_n}{n^2}\right) \geq n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which is absurd, because then $\omega(a) = \infty$. Therefore ω is bounded.

We still need to prove the following:

Lemma: Let (a_n) be a sequence on a C^* -algebra A such that $a_n \rightarrow a$, $a_n \geq 0$, and $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a \geq 0$ and, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \geq a_N$.

Proof: Can assume that A is unital¹. It is clear that a is self-adjoint, since the involution is continuous. From

$$\sigma(a) = \{\widehat{a}(\omega) \mid \omega \in \Delta(C^*(a, 1))\},$$

¹Since an element in a non-unital algebra is positive iff it is positive in the unitization; recall that on a non-unital C^* -algebra A , $\sigma_A(a)$ is defined as $\sigma_{\widehat{A}}(a + 0)$.

one easily checks that $a \geq 0$ iff $\widehat{a}(\omega) \geq 0$ for all ω . Now we know that the Gelfand transform is continuous, therefore $\widehat{a_n} \rightarrow \widehat{a}$; but since $a_n \geq 0$, $\widehat{a_n}(\omega) \geq 0$ and hence $\widehat{a}(\omega) \geq 0$, that is, $a \geq 0$.

To show that, for any fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $a - a_N \geq 0$, just apply the result just proved to the sequence $b_n := a_{n+N} - a_N$. \square

(ii) We show that a functional $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, A unital, is positive iff it is continuous and $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$. Assume then that ω is positive; we know from (i) that it is bounded. Since A is unital, one can give a different proof that will yield $\|\omega\|$. Let first a be self-adjoint. Then from the continuous functional calculus on $C^*(a, 1)$, one has that $-\|a\|1 \leq a \leq \|a\|1$, that is, $\pm a + \|a\|1 \geq 0$ (just take $f(t) := \pm t + \|a\|$, and use the fact that $f \geq 0$ to conclude that $f(a) \geq 0$). Therefore, since ω is positive,

$$|\omega(a)| \leq \omega(1)\|a\|.$$

For arbitrary $a \in A$, from $|\omega(a^*b)|^2 \leq \omega(a^*a)\omega(b^*b)$, we have now

$$|\omega(a)|^2 \leq \omega(1)\omega(a^*a) \leq \omega(1)^2\|a^*a\| = \omega(1)^2\|a\|^2$$

and therefore $\|\omega\| \leq \omega(1)$. It is trivial that $\|\omega\| \geq \omega(1)$ and hence $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$.

Conversely, let ω be a bounded functional such that $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ and $a \in A$ be positive. Again from the continuous functional calculus, and since $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, we have that there is an $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\sigma(1 - sa) = \{1 - st \mid t \in \sigma(a)\} \subset [0, 1].$$

Since $\|1 - sa\| = r(1 - sa)$, the spectral radius of $1 - sa$, we then have $\|1 - sa\| \leq 1$. But then

$$|\omega(1) - s\omega(a)| = |\omega(1 - sa)| \leq \omega(1)\|1 - sa\| \leq \omega(1).$$

Hence $\omega(1) - s\omega(a) \leq \omega(1)$ and therefore $\omega(a) \geq 0$. We conclude that ω is positive.

(iii) If A is unital, then ω is a state if it is positive and $\omega(1) = 1$. From (ii), ω is positive iff $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$, and hence ω is a state iff $\|\omega\| = 1$.

On a non-unital A , ω is a state if $\dot{\omega}$ is positive in \dot{A} , the unitization of A , where $\dot{\omega}(a + \lambda) := \omega(a) + \lambda$. It is clear that we have in this case

$$\|\omega\| = \sup_{a \in A, \|a\|=1} |\omega(a)| \leq \sup_{a + \lambda \in \dot{A}, \|a + \lambda\|=1} |\dot{\omega}(a + \lambda)| = \|\dot{\omega}\|.$$

From (ii), since $\dot{\omega}$ is positive, $\|\dot{\omega}\| = \dot{\omega}(0 + 1) = 1$ and therefore $\|\omega\| \leq 1$. To show that $\|\omega\| = 1$, we consider an approximate identity in A , that is, a net $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in I}$ of self-adjoint elements, with $\|I_\lambda\| = 1$, such that, for all $a \in A$, $\|I_\lambda a - a\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|a I_\lambda - a\| \rightarrow 0$. Then, since ω is bounded, $\omega(I_\lambda a - a) = (\omega(I_\lambda) - 1)\omega(a) \rightarrow 0$, for any $a \in A$, that is,

$$\omega(I_\lambda) \rightarrow 1.$$

Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\lambda \in I$ such that $\omega(I_\lambda) > 1 - \epsilon$. We conclude that $\|\omega\| \geq 1$.

(iv) On a non-unital C^* -algebra A , we have by definition that ω is a state iff $\dot{\omega}$ is positive in \dot{A} , the unitization of A , where $\dot{\omega}(a + \lambda) := \omega(a) + \lambda$. We have then $\dot{\omega}(0 + 1) = 1$ and hence $\dot{\omega}$ is a state. (It is clear that $\dot{\omega}$ is the unique extension of ω to \dot{A} .)

(v) By definition, ω is a state on A if its extension $\dot{\omega}$ to \dot{A} is positive. Therefore the restriction of a state (hence a positive functional) on \dot{A} to A is a state.

2. Let $a \in A$ be self-adjoint and $X := \Delta(C^*(a, 1))$. We have then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(\sigma(a)) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & C^*(a, 1) \\ \hat{a}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ C(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & C(X), \end{array}$$

where the maps involved are as follows:

– \hat{a}^* is induced by the Gelfand transform of a regarded as a map $\hat{a} : X \rightarrow \sigma(a)$. It was proved in class that \hat{a} is a homeomorphism, and therefore \hat{a}^* is an isomorphism.

– Φ is the Gelfand transform on $C^*(a, 1)$, which is an isomorphism by the Gelfand-Neumark theorem (since $C^*(a, 1)$ is commutative).

– Ψ is given by the continuous functional calculus, $\Psi(f) := f(a)$, $f \in C(\sigma(a))$. We know by the definition of $f(a)$ that $\|f(a)\| = \|f\|_\infty$; to show that Ψ is an isomorphism one therefore only need to show that it is surjective. Recall that $C^*(a, 1) \subset A$ is defined as the closure in A of the set of all polynomials in a . It is clear that $p(a) = \Psi(p)$ where $p(t)$ is any polynomial on $\sigma(a)$. If $b = \lim p_n(a)$, for some sequence of polynomials p_n , then $(p_n(a))$ is a Cauchy sequence in A and therefore also (p_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $C(\sigma(a))$, since Ψ is isometric. Hence there is $f \in C(\sigma(a))$ such that $p_n \rightarrow f$

and then we have also $p_n(a) \rightarrow f(a)$, that is, $b = \Psi(f)$. Conclude that Ψ is surjective, and therefore an isomorphism.

We now finally check that the diagram indeed commutes. Need to show that for any $f \in C(\sigma(a))$,

$$\widehat{f(a)} = \widehat{a}^*(f),$$

where, as usual, $\widehat{f(a)}$, \widehat{a} denote the Gelfand transforms of $f(a)$, a . For any $\omega \in X$,

$$\widehat{f(a)}(\omega) = \omega(f(a))$$

$$\widehat{a}^*(f)(\omega) = (f \circ \widehat{a})(\omega) = f(\omega(a)).$$

If $f(a)$ is given by a polynomial in a , we have $\omega(f(a)) = f(\omega(a))$, since ω is multiplicative (and linear). The boundedness of ω then yields this equality for general f .