Chapter 7: Computation of the Camera Matrix P

Arco Nederveen

Eagle Vision

March 18, 2008

1 Chapter 7: Computation of the camera Matrix P

- Basic Equations
- Geometric error
- Restricted camera estimation
- Radial distortion

- Chapter 7: Numerical methods for estimation of the camera matrix.
- Given point correspondences X_i ↔ x_i between 3D points X_i and 2D image points x_i find the camera matrix P.
- Where P is a 3×4 matrix, such that $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathsf{P}\mathbf{X}_i$ for all i
- For each correspondence:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}^{\top} & -w_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} & y_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \\ w_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top} & -x_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \\ -y_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} & x_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}^1 \\ \mathbf{P}^2 \\ \mathbf{P}^3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

Where each $\mathbf{P}^{i\top}$ is a 4-vector, the *i*-th row of P.

• Alternatively:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}^{\top} & -w_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} & y_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \\ w_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} & \mathbf{0}^{\top} & -x_i \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}^1 \\ \mathbf{P}^2 \\ \mathbf{P}^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- A set of n correspondences results in $2n\times 12$ matrix A.
- The projection matrix P is computed by solving. A $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$. Where \mathbf{p} contains the entries of P.
- Minimal solution: P has 12 entries and 11 degrees of freedom, therefore 5¹/₂ correspondences are needed.
- Overdetermined solution: Minimize algebraic or geometric error.

- Algebraic error: minimize $||\mathbf{Ap}||$ with a normalization constraint. like $||\mathbf{p}|| = 1$ or $||\hat{\mathbf{p}}^3|| = 1$ where $\hat{\mathbf{p}}^3$ is the vector. $(p_{31}, p_{32}, p_{33})^{\top}$ from P
- Algebraic error: the residual Ap.
- The DLT algorithm can be used to compute P in the same manner as computing H.

- Degenerate configurations:
 - The camera and points all lie on a twisted cubic.
 - The points lie on a union of a plane and a single straight line containing the camera center.
- Data normalization:
 - 2D points: Centroid of data at origin and their RMS distance from the origin is $\sqrt{2}.$
 - 3D points: If variation of depth of points is relatively small than position centroid of data on the origin and scale the RMS of the points to $\sqrt{3}$

- Using Line correspondences in the DLT algorithm
 - \bullet Line in 3D can be represented by \mathbf{X}_0 and \mathbf{X}_1
 - The plane formed by back-projecting the image line l is equal to $\mathtt{P}^{\top}\mathtt{l}$
 - Than \mathbf{X}_j lies on this plane if:

$$\mathbf{P}^{\top}\mathbf{l}_{j}=0 \text{ for } j=0,1$$

• Each choice for j gives a single linear equation which may be added to equation 1.

Geometric error

• If world points X_i are exactly known and the 2D image points contain noise then the geometric error is given by:

$$\sum_{i} d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{\hat{x}}_i)^2$$

Where $\mathbf{x_i}$ is the measured point and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is the point P \mathbf{X}_i .

• If the measurement noise is Gaussian then:

$$\min_{\mathbf{P}} \sum_{i} d(\mathbf{x_i}, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{X}_i)^2$$

is the Maximum Likelihood estimate of P.

• To minimize the geometric a iterative method must be used. Such as used in the Gold Standard algorithm in table 7.1.

Geometric Error

- Example 7.1: Camera estimation from a calibration object.
 - DLT algorithm compared to Gold Standard.
 - Rule of thumb: point measurements should exceed the number of unknowns by a factor five.
 - Table 7.1 shows the results: slight improvement with Gold Standard algorithm.



Errors in the world points

- Its possible world points cannot be determined with absolute accuracy.
- If this is the case the 3D geometric error is defined as:

$$\sum_i d(\mathbf{X}_i, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_i)^2$$

Where $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_i$ is the closest point in space to \mathbf{X}_i that maps exactly onto \mathbf{x}_i via $\mathbf{x}_i = P\hat{\mathbf{X}}_i$.

• If both the world and image coordinates contain errors the sum of world and image errors is minimized.

$$\sum_{i}^{n} d_{\mathrm{Mah}}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathtt{P}\mathbf{\hat{X}}_{i})^{2} + d_{\mathrm{Mah}}(\mathbf{X}_{i}, \mathbf{\hat{X}}_{i})^{2}$$

Where d_{Mah} represents the Mahalanobis distance.

Geometric interpretation of algebraic error

- Points \mathbf{X}_i in the DLT algorithm are normalized such that $\mathbf{X}_i = (X_i, Y_i, Z_i, 1)^\top$ and $\mathbf{x_i} = (x_i, y_i, 1)^\top$
- The quantity minimized is then given by: $\sum_i (\hat{w}_i d(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i))^2$ where $\hat{w}_i (\hat{x}_i, \hat{y}_i, 1)^\top = \mathsf{P} \mathbf{X}_i$
- But given, $\hat{w}_i = \pm ||\hat{\mathbf{p}}^3|| \operatorname{depth}(\mathbf{X}; \mathsf{P})$ we see that \hat{w}_i can be seen as the depth of point \mathbf{X}_i from the camera in the direction of principle ray if $||\hat{\mathbf{p}}^3||^2 = 1$.

Geometric interpretation of algebraic error

- As seen in figure 7.2 $\hat{w}_i d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{\hat{x}}_i)$ is proportional to $fd(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X})$
- The algebraic distance being minimized is equal to $f \sum_i d(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}'_i)^2$



Transformation invariance

• Scaling and translation in either the image of world coordinates have no influence on minimizing ||Ap||.

Estimation of an affine camera

- Affine camera is one for which the projection matrix has (0,0,0,1) as last row.
- Given $\mathbf{X}_i = (X_i, Y_i, Z_i, 1)^\top$ and $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_i, y_i, 1)^\top$ equation 1 reduces to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}^\top & -w_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \\ \mathbf{X}_i^\top & \mathbf{0}^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}^1 \\ \mathbf{P}^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ -x_i \end{pmatrix}$$

which shows that the squared algebraic error in this case equals the squared geometric error

$$||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}||^2 = \sum_i (x_i - \mathbf{P}^{1\top} \mathbf{X}_i)^2 + (y_i - \mathbf{P}^{2\top} \mathbf{X}_i) = \sum_i d(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i)^2.$$

• A linear estimation algorithm is given in algorithm 7.2.

• Matrix P with centre at finite point $P = K[R| - R\tilde{C}]$. With

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x & s & x_0 \\ & \alpha_y & y_0 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- With common assumptions:
 - The skew s is zero.
 - The pixels are square: $\alpha_x = \alpha_y$.
 - The principal point (x_0, y_0) is known.
 - The complete camera calibration matrix K is known.

- Some assumptions can make it possible to estimate the restricted camera matrix with a linear algorithm.
- Example: fit a pinhole camera model (s = 0 and α_x = α_y) to a set of point measurements.
- Minimize geometric error.
 - Parameterize the camera model using the remaining 9 parameters. $(x_0, y_0, \alpha, 6 \text{ from R and } \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \text{ denoted collectively by } \mathbf{q})$
 - Iterative minimization of geometric error.
- Minimizing algebraic error.
 - Iterative minimization problem becomes smaller.
 - Equivalent to minimizing $||Ag(\mathbf{q})||$.

• The reduced measurement matrix.

- Possible to replace matrix A with a square 12×12 matrix such that $||A\mathbf{p}|| = \mathbf{p}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{\top} A\mathbf{p} = ||\hat{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{p}||.$
- By SVD: Let $A = UDV^{\top}$ be the SVD of A, and define $\widehat{A} = DV^{\top}$. Then

$$\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^{\top})(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^{\top}) = (\mathbf{V}\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^{\top}) = \mathbf{\widehat{A}}^{\top}\mathbf{\widehat{A}}$$

• Given a set of n world to image correspondence, $\mathbf{X}_{i} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_{i}$, the problem of finding a constrained camera matrix P that minimizes the sum of algebraic distances $\sum_{i} d_{alg}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{X}_{i})^{2}$ reduces to the minimization of a function $\mathbb{R}^{9} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{12}$, independent of the number n correspondences.

- Initialization of the iteration
 - Use a linear algorithm such as DLT to find initial camera matrix.
 - Clamp fixed parameters
 - Set variable parameter to their values obtained by decomposition of initial camera matrix
- The values obtained from the DLT can differ significant from the real values. Therefore use soft constraints and add extra terms to the cost function. E.g. if s = 0 and $\alpha_x = \alpha_y$ the geometric error becomes:

$$\sum_{i} d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{X}_i)^2 + ws^2 + w(\alpha_x - \alpha_y)^2$$

Exterior orientation

- All internal parameters of the camera are known.
- Only position and orientation of the camera unknown.
- Six degrees of freedom remain and can be determined with three points.
- Experimental evaluation (table 7.2)
 - Same results
 - Algrabraic method is quicker (12 errors vs. 2n = 396)

Covariance estimation

- Similar to 2D homography case.
- Assuming all errors are in the image measurements the residual error is equal to:

$$\epsilon_{\rm res} = \sigma (1 - d/2n)^{1/2}$$

With d the number of camera parameters being fitted. (Example 7.1: $\epsilon = 0.365$ results in $\sigma = 0.37$).

- Covariance ellipsoid for an estimated camera.
- Backpropogate covariance of the point measurements back to camera models. Which results in:

$$\Sigma_{\text{camera}} = (\mathbf{J}^{\top} \Sigma_{\text{points}}^{-1} \mathbf{J})^{-1}$$

With J Jacobian of measured points.

• Error bounds of ellipsoid can now be computed.

$$(\mathbf{C} - \bar{\mathbf{C}})^{\top} \Sigma_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1} (\mathbf{C} - \bar{\mathbf{C}}) = k^2$$

Where $k^2 = F_n^{-1}(\alpha)$ from the inverse cumulative χ_n^2 with confidence level α and n the number of variables.

- Assumed that a linear model is accurate for imaging.
- Not the case for real lenses.
- Radial distortion





linear image



radial distortion





• Radial distortion is modeled by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_d \\ y_d \end{pmatrix} = L(\tilde{r}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

- (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) is the ideal position
- (x_d, y_d) actual image position
- \tilde{r} is the radial distance $\sqrt{\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{x}^2}$ from the centre of distortion
- $L(\tilde{r})$ is a distortion factor

Correction of the coordinates in pixel coordinates is given by:

$$\hat{x} = x_c + L(r)(x - x_c)$$
$$\hat{y} = y_c + L(r)(y - y_c)$$

Where (x, y) are the measured coordinates, (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) corrected coordiates, (x_c, y_c) the center of radial distortion, and $r^2 = (x - x_c)^2 + (y - y_c)^2$.

• L(r) is approximated by a Taylor expansion $L(r) = 1 + \kappa_1 r + \kappa_2 r^2 + \kappa_3 r^3 + \dots$

- Principal point is taken as the centre of radial distortion.
- L(r) may be computed by minimizing a cost based on a the deviation from a linear mapping.
- Parameters κ_i can be computed together with P during minimization of the geometric error.
- Alternatively one can use known straight lines to guide minimization process.
- Example 7.3 and 7.4 show an example of radial distortion compensation and the effect on the residual error (Table 7.3).