

Hint for Exercise 1.4.8

Prove the statement by induction on ψ . In each inductive step (e.g. the negation $\psi = \neg\psi'$), by IH, we have

$$\vdash (\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2) \rightarrow (\psi'[\phi_1/p] \leftrightarrow \psi'[\phi_2/p]).$$

Since $\psi[\phi_1/p] = \neg(\psi'[\phi_1/p])$ and $\psi[\phi_2/p] = \neg(\psi'[\phi_2/p])$, it suffices to show

$$\vdash (\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2) \rightarrow (\neg(\psi'[\phi_1/p]) \leftrightarrow \neg(\psi'[\phi_2/p])).$$

Let $\xi = (\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2)$, $\theta_1 = \psi'[\phi_1/p]$, $\theta_2 = \psi'[\phi_2/p]$, $\sigma_1 = \neg(\psi'[\phi_1/p])$, and $\sigma_2 = \neg(\psi'[\phi_2/p])$.

Then you will prove

$$\vdash (\xi \rightarrow (\theta_1 \leftrightarrow \theta_2)) \rightarrow (\xi \rightarrow (\sigma_1 \leftrightarrow \sigma_2)).$$

Let \mathcal{D}_1 be a derivation for this statement and \mathcal{D}_2 be a derivation for $(\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2) \rightarrow (\psi'[\phi_1/p] \leftrightarrow \psi'[\phi_2/p])$.

By ‘concatenating’ \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 , you will produce a new derivation for $(\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2) \rightarrow (\neg(\psi'[\phi_1/p]) \leftrightarrow \neg(\psi'[\phi_2/p]))$ and this is what we want.