

(1) Let $\sigma: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be complex conjugation. If W is a \mathbb{C} -vector space then we define

$${}^\sigma W := W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}, \sigma} \mathbb{C}.$$

Concretely this means that ${}^\sigma W$ is isomorphic to W as a *real* vector space, but we let a complex number z act on ${}^\sigma W$ as multiplication by \bar{z} .

Note that ${}^\sigma({}^\sigma W)$ is canonically isomorphic to W . Further we have ${}^\sigma(W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_2) \cong {}^\sigma W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} {}^\sigma W_2$.

A \mathbb{C} -linear map $f: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ induces a \mathbb{C} -linear map ${}^\sigma f = (f \otimes \text{id}): {}^\sigma W_1 \rightarrow {}^\sigma W_2$.

(2) **Definition.** Let W be a complex vector space. Then a *hermitian form* on W is a map

$$h: W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

that is \mathbb{C} -linear in the first variable, \mathbb{C} -antilinear in the second variable, and such that $h(w', w) = \overline{h(w, w')}$ for all $w, w' \in W$.

Equivalent: A hermitian form is a \mathbb{C} -linear map $h: W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} {}^\sigma W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that, writing $\text{sw}: W \otimes {}^\sigma W \rightarrow {}^\sigma W \otimes W$ for the map that switches the factors, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} {}^\sigma W & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbb{C} \\ \text{sw} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ {}^\sigma W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W & \xrightarrow{{}^\sigma h} & {}^\sigma \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

If h is a hermitian form on W then $h(w, w) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all w . We call h positive definite if $h(w, w) > 0$ for all $0 \neq w \in W$.

(3) Let h be a hermitian form on W . Easy to check:

- (i) $S := \text{Re}(h): W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a symmetric \mathbb{R} -bilinear form;
- (ii) $A := \text{Im}(h): W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an antisymmetric \mathbb{R} -bilinear form;
- (iii) $A(iw, iw') = A(w, w')$ and $S(iw, iw') = S(w, w')$ for all $w, w' \in W$;
- (iv) $A(w, w') = -S(iw, w')$.

Conclusion: to give a hermitian form h on W is equivalent to giving a symmetric \mathbb{R} -bilinear form S on W (or, more precisely: on the underlying real vector space) such that $S(iw, iw') = S(w, w')$ for all $w, w' \in W$. Correspondence: To h we associate $S := \text{Re}(h)$; to S we associate $h = S + iA$ with A given by (iv).

Note that S is positive definite if and only if h is.

(4) What we did in (1)–(3) can also be done in families. For this, consider a complex manifold (X, \mathcal{O}_X) of dimension n , and let (X, \mathcal{A}_X) be the associated C^∞ -manifold.

Write \mathcal{E} for the real tangent bundle (a locally free \mathcal{A}_X -module of rank $2n$) and T for the holomorphic tangent bundle (a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of rank n). Further, let us write

$$C^\infty T := T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$$

for the complex C^∞ -bundle associated to T .

Let $\sigma: \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ be complex conjugation. If \mathcal{W} is a complex C^∞ -bundle on X (i.e., a locally free $\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ -bundle) then we define

$$\sigma \mathcal{W} := \mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}, \sigma} \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}.$$

If W is the fibre of \mathcal{W} over a point $x \in X$ then the fibre of $\sigma \mathcal{W}$ is σW .

(5) Definition. A hermitian metric on X is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ -modules

$$h: C^\infty T \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}} \sigma(C^\infty T) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$$

that on each fibre gives a positive definite hermitian form.

(6) As we have seen, we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ -modules

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong C^\infty T \oplus \overline{C^\infty T}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\overline{}: \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is complex conjugation. Note:

- complex conjugation on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of course not $\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ -linear, only \mathcal{A}_X -linear;
- $\overline{C^\infty T}$, which is by definition the image of $C^\infty T \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$ under this map, is again a complex subbundle of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e., an $\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ -submodule;
- the map

$$\overline{}: C^\infty T \rightarrow \overline{C^\infty T},$$

which by construction is bijective, gives an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ -modules

$$\sigma(C^\infty T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{C^\infty T}. \tag{6.2}$$

Consider the composition

$$\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} C^\infty T.$$

This gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} C^\infty T$ of *real* bundles, i.e., of \mathcal{A}_X -modules. We now define

$$J: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

as the \mathcal{A}_X -linear endomorphism that under this isomorphism corresponds to the multiplication by i on $C^\infty T$. In particular, $J^2 = -\text{id}$.

By what was explained in (3), to give a hermitian metric h on X is equivalent to giving a Riemann metric S (which is a symmetric homomorphism $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_X} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$) with the additional property that $S(Je, Je') = S(e, e')$ for all local sections e, e' of \mathcal{E} .

(7) Let $h: C^\infty T \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}} {}^\sigma C^\infty T \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ be a hermitian metric on X , and consider the corresponding Riemann metric $S := \operatorname{Re}(h): \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_X} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$, and the imaginary part $\beta := \operatorname{Im}(h): \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_X} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$, which is an antisymmetric form. Define

$$\omega \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^2) = \Gamma(X, \wedge^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee))$$

as the image of $(-1/2) \cdot \beta \in \Gamma(X, (\mathcal{E}^{\otimes 2})^\vee)$ under the natural map

$$\Gamma(X, (\mathcal{E}^{\otimes 2})^\vee) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^1 \otimes \mathcal{A}^1) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^2).$$

So ω is a real global 2-form on X .

Caution: In the literature one encounters several normalization constants in the definition of ω . I here take a factor $-1/2$ but in some books a different factor is used.

(8) **Lemma.** Consider the bilinear form

$$\beta_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$$

obtained as the \mathbb{C} -linear extension of the form $\operatorname{Im}(h)$ above. Write

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} = (C^\infty T \otimes C^\infty T) \oplus (C^\infty T \otimes \overline{C^\infty T}) \oplus (\overline{C^\infty T} \otimes C^\infty T) \oplus (\overline{C^\infty T} \otimes \overline{C^\infty T}).$$

- (i) We have $\beta_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ on $C^\infty T \otimes C^\infty T$ and on $\overline{C^\infty T} \otimes \overline{C^\infty T}$.
- (ii) Identifying $\overline{C^\infty T}$ with ${}^\sigma(C^\infty T)$ as in (6.2), the restriction of $\beta_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $C^\infty T \otimes \overline{C^\infty T}$ is just $(-i/2)$ times the form h .

(9) **An example.** Take $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, on which we use (z_1, \dots, z_n) as coordinates. We can globally trivialize the holomorphic tangent bundle, i.e., $T = X \times \mathbb{C}^n$, with coordinates $((z_1, \dots, z_n), (\partial_{z_1}, \dots, \partial_{z_n}))$. For h we take the hermitian metric that on each tangent space $T_x \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ is the hermitian form $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $((a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n)) \mapsto a_1 \overline{b_1} + \dots + a_n \overline{b_n}$. Put differently, $h: C^\infty T \otimes \overline{C^\infty T} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$h(\partial_{z_i} \otimes \partial_{\overline{z}_j}) = \delta_{i,j} \quad (\text{Kronecker delta}).$$

We want to view the imaginary part of h as an antisymmetric bilinear form on the real tangent bundle \mathcal{E} . Writing $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, the ∂_{x_j} and ∂_{y_j} give a frame for \mathcal{E} . In the decomposition (6.1) the subbundle $C^\infty T$ is the one spanned by the ∂_{z_j} , and $\overline{C^\infty T}$ is spanned by the $\partial_{\overline{z}_j}$.

We have $dz_j = dx_j + idy_j$ and $d\bar{z}_j = dx_j - idy_j$. Dually this gives

$$\partial_{z_j} = \frac{\partial_{x_j} - i\partial_{y_j}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\bar{z}_j} = \frac{\partial_{x_j} + i\partial_{y_j}}{2}.$$

So

$$\partial_{x_j} = \partial_{z_j} + \partial_{\bar{z}_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{y_j} = i\partial_{z_j} - i\partial_{\bar{z}_j}$$

Our identification $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} C^\infty T$ (first embedding \mathcal{E} into $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{C}$ then projecting down to $C^\infty T$), is therefore given by $\partial_{x_j} \mapsto \partial_{z_j}$ and $\partial_{y_j} \mapsto i\partial_{z_j}$.

We then find that the form $\beta = \text{Im}(h): \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_X} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$ is given by

$$\beta(\partial_{x_j}, \partial_{x_k}) = \beta(\partial_{y_j}, \partial_{y_k}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\partial_{x_j}, \partial_{y_k}) = -\beta(\partial_{y_j}, \partial_{x_k}) = -\delta_{j,k}.$$

Now we extend this form \mathbb{C} -linearly to an alternating form $\beta_\mathbb{C}: \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X,\mathbb{C}}$, and we calculate:

(i) On $C^\infty T \otimes C^\infty T$:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\mathbb{C}(\partial_{z_j} \otimes \partial_{z_k}) &= (1/4) \cdot \beta_\mathbb{C}((\partial_{x_j} - i\partial_{y_j}) \otimes (\partial_{x_k} - i\partial_{y_k})) \\ &= (1/4) \cdot \{\beta(\partial_{x_j} \otimes -i\partial_{y_k}) + \beta(-i\partial_{y_j} \otimes \partial_{x_k})\} \\ &= (1/4) \cdot \{i\delta_{j,k} - i\delta_{j,k}\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we see that $\beta_\mathbb{C} = 0$ on $\overline{C^\infty T} \otimes \overline{C^\infty T}$.

(ii) On $C^\infty T \otimes \overline{C^\infty T}$ and $\overline{C^\infty T} \otimes C^\infty T$:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\mathbb{C}(\partial_{z_j} \otimes \partial_{\bar{z}_k}) &= (1/4) \cdot \beta_\mathbb{C}((\partial_{x_j} - i\partial_{y_j}) \otimes (\partial_{x_k} + i\partial_{y_k})) \\ &= (1/4) \cdot \{\beta(\partial_{x_j} \otimes i\partial_{y_k}) + \beta(-i\partial_{y_j} \otimes \partial_{x_k})\} \\ &= (1/4) \cdot \{-i\delta_{j,k} - i\delta_{j,k}\} = (-i/2) \cdot \delta_{j,k}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\mathbb{C}(\partial_{\bar{z}_j} \otimes \partial_{z_k}) &= (1/4) \cdot \beta_\mathbb{C}((\partial_{x_j} + i\partial_{y_j}) \otimes (\partial_{x_k} - i\partial_{y_k})) \\ &= (1/4) \cdot \{\beta(\partial_{x_j} \otimes -i\partial_{y_k}) + \beta(i\partial_{y_j} \otimes \partial_{x_k})\} \\ &= (1/4) \cdot \{i\delta_{j,k} + i\delta_{j,k}\} = (i/2) \cdot \delta_{j,k}. \end{aligned}$$

In tensor notation, this means that $\beta_\mathbb{C}$, which is an element of $\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee = \mathcal{A}^1 \otimes \mathcal{A}^1$, is the element

$$-\sum_{j=1}^n dx_j \otimes dy_j + \sum_{j=1}^n dy_j \otimes dx_j = (-i/2) \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \otimes d\bar{z}_j + (i/2) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n d\bar{z}_j \otimes dz_j.$$

The image of this element in \mathcal{A}^2 is

$$-2 \sum_{j=1}^n dx_j \wedge dy_j = -i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

Hence:

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n dx_j \wedge dy_j = \frac{i}{2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$