Homework #2

Deadline: Wednesday, 5 October 2011, 11:00

Question 1 (10 marks)

This question concerns two alternative definitions of the property of strong monotonicity of a resolute voting rule $F : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})^{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{X}$. Recall the definition given in class:

(a) F is called strongly monotonic if $x^* = F(\mathbf{R})$ implies $x^* = F(\mathbf{R}')$ for any alternative x^* and any two profiles \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{R}' with $N_{x^* \succ y}^{\mathbf{R}} \subseteq N_{x^* \succ y}^{\mathbf{R}'}$ for all alternatives $y \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{x^*\}$.

An alternative definition to be found in the literature is the following:

(b) F is called strongly monotonic if $F(\mathbf{R'}) = F(\mathbf{R})$ or $F(\mathbf{R'}) = x^*$ for any alternative x^* and any two profiles \mathbf{R} and $\mathbf{R'}$ satisfying $N_{x^* \succ y}^{\mathbf{R}} \subseteq N_{x^* \succ y}^{\mathbf{R'}}$ and $N_{y \succ z}^{\mathbf{R}} = N_{y \succ z}^{\mathbf{R'}}$ for all alternatives $y, z \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{x^*\}$.

Explain each definition in plain English and briefly argue why it is a reasonable definition. Then check whether the two definitions are equivalent (proof or counterexample).

Notation: Recall that $N_{x\succ y}^{\mathbf{R}}$ is the set of individuals who rank alternative x above alternative y under profile \mathbf{R} .

Question 2 (10 marks)

Prove May's Theorem for the case of an even number of voters.

Question 3 (10 marks)

Under the *antiplurality rule*, also known as the *veto rule*, the voters rank the alternatives, and the alternative(s) ranked last the least often win(s). The purpose of this question is to find a number of different characterisations of this rule.

- (a) Find a consensus criterion such that the antiplurality rule is characterised by that criterion and the *discrete distance*.
- (b) Find a way of measuring distances such that the antiplurality rule is characterised by the *unanimous winner* consensus criterion and that distance.
- (c) Find a noise model such that the corresponding maximum likelihood estimator is equivalent to the antiplurality rule.